



# BURNLEY BOROUGH COUNCIL LICENSING ACT 2003 SUB COMMITTEE

BURNLEY TOWN HALL

Friday, 2nd June, 2017

## PRESENT

## MEMBERS

Councillor Ann Royle in the Chair

Councillors A Kelly, A Royle and C White

## OFFICERS

Lisa Lord – Solicitor –

Peter Henderson –  
Licensing Manager

Eric Dickinson – Democracy  
Officer

### 1 Appointment of Chair

Councillor Ann Royle was appointed as Chair for this meeting.

### 2 Apologies for Absence

Apologies for absence were received from Cllr Elizabeth Monk.

### 3 Minutes of the last Meeting

The Minutes of the last meeting held on the 18<sup>th</sup> October 2016 were agreed as a correct record.

### 4 Exclusion of the Public

That the determination of a Premises Licence under the Licensing Act 2003 relating to Hunters Oak Limited, 3 The Moorings, Burnley, BB12 0TP be held in public.

### 5 Determination of a Premises Licence Application

Peter Henderson reported on an application received for a Premises

Licence under the Licensing Act 2003 for Hunters Oak Limited, 3 The Moorings, Burnley. BB12 0TP on behalf of the Licensing Authority.

Councillor Tom Porter, Bev Kirk, Richard Crossley, Frank Millington, Barry Pixton, Steven Jackson, Tony Mitchell, Stephen Vintin, Ken Boden, and Anne Boden attended the meeting and presented their case on behalf of the objectors.

Lee Thompson, together with Chris Smith, Craig Kennedy, and Paul Robinson attended the meeting with their legal representative Malcolm Ireland from Napthens Solicitors and presented their case on behalf of the applicant.

Members and the relevant parties set out above asked questions regarding the application.

## DECISION AND REASONS FOR DECISION

After considering the application and all representations (written and as presented at the meeting) the Sub Committee determined that the grant of the licence with conditions consistent with the operating schedule, as amended on pages 4 to 6 of the applicant's bundle, would not undermine any of the licensing objectives. The Sub Committee acknowledged the concerns of objectors but did not have any evidence before it that the grant of the licence would undermine the licensing objectives and no additional steps or conditions were appropriate in this case for the promotion of the licensing objectives.