

Report to Community Services Scrutiny



DATE	06/03/13
PORTFOLIO	Community Services
REPORT AUTHOR	Sam McConnell
TEL NO	01282 477136
EMAIL	smcconnell@burnley.gov.uk

Community Safety Partnership Performance 2012/13

PURPOSE

1. To enable the Community Services Committee to consider the Community Safety Partnership performance for 2012/13

RECOMMENDATION

2. That the Community Services Committee comments on the contents of the performance report

REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATION

3. As a key priority for the Council, scrutiny of performance on community safety is an important task for the Committee

SUMMARY OF KEY POINTS

4. As of the 1st August 2007, Community Safety Partnerships are required to produce a Strategic Assessment that outlines the crime and disorder priorities and issues that most concern the local area.
5. The agreed Strategic Priorities for the partnership for 2013/14 are listed below; (Not in any ranked order)

Identified Strategic Priorities 2013/14
Violent Crime - with Injury and Domestic Abuse (Perpetrator Focus)
Substance Misuse – Alcohol and drugs
Vulnerable People - Domestic Abuse (Victim Focus) ASB, CSE
Reduce Re-Offending – SAC and Violent Crime
ASB - Environmental Crime, Alcohol Related

The priorities for 2013/14 reflect some change in focus for the partnership, but the headline activities recorded in bold remain the same as 2012/13 due to the complexities and range of activities that make up crime and anti-social behaviour under each of those key headings.

6. Reducing re-offending is a statutory requirement for the partnership and there will be a specific themed approach taken in 2013/14 that will focus on offenders who commit serious acquisitive crime and those who commit violent crime. In both types of crime re-offending rates are high and regarded as a threat to performance by the partnership.
7. All local activities related to the Community Safety Priorities are agreed through the Multi Agency Tasking and Co-Ordinating Meeting (MATAC).
8. The Strategic Priorities for 2013/14 have been approved in December 2012 by the Community Safety Partnership Steering Group.
9. As part of the Partnership's performance management framework an annual report is produced (see appendix 1). Members are recommended to comment on the performance report

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS AND BUDGET PROVISION

10. There are none from this report

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

11. None

DETAILS OF CONSULTATION

12. Community Safety Partnership Steering Group

BACKGROUND PAPERS

13. None

FURTHER INFORMATION

PLEASE CONTACT: Sam McConnell Ext 7136

ALSO: