

# Padiham Area Action Plan - Consultation Report

<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>2 Consideration of representations to Issues and Options</b>	<b>5</b>

## 1 Introduction

**1.1** This Consultation Report sets out the consultation responses that were received during the Issues and Options Consultation on the Padiham Area Action Plan and how they have informed the Preferred Option Plan.



## 2 Consideration of representations to Issues and Options

Table 2.1 Consideration of Representations

Section/ Guideline	Comment	Consideration	Influence on Preferred Option Yes/No	Effect on Content of Preferred Option
1.0 Introduction	39/PA/399 Maple Grove Developments Ltd C/o Steven Abbott Associates Padiham is a Key Service Centre (Market Town) under Policy 4 in the adopted Structure Plan (2005). As such development which promotes Padiham's regeneration and supports and enhances its role as a town centre is acceptable in principle. A new food store, appropriate in scale to the town, would be wholly consistent with that policy. There is no need here to recite what national policy sets out in PPS6. It is obvious	Policy 4 of the JLSP : Development in Padiham supports and enhances its role as a service centre and public transport hub for the surrounding villages and rural area. The scale of development will be appropriate to the size of the town and will include development for diversification of the rural economy and development of public transport infrastructure. PPS 6 Para. 2.28 states that: In selecting sites for development, LPA's should:	Yes Yes No	The Preferred Option should contain a mix used site similar to that identified in Area 2 Option 1 in the Issues and Options Consultation Report. Proposals for retail within the town will be assessed against PPS 6, RSS, JLSP Policy 16 and Burnley Local Plan policies. The Preferred Option should consider bringing forward the under used mill complex at Wyre Street/ Lune Street/ Holmes Street as a site for mixed use

Section/ Guideline	Comment	Consideration	Influence on Preferred Option Yes/No	Effect on Content of Preferred Option
	<p>that MGD's plans are consistent with it. The same can be said for RSS which establishes the concept of Key Service Centres for the region. MGD recognise that Padiham Town Centre is in Tier 3 under Policy 16 in the Structure Plan. They have in mind development of an appropriate scale to be consistent with that.</p> <p>They are aware of recent developments elsewhere in the county including the new Tesco in Burscough and the permission secured by Booths in Garstang (to name just two). The advantages of both of those to relatively small town centres are clear and are analogous, in principal with Padiham.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• identify the appropriate scale of development</li> <li>• apply the sequential approach to site selection</li> <li>• assess the impact of development on existing centres; and</li> <li>• ensure that locations are accessible and well served by a choice of means of transport.</li> </ul> <p>The JLSP also sets out a sequential approach to retail and leisure developments. It also indicates that this must not significantly affect the vitality and viability of any town, district, local centre or overall shopping and leisure provision in small towns and rural areas within or adjoining</p>		<p>redevelopment. A development brief should be considered for this site to investigate a range of uses including residential, business (B1), general industrial (B2), retail, leisure and tourism.</p>

Section/ Guideline	Comment	Consideration	Influence on Preferred Option Yes/No	Effect on Content of Preferred Option
	<p>Area 2 is one of those areas which has vacant and under-utilised mill buildings.</p> <p>We welcome the flexibility acknowledged in paragraph 1.3.2. The MGD option perhaps one which the Council officers have not previously considered.</p> <p>Your AAP is a helpful way of assisting with delivery of this opportunity. MGD's proposals can be promoted with and parallel to that process.</p> <p>Community involvement could be secured from outset as a consequence.</p>	<p>Lancashire and must not prejudice any regeneration or town centre strategy within or adjoining Lancashire</p> <p>Agree. Area 2 does contain a number of vacant and under utilised mill buildings which are currently in employment use (Burnley Local Plan EW 6/3) .</p> <p>Comment noted</p> <p>The AAP will provide the planning framework for the redevelopment of this area. Community engagement is part of the AAP process, but further community involvement could be undertaken by the developer. The Councils adopted Statement of Community</p>		

Section/ Guideline	Comment	Consideration	Influence on Preferred Option Yes/No	Effect on Content of Preferred Option
		Involvement provides guidance for developers and partners on community engagement in the planning process.		

Table 2.2.2.0 Issues and Options

2.0 Issues and Options	Comment	Consideration	Influence on the Preferred Option Yes/No	Effect on Content of Preferred Option
<b>2.1 Issues and Opportunities</b>			<b>Yes</b>	
01/PA/05 Mrs Riding	Does not agree with the issue of using the town hall for other purposes. What will happen to the library? Retired people rely on the library.	The Council's Environmental Health unit and Padiham Life have both recently vacated the offices within the Town Hall. The markets office also no longer requires space at the Town Hall. However Padiham Town Council has a lease of the ballroom and adjoining offices. CAB have an office. The first floor is let subject to contract.		The Preferred Option should support sympathetic community uses within the town hall as and when the building becomes vacant in order to secure its future.



2.0 Issues and Options	Comment	Consideration	Influence on the Preferred Option Yes/No	Effect on Content of Preferred Option
02/PA/06	<p>J. Durkin</p> <p>Agrees with issues and opportunities identified</p> <p>Other issues include:</p> <p>The un-adopted road on St Anne's St. Heavy wagons etc using it as though it was a race</p>	<p>A market is held on a Thursday inside Padiham Town Hall, but there is the opportunity to use an outside area on the Town Hall Car Park if needed.</p> <p>Lancashire County Council have no current plans to remove the library from the town hall.</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>No</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>No</p>	<p>All identified Issues and Opportunities will be carefully considered as part of the development of the Preferred Option.</p> <p>The Preferred Option should identify traffic as an issue and proposals for traffic management should be considered where there is greatest need.</p>

2.0 Issues and Options	Comment	Consideration	Influence on the Preferred Option Yes/No	Effect on Content of Preferred Option
	<p>track before using Hapton Rd (clouds of dust everywhere but mostly danger to children playing)</p> <p>standards.</p> <p>St Annes St / Hapton Road / Back Street (off Cemetery Road) uneven - requires re-tarmacing.</p>	<p>There is limited funding available to bring roads up to adoptable standards.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>All identified Issues and Opportunities will be carefully considered as part of the development of the Preferred Option.</p>
<p>03/PA/18</p> <p>Marian Digger</p> <p>Agrees with issues and opportunities identified</p>	<p>Agree</p>	<p>Agree</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>All identified Issues and Opportunities will be carefully considered as part of the development of the Preferred Option.</p>
<p>04/PA/19</p> <p>John Greenwood</p> <p>Agrees with issues and opportunities identified</p>	<p>Agree</p> <p>The Jubilee Mill Engine House is a Grade II listed building and is therefore safeguarded from demolition by national policy. The</p>	<p>Agree</p>	<p>Yes Yes Yes</p>	<p>All identified Issues and Opportunities will be carefully considered as part of the development of the Preferred Option.</p>

2.0 Issues and Options	Comment	Consideration	Influence on the Preferred Option	Effect on Content of Preferred Option
	<p>Disagrees with the saving of the Jubilee Engine House which was left to protect the old mill engine, which has now been removed, so the building is now redundant.</p> <p>Lower the speed limits in all residential areas. Could you look into the idea of cars parking on one side of a terrace street in "echelon" position and make streets one way.</p> <p>Alley gating would make the area safer, more secure and more private and should make the back street cleaner.</p>	<p>Council is continuing to monitor its condition and agrees that a new use for this building should be identified in order to secure its future.</p> <p>Agree that traffic issues should be identified.</p> <p>Due to the design and high density of most residential terraced streets, limiting parking to one side of the street as described would be difficult to achieve.</p> <p>The Council does have a limited amount of funding available for Alley gating schemes. The Gating Orders are based on criteria including</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>The Preferred Option should identify a new use for the Jubilee Mill Engine House in order to secure its future.</p> <p>The Preferred Option should identify priority areas within Padiham where traffic management is most needed.</p> <p>The Preferred Option should consider the development of a multifaceted approach where existing</p>

2.0 Issues and Options	Comment	Consideration	Influence on the Preferred Option Yes/No	Effect on Content of Preferred Option
		consistently high crime and anti social behaviour statistics and consultation with residents.		initiatives may be drawn together to form a coordinated package of interventions to address specific issues within individual areas.
05/PA/20 Barbara Oates Agrees with issues and opportunities identified We disagree with the condition of 16 Milton St, this house is a disgrace and he won't do anything to improve it, I have had to deal with dry rot and dampness and many more (has to be seen to believe it).	Agree Noted. Resources are limited and intervention has to be targeted to areas of greatest need. Although this property is displaying symptoms of neglect,, the area does not display the same symptoms of Housing Market weakness as experienced by other areas in the Borough.	Yes Yes Yes	All identified Issues and Opportunities will be carefully considered as part of the development of the Preferred Option.  The Preferred Option should encourage property owners to undertake sympathetic improvements to properties themselves  The Preferred Option should identify traffic as an issue and traffic	

2.0 Issues and Options	Comment	Consideration	Influence on the Preferred Option Yes/No	Effect on Content of Preferred Option
	<p>We have difficulty parking our own car with all the cars from Burnley Road parking on the side streets.</p>	<p>Agree that traffic issues should be identified</p>		<p>management should be considered as part of proposals for Burnley Road and Church Street.</p>
06/PA/21		Noted.	No	
L. Digger		The identified issues and opportunities are based upon the results of previous rounds of consultation and statistical evidence.	Yes	
Does not agree with issues and opportunities identified.		Padiham does not display the same symptoms of Housing Market collapse as experienced by other parts of the Borough. Limited resource for physical improvements are targeted to areas of greatest need.	Yes	
Disagrees with the top half of Ingham St/ Lawrence St not being included and not to be blended in with rest of street.				
Traffic calming and alley gating				

2.0 Issues and Options	Comment	Consideration	Influence on the Preferred Option Yes/No	Effect on Content of Preferred Option
	<p>Agree, however that the top half of Ingham/ Lawrence Streets should be incorporated into to any social/ environmental improvement schemes within this residential area.</p> <p>Agree that traffic should be identified as an issue.</p> <p>The Council does have a limited amount of funding available for Alley gate Schemes. The Gating Orders are based on criteria including consistently high crime and anti social behaviour statistics and consultation with residents.</p>	<p>Agree, however that the top half of Ingham/ Lawrence Streets should be incorporated into to any social/ environmental improvement schemes within this residential area.</p> <p>Agree that traffic should be identified as an issue.</p> <p>The Council does have a limited amount of funding available for Alley gate Schemes. The Gating Orders are based on criteria including consistently high crime and anti social behaviour statistics and consultation with residents.</p>	<p>No</p>	<p>problems. Proposals for traffic calming and alley gating will depend on the availability of funding and residents support.</p>
07/PA/22	Catherine Geraghty	Noted	No	

2.0 Issues and Options	Comment	Consideration	Influence on the Preferred Option Yes/No	Effect on Content of Preferred Option
	<p>Now not in 10 years from now. All flats should be provided with out door wheelie bins for the sake of hygiene and discouragement of rats and other vermin.</p>			

2.0 Issues and Options	Comment	Consideration	Influence on the Preferred Option	Effect on Content of Preferred Option
<p>08/PA/58</p> <p>Mr L. Tattersall</p> <p>Disagrees with issues and opportunities identified.</p> <p>I disagree with the tree planting in Barley and Levant Street we have plenty of green areas and the countryside is on Padiham's doorstep. Sell the land to a company for development.</p>	<p>Noted</p> <p>It is important that people have access to a variety of open spaces. The Council has prepared a Greenspace Strategy and this will inform the Preferred Option</p>	<p>Possibly</p>	<p>The identified issues and opportunities are based upon the results of previous rounds of consultation and sound statistical evidence.</p> <p>The Preferred Option will be drawn up with reference to the Council's Greenspace Strategy</p>	
<p>09/PA/64</p> <p>Mrs B. Whittham</p> <p>Does not know if agrees/ disagrees with issues and opportunities identified.</p>	<p>Noted</p> <p>In order to enable positive partnership work between the Council, landlords, managing agents, tenants and other agencies the Council encourages participation in the Good Landlord and Agent Scheme and the Good Tenant Scheme.</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p>	<p>The identified issues and opportunities are based upon the results of previous rounds of consultation and sound statistical evidence.</p> <p>The Preferred Option should promote and encourage the use of</p>	



2.0 Issues and Options	Comment	Consideration	Influence on the Preferred Option	Effect on Content of Preferred Option
	<p>Care must be taken that private landlords who are already exploiting the housing market do not profit from any proposed improvements.</p>	<p>The need to protect and improve existing venues for leisure and cultural activities in Padiham is recognised.</p>	<p>Yes/No</p>	<p>the Good Landlords and Agent Scheme and participation within the Good Tenant Scheme.</p>
	<p>Padiham is poorly served by leisure and cultural facilities compared to Burnley. Pressure to close facilities as in the past should be strongly resisted.</p>	<p>Noted</p>	<p>Yes/No</p>	<p>The Council will consider plans for either new or improved leisure and cultural facilities as and when proposals are put forward.</p>

2.0 Issues and Options	Comment	Consideration	Influence on the Preferred Option	Effect on Content of Preferred Option
	<p>Inadequate street cleansing and insufficient provision of rubbish and dog waste bins. Addressing all these would greatly enhance the environment.</p> <p>A clean up of Green Lane railway bridge is urgently required. Also the roosting pigeons under Green Lane and Station Road bridges and the disgusting excrement problem should be addressed. Cleanliness in all areas of the town should be a priority. Fly tipping sites should be cleared.</p> <p>The river and brook should be cleared of debris and the riverbank cleaned up.</p>	<p>Agree that the watercourse in Padiham should be targeted for environmental improvements.</p>	<p>Yes/No</p>	<p>The Preferred Option should encourage environmental enhancements of the towns watercourses</p>
10/PA/100		Agree	Yes	All identified Issues and opportunities will be carefully considered as part of the development of the Preferred Option.
Mary Pilling		(See below)	Yes	
Agrees with issues and		Although the town appears to be	Yes	

2.0 Issues and Options	Comment	Consideration	Influence on the Preferred Option	Effect on Content of Preferred Option
	opportunities identified	well served by a bus network access to employment opportunities within Padiham and the Borough, this could be enhanced by extending the Quality Bus Route to Shuttleworth Mead.	No	The Preferred Option should improve access to employment opportunities by extending the Quality Bus Route to Shuttleworth Mead.
	Transport links to industrial estates and other towns are good. As is the road sign posting, motorists ignore motorway signs and come into town. Car parks are well used. The schools have been there for years and are in the Burnley area not Padiham.	Agree that traffic management within Padiham town centre is an issue.	Yes	The Preferred Option should identify traffic as an issue. Traffic management should be considered as part of proposals for Burnley Road and Church Street within the town centre.
	Letter:	The new Shuttleworth College will occupy the former site of Gawthorpe School. Sports facilities have been provided on the site and can be accessed by the community	Yes	The Preferred Option should promote and encourage the use of the Good Landlords and Agent Scheme and participation within the Good Tenant Scheme.
	1. Transport - buses come in and out of Padiham to destinations including Preston, Blackburn, Morecambe, Whalley, Accrington, Keighley, Barnoldswick, Blackpool, Fleetwood, Southport, Morecambe, that is surely sufficient. Buses serve the Shuttleworth Mead estate every 15 minutes, so where's the problem.	Noted	Yes, in part	
		Agree. In order to enable positive partnership work between the Council, landlords, managing agents, tenants and other agencies the Council encourages	Yes	

2.0 Issues and Options	Comment	Consideration	Influence on the Preferred Option Yes/No	Effect on Content of Preferred Option
	<p>As for traffic, drivers will go the most convenient way for them, signposting will not make any difference. The only way forward would be to have a one way system through the town, to come in from Burnley to Whalley Road and use the by pass and Slade Lane, Guy Street, Higham Street down to the market out to Burnley. Many motorists use part of this route to avoid traffic at peak time making life dangerous for the residents of Bank St East and West and Adamson St. HGV's have no need to use the town centre but they prefer to be nuisance. There are double yellow lines throughout the town centre so the existing car parks are well used but there are motorists who happily park on the lines, where are the traffic wardens, why are they missing in Padiham?</p>	<p>participation in the Good Landlord and Agent Scheme and the Good Tenant Scheme.</p> <p>National and local planning policy seeks to provide everyone with the opportunity for a decent home. Providing a degree of housing choice involves ensuring that dwellings of different types, size, tenure and location are available. This includes providing a proportion of affordable and special needs housing.</p> <p>The Council is also committed to ensuring quality design in all new housing developments.</p> <p>Agree that traffic issues should be identified.</p> <p>The outdoor market on Clitheroe Street closed in early 2007. An indoor market operates on a Thursday inside the Town Hall.</p>	<p>The Preferred Option should provide a mix of housing of a high design standard which complements the local historic environment</p> <p>The Preferred Option should identify traffic as an issue and traffic management should be considered as part of proposals for Burnley Road and Church Street.</p> <p>The Preferred Option should develop opportunities to improve the local economy. This could include promoting Padiham as a quality independent shopping and business destination.</p>	

2.0 Issues and Options	Comment	Consideration	Influence on the Preferred Option	Effect on Content of Preferred Option
<p>The schools mentioned are officially in Burnley not Padiham but if the new school were to be built on the fields at Ivy Bank then the vacant Gawthorpe site could be a sports facility for Padiham.</p> <p>Also if a proper bus service were laid on for the pupils then the travelling public would not be inconvenienced at school rush times.</p> <p>I agree with most of the proposals regarding housing and think that landlords should be accountable for the state of their property and tenants.</p> <p>I am suspicious of the clause about the well integrated mix of housing, more like give land to someone to build what they like for enormous profit to the builder, look at the latest crop of houses, terrible design not in keeping with</p>	<p>There is an opportunity to use an outside area on the Town Hall Car Park if needed. Markets and events may be held on both the Clitheroe Street site and the Town Hall - providing flexibility to use for one offs such as a continental market.</p> <p>Sustrans are in the process of acquiring the disused rail line between Burnley and Padiham from Network Rail. Sustrans, as part of their Connect2, project hope to develop an off road route which will connect Blackburn to Barnoldswick. The Padiham section is phase 1 of the project and initial work on designs and clearing of the line has begun</p> <p>In 2001 the Environment Agency put forward a proposal for a canoe centre in Padiham. However, due to escalating costs the scheme was not progressed.</p>	<p>The Preferred Option should explore opportunities to link the linear park to other improvements within the area and additional pedestrian links should be considered from the linear park to the town centre, Gawthorpe Hall and quality open space.</p> <p>Deliverability is key to the AAP process, and the Preferred Option will build consideration of deliverability into all proposals.</p> <p>The Preferred Option should identify a range of potential funding sources for proposals</p>	<p>Yes/No</p>	

2.0 Issues and Options	Comment	Consideration	Influence on the Preferred Option Yes/No	Effect on Content of Preferred Option
	<p>the town or landscape and some continually on the market, not yet sold.</p> <p>Shops will continue to fail because of the road system and until that is resolved this will remain a problem. Here there are absent landlords they could be encouraged to renovate.</p> <p>The market is in a secluded spot and although there are car parks nearby, traders seem reluctant to come. Some incentive is needed to bring them and encourage them to return or maybe if a one way system were to be put in place a road side market using the unused carriageway in front of the town hall could be the market site.</p>	<p>The Council is under increasing financial pressure to deliver services more economically</p> <p>Noted</p> <p>The proposed wetlands area is currently within the Green Belt and as such is protected by planning policy.</p> <p>Agree that there are a number of vacant and underutilised mill buildings especially around Wyre Street/ Lune Street.</p> <p>Noted. The Council officers involved in the preparation of the Padiham AAP have developed a sound understanding of the issues and opportunities present in the town.</p>	<p>in order to deliver a range of regeneration activity in the town.</p> <p>The Preferred Option should consider bringing forward the under utilised mill complex at Wyre Street/ Lune Street/ Holmes Street as a site for mixed use redevelopment.. A range of uses should be considered, including residential, business (B1), general industrial (B2), retail, leisure and tourism. .A development brief should be prepared for this site.</p>	

2.0 Issues and Options	Comment	Consideration	Influence on the Preferred Option	Effect on Content of Preferred Option
	<p>The railway will continue to be derelict until agreement is reached with the owners as to their intentions for the land, this proposal has been put forward to no avail.</p> <p>It's not so long ago that funding for a canoe centre on the river was suddenly withdrawn, we have had lots of promises over the years but nothing comes of them.</p> <p>The parks too have had funding reduced, how the gardeners continue to keep them so well I don't know but they should receive the highest praise and</p>	<p>Padiham has received a substantial amount of funding in recent years through the NWDA's Market Towns Initiative for various improvement schemes.</p> <p>However Elevate funding is targeted to areas of greatest need and those neighbourhoods which are experiencing a weakness in the housing market. Padiham does not display the same severity and therefore is not a priority for Elevate funding.</p> <p>The AAP will become the planning framework for the regeneration of Padiham</p>	<p>Yes/No</p>	<p>The Preferred Option should identify a range of potential funding opportunities in order to deliver a range of regeneration activity within the town.</p>

2.0 Issues and Options	Comment	Consideration	Influence on the Preferred Option	Effect on Content of Preferred Option
	<p>more funds for their good work. instead of periodically threatening the swimming pool with closure investment is needed in better facilities and more upkeep for the playing fields with perhaps some funding for the football and cricket grounds in return for programs involving the local community.</p> <p>The footpaths and cycle paths are well used.</p> <p>The wetlands are in place and need to be left alone for wildlife and the wildlife corridor is restricted by the construction of Shuttleworth Mead an estate which need not be there if the derelict mills in the town had been renovated earlier. Until industry returns to the town regeneration will be slow so patience is needed.</p> <p>The main thing that struck me as</p>	<p>Noted</p>		



2.0 Issues and Options	Comment	Consideration	Influence on the Preferred Option Yes/No	Effect on Content of Preferred Option
	<p>I read the booklet was that whoever wrote the plan did not seem to have been to Padiham and knew very little about the town.</p> <p>The second thing was the obvious neglect of the town by the Borough Council over a long period of time and how little influence Padiham Council has had on funding and priorities for the town.</p> <p>Also a better planning policy.</p> <p>Help for voluntary groups who actually work in the town.</p>			
	<p>11/PA/112 Maureen Whittaker Agrees with issues and opportunities identified</p>	<p>Agree</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>The issues and opportunities are based upon the results of previous rounds of public consultation and sound statistical</p>

2.0 Issues and Options	Comment	Consideration	Influence on the Preferred Option Yes/No	Effect on Content of Preferred Option
				evidence. No further issues have been identified for consideration.
12/PA/116 Steve Richards Agrees with issues and opportunities identified My main issue which has been said many many times it does feel as though Padiham is Burnley's poor relation. More cctv throughout Padiham there is nowhere near enough I know this does not solve crime but it helps also makes people when out walking feel safer.	Agree Padiham had received £1 million of funding through the NWDA Market Town Initiative Funding for various improvement schemes. However Elevate funding is targeted to areas of greatest need and those neighbourhoods which are experiencing a weakness in the housing market. Padiham does not display the same severity and therefore is not a priority for Elevate funding. Agree that community safety should be identified as an issue	Yes Yes Yes Yes No Yes	All identified issues and opportunities will be carefully considered as part of the development of the Preferred Option. The Preferred Option should identify a range of potential funding opportunities in order to deliver a range of regeneration activity within the town. The Preferred Option should explore community safety issues further	

2.0 Issues and Options	Comment	Consideration	Influence on the Preferred Option Yes/No	Effect on Content of Preferred Option
<p>(Does not agree with areas where no options are being suggested). Padiham needs these improvements for Padiham people and also to attract new people into the area.</p> <p>Do not allow any drug rehab home into the area such plans were put forward for Cliff St a couple of years ago. I know it is a case of not in my back yard but we have enough problems.</p>	<p>The AAP will provide a planning framework for the regeneration of the town which can also be used as a tool to attract future funding for improvements and regeneration</p> <p>Although there are no current plans for such new facilities in Padiham, the Council will consider carefully any such proposals as and when they are put forward.</p>	<p>The Preferred Option should identify a range of potential funding opportunities in order to deliver a range of regeneration activity within the town.</p> <p>The Preferred Option should identify traffic as an issue and traffic management should be considered as part of</p>	<p>Yes/No</p>	<p>The Preferred Option should identify a range of potential funding opportunities in order to deliver a range of regeneration activity within the town.</p> <p>The Preferred Option should identify traffic as an issue and traffic management should be considered as part of</p>

2.0 Issues and Options	Comment	Consideration	Influence on the Preferred Option Yes/No	Effect on Content of Preferred Option
	If at all possible keep Padiham parking free.	All Council owned public car parks in Padiham are currently free of charge. However parking and traffic management should be identified as issues within the town.		proposals for Burnley Road and Church Street.
13/PA/124 Mrs P. Perry Agrees with issues and opportunities identified	Agree	Yes		The issues and opportunities are based upon the results of previous rounds of public consultation and sound statistical evidence.
14/PA/126 Deborah Catlow Agrees with issues and opportunities identified	Agree In order to enable positive partnership work between the Council, landlords, managing agents, tenants and other agencies the Council does encourage	Yes Yes		All identified issues and opportunities will be carefully considered as part of the development of the Preferred Option. The Preferred Option should endeavour to promote and

2.0 Issues and Options	Comment	Consideration	Influence on the Preferred Option	Effect on Content of Preferred Option
	<p>The main issue is irresponsible landlords renting to irresponsible tenants making decent hardworking peoples' lives a misery. Landlords should be made to offer properties to tenants on a 3-month contract – properties should then be checked for cleanliness/ tidy backyards/ talking to neighbours to see if they are rowdy/ play loud music etc. If not adhered to – no renewal of contract.</p>	<p>participation in the Good Landlord and Agent Scheme and the Good Tenant Scheme.</p>	<p>Yes/No</p>	<p>encourage the use of the Good Landlords and Agent Scheme and participation within the Good Tenant Scheme.</p>
<p>15/PA/130</p> <p>Mr D. Baker-Brown</p> <p>Agrees with issues and opportunities identified. All options really good ideas. Some have less impact on my family than others.</p>	<p>Agree</p> <p>Padiham has received a substantial amount of funding in recent years through the NWDA's Market Towns Initiative for various improvement schemes. However, Elevate funding is targeted to areas of greatest need and those neighbourhoods which are</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p>	<p>All identified issues and opportunities will be carefully considered as part of the development of the Preferred Option.</p> <p>The Preferred Option should identify a range of potential funding</p>	

2.0 Issues and Options	Comment	Consideration	Influence on the Preferred Option Yes/No	Effect on Content of Preferred Option
<p>Any changes will improve the area and boost the town. Spread the investment for the Borough more evenly and fairly. Not all the money to be spent in Burnley.</p> <p>(Priority for investment )- Areas 1 and 3 will have the biggest impact on my family.</p> <p>Agrees with Vision.</p>	<p>experiencing a weakness in the housing market. Padiham does not display the same severity and therefore is not a priority for Elevate funding.</p> <p>Agree. Improvements to the town centre and its gateways have the potential to attract new business and visitors into the town. This in turn could increase the local economy and improve the local housing market</p> <p>Noted</p>	<p>opportunities in order to deliver a range of regeneration activity within the town.</p> <p>The Preferred Option should explore future funding opportunities for shop front and gateway enhancement schemes along Burnley Road and Church Street.</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p>	<p>The issues and opportunities are based upon the results of previous rounds of public consultation and sound statistical evidence.</p>
<p>16/PA/131</p> <p>Mrs Ann Cooper</p> <p>Does not agree with issues and opportunities identified (Disagree with Area 6)</p>	<p>Noted</p> <p>Agree that traffic and parking issues should be identified.</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p>	<p>The issues and opportunities are based upon the results of previous rounds of public consultation and sound statistical evidence.</p>

2.0 Issues and Options	Comment	Consideration	Influence on the Preferred Option	Effect on Content of Preferred Option
	<p>Parking on the streets of Padiham is a major problem but there is no attempt to address this problem except for Area 1.</p> <p>Area 6 site EW6/4 – as a resident of Elizabeth St I am concerned about access to the above site as on street parking is a major problem in the area and the streets are not suited to heavy traffic.</p>	<p>Access into the Proposed Site would be gained from Station Road.</p>	<p>Yes/No</p>	<p>The Preferred Option should identify traffic and parking as issues and traffic management should be considered as part of the proposals where there is greatest need.</p> <p>The Preferred Option should identify access into the proposed extension of Area 6.</p>
17/PA/132	Denise Parkinson	Agree	Yes	All identified issues and opportunities will be carefully considered as part of the development of the Preferred Option.
18/PA/159	Agrees with issues and opportunities identified	Agree	Yes	All identified issues and opportunities will be carefully considered as part of the development of the Preferred Option.
Hazel Rushton		Noted	Yes	All identified issues and opportunities will be carefully considered as part of the development of the Preferred Option.

2.0 Issues and Options	Comment	Consideration	Influence on the Preferred Option Yes/No	Effect on Content of Preferred Option
<p>Agrees with issues and opportunities identified</p> <p>Don't know (if there are any other issues / opportunities). I do not live in any of the areas identified.</p> <p>I think our supermarkets are <u>very</u> poor and therefore residents with cars shop outside Padiham. This is a major problem.</p>	<p>Noted. Proposals for retail will continue to be assessed against PPS 6, RSS, JLSP Policy 16 and Burnley Local Plan policies.</p>	<p>of the Preferred Option.</p> <p>The Preferred Option should explore future funding opportunities for shop front and gateway enhancement schemes along Burnley Road and Church Street in order to attract retailers and businesses into the town.</p>		
<p>19/PA/175</p> <p>Mrs Grime</p> <p>It is confusing / don't know if agree with Vision</p>	<p>Noted</p>			
<p>20/PA/194</p> <p>Ralph Fort</p>	<p>Agree</p> <p>Noted</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p>	<p>All identified issues and opportunities will be carefully considered as</p>	



2.0 Issues and Options	Comment	Consideration	Influence on the Preferred Option Yes/No	Effect on Content of Preferred Option
	<p>Agrees with issues and opportunities identified</p> <p>I love Padiham</p> <p>Keep the Town Hall open to all and sundry.</p>	<p>The Council's Environmental Health unit and Padiham Life have both recently vacated the offices within the Town Hall.</p> <p>The markets office also no longer require space at the Town Hall. However Padiham Town Council has a lease of the ballroom and adjoining offices. CAB have an office. The first floor is let subject to contact.</p> <p>Lancashire County Council have no current plans to remove the library from the town hall.</p>	<p>part of the development of the Preferred Option.</p> <p>The Preferred Option should support suitable community uses within the town hall as and when the building becomes vacant in order to secure its future.</p>	
	<p>22/PA/197</p> <p>Ben Posthuma De Boer</p> <p>Agrees with issues and opportunities identified</p>	<p>Agree</p> <p>The out door market on Clitheroe Street closed in early 2007. An indoor market operates on a Thursday inside the Town Hall.</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p>	<p>All identified issues and opportunities will be carefully considered as part of the development of the Preferred Option.</p>

2.0 Issues and Options	Comment	Consideration	Influence on the Preferred Option Yes/No	Effect on Content of Preferred Option
	<p>If the market is to survive in the 21st Century it should be on a Saturday. Most markets have at least a monthly farmers 'market.</p> <p>The White Horse pub in Area 3 is an eyesore and doesn't fit in with the town. Many shop fascias are old and dilapidated and could be renewed.</p> <p>The railway line should be the priority for investment as it attracts litter but could be an asset.</p> <p>The river could be a real asset if the bank was tidied.</p>	<p>There is an opportunity to use an outside area on the Town Hall Car Park if needed. There is also permissions for markets to be held to both Clitheroe Street and the Town Hall - providing flexibility to use for one offs such as continental markets.</p> <p>Padiham has received £1 million of funding through the NWDA Market Town Initiative Funding. A portion of funding had been used to develop a shop front improvement scheme. Funding ends in March 08 and final allocations for this scheme have been made.</p> <p>Sustrans are in the process of acquiring the disused rail line between Burnley and Padiham from Network Rail. Sustrans as part of their Connect2 project hope to develop in time an off road route which will connect Blackburn to</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p>	<p>The Preferred Option should look at ways in which to improve the local economy. This could include promoting Padiham as a quality independent shopping and business destination</p> <p>The Preferred Option should explore future funding opportunities for shop front and gateway enhancement schemes along Burnley Road and Church Street.</p> <p>The Preferred Option should explore opportunities to link the linear park to other improvements within the area and explore</p>

2.0 Issues and Options	Comment	Consideration	Influence on the Preferred Option Yes/No	Effect on Content of Preferred Option
	<p>Barnoldswick. The Padiham section is phase 1 of the project and initial work on designs and clearing of the line has begun</p> <p>Agree the that the river is a real asset and contributes towards the character and setting of the town.</p>	<p>additional pedestrian links from the linear park to the town centre, Gawthorpe Hall and quality open space.</p> <p>The Preferred Option should investigate ways to enhance and improve the setting of the river in the town. Enhancements to the river could also be linked to quality open space and Gawthorpe Hall.</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p>	<p>The identified issues and opportunities are based upon the results of previous rounds of consultation and sound statistical evidence.</p>
23/PA/202	<p>Barbara Porter</p> <p>Does not agree with issues and opportunities identified.</p>	<p>Noted</p> <p>Planning permission has been granted for a linear park and Sustrans are in the process of acquiring the disused rail line between Burnley and Padiham from</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p>	<p>The identified issues and opportunities are based upon the results of previous rounds of consultation and sound statistical evidence.</p>

2.0 Issues and Options	Comment	Consideration	Influence on the Preferred Option Yes/No	Effect on Content of Preferred Option
	<p>Disagrees with the whole issue of the linear park which we believe will be continually fouled unless continually policed. A light railway will solve the transport problem from Shuttleworth Mead through Padiham to Rosegrove and access to one of the stations. No public transport from below Whitegate gives access to any of the stations or hospital at the moment. Taxis are so expensive as this is a low income area.</p> <p>All over Padiham there is very little housing for single or retired couples who do not want to live in high maintenance terraced housing, but cannot afford to move or maintain house they have owned for years.</p>	<p>Network Rail. Sustrans as part of their Connect2 project hope to develop in time an off road route which will connect Blackburn to Barnoldswick. The Padiham section is phase 1 of the project and initial work on designs and clearing of the line has begun</p> <p>Planning policy seeks to provide every one with the opportunity for a decent home. Providing a degree of housing choice involves ensuring that dwellings of different types, size, tenure and location are available. This includes providing a proportion of affordable and special needs housing.</p> <p>Agree, traffic and parking should be identified as issues.</p> <p>The need to protect and improve existing venues for leisure and cultural activities in Padiham is recognised.</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p>	<p>The Preferred Option should explore opportunities to link the linear park to other improvements within the area and explore additional pedestrian links from the linear park to the town centre, Gawthorpe Hall and quality open space.</p> <p>The Preferred Option should look to ensure a mix of housing of a high design standard which complements the local historic environment.</p> <p>The mix should be informed by an assessment of need.</p> <p>The Preferred Option should identify traffic as an issue and proposals</p>

2.0 Issues and Options	Comment	Consideration	Influence on the Preferred Option	Effect on Content of Preferred Option
<p>The issue of speed through the whole of Padiham as parked cars on both sides there residents only parking orders.</p>	<p>Agree, traffic should be identified as an issue</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>for traffic management should be considered where there is greatest need.</p>	<p>Yes</p>
<p>Opportunities for more community facilities eg village hall, green spaces, but education of the people is needed to keep them in good order.</p>	<p>Agree that redundant and under used mill buildings should have new uses identified in order to secure their future and retain the historic industrial character of the town.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>The Council should consider plans for either new or improvements made to existing leisure and cultural facilities as and when proposals are put forward.</p>	<p>Yes</p>
<p>Other Options:</p>	<p>The need to protect and improve existing venues for leisure and cultural activities in Padiham is recognised.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>The Preferred Option should identify traffic as an issue and traffic management should be considered in areas of greatest need.</p>	<p>Yes</p>
<p>Evening up the roads and pavements. The speed of vehicles under Dryden St bridge needs slowing even more their speed needs to be reserved on camera (if the camera like the mirrors is not punched). Lighting needs to be improved in the whole area.</p>	<p>There will be some facilities for community use in the new Shuttleworth College at Gawthorpe.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>Planning permission was granted in 2005 for change use to land from a former railway to create a footpath/ cycleway/ bridleway. Initial works to clear the track have begun and a public consultation event on the design of the park will take place in Dec 07.</p>	<p>Yes</p>
<p>The heritage development could create a museum for Padiham in one of the redundant buildings.</p>	<p>in Dec 07.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>The Preferred Option should identify sympathetic new uses for under used and redundant mill buildings where possible.</p>	<p>Yes</p>

2.0 Issues and Options	Comment	Consideration	Influence on the Preferred Option Yes/No	Effect on Content of Preferred Option
	<p>There is so much material dating back to before Padiham was absorbed by Burnley in 1974 and at the moment there is nowhere to house it, and the opportunities for education are great. Many people like myself came in after its golden age and would love to know more. The churches have much to contribute here as will the club archives if they've not been destroyed. Surely some of the mill development could create a cinema as the one in Burnley is inaccessible to those of us without transport because it stands on a very dangerous location with no bus stop.</p> <p>There needs to be a resurrected adult centre since we lost ours firstly to Burnley College and then to housing development at the</p>	<p>The need to protect and improve existing venues for leisure and cultural activities in Padiham is recognised.</p> <p>Agree, traffic should be identified as an issue</p> <p>National and local planning policy seeks to provide every one with the opportunity for a decent home. Providing a degree of housing choice involves ensuring that dwellings of different types, size, tenure and location are available. This includes providing a proportion of affordable and special needs housing.</p> <p>Agree. Padiham needs to retain and attract business and enterprise in order to increase employment opportunities.</p>	<p>The Council will consider plans for either new or improvements made to existing leisure and cultural facilities as and when proposals are put forward.</p> <p>The Preferred Option should, in consultation with Lancashire County Council, consider adult education needs.</p> <p>The Preferred Option should explore opportunities to link the linear park to other improvements within the area and consider additional pedestrian links from the linear</p>	

2.0 Issues and Options	Comment	Consideration	Influence on the Preferred Option Yes/No	Effect on Content of Preferred Option
	<p>time of writing our skills centre has also closed due to lack of funding.</p> <p>Public transport needs to be improved to stations and the hospital. Could be done by using the existing railway tracks. Even when there are trees as in our section of the railway they get destroyed.</p> <p>Other suggestions:</p> <p>Certainly more community facilities for old and young.</p> <p>Safer streets on the roads off the main roads.</p> <p>More affordable and social housing for single and retired people. People do not want to live in depressingly grey homes on</p>	<p>Planning permission was granted in 2005 for change use to land from a former railway to create a footpath/ cycleway/ bridleway. Initial works to clear the track have begun and a public consultation event on the design of the linear park will take place in Dec 07.</p> <p>Agree that a future needs to be found for the Grade II listed mill engine house.</p> <p>Resources are limited and intervention has to be targeted to areas of greatest need. The area does not display the same symptoms of Housing Market weakness as experienced by other areas in the Borough. Sympathetic improvements by property owners should be promoted.</p> <p>Agree that traffic should be identified as an issue.</p>	<p>park to the town centre, Gawthorpe Hall and quality open space.</p> <p>The Council will consider plans for either new or improvements made to existing leisure and cultural facilities as and when proposals are put forward.</p> <p>The Preferred Option should identify traffic as an issue and traffic management should be considered in areas of greatest need.</p> <p>The Preferred Option should look to ensure a mix of housing of a high design standard which complements the local</p>	

2.0 Issues and Options	Comment	Consideration	Influence on the Preferred Option Yes/No	Effect on Content of Preferred Option
<p>streets full of litter and dog fouling. They want better views not blank walls.</p> <p>Jobs need to be created that pay wages at average not lower.</p> <p>Priority for investment - of course we would all say our own area 5 why because of the disused railway - overgrown stream, dilapidated engine house and mill. Lots of houses for sale and rent, bad roads and pavements - dangerous speeding traffic, too many parked cars, even for sale, dumped and single pavements, elderly and low incomes.</p> <p>This vision is quite idealistic given the quite low levels of education and income and age of many of the population.</p>	<p>The Vision is aspirational . The AAP will set the planning framework for the regeneration of Padiham and seek funding to deliver proposals to meet with the Vision.</p> <p>Proposals for retail within the town centre will be assessed against national, regional and local planning policies including PPS 6, RSS, JLSP and Burnley Local Plan policies. Such policy includes checking that the scale of development will be appropriate to the size of the town.</p> <p>Agree. Traffic is an issue.</p>	<p>historic environment. The mix of housing should be determined with reference to an assessment of need.</p> <p>The Preferred Option should identify ways in which to retain current employment, and attract new business and enterprise</p> <p>The Preferred Option should investigate linking the linear park to improvements within the local area and explore links into the town centre, Gawthorpe Hall and quality open space.</p>		



2.0 Issues and Options	Comment	Consideration	Influence on the Preferred Option	Effect on Content of Preferred Option
	<p>Should a supermarket come it will close the businesses that have been here for decades.</p> <p>My husband being totally blind has many arguments with uneven pavements and roads inconsistent street furniture ie lamp posts not being in alignment, some against the wall, others in the middle of the pavement, and more on the kerb edge. Dropped kerbs have become uneven because traffic parks on them illegally, poles signed or not grown like mushrooms, and notices and display boards take up pavement space as do cyclists, when they should be left to pedestrians, wheel and push chairs, and small motorised scooters (for the disabled). Clear up this mess and our quality of life will improve no end.</p>		<p>The Preferred Option should identify a new use for the Jubilee Mill Engine house in order to secure its future</p> <p>The Preferred Option should provide a mix of housing in accordance with an assessment of need.</p> <p>The Preferred Option should identify traffic as an issue and traffic management should be considered in areas of greatest need.</p> <p>The Preferred Option should identify ways in which to deliver the options and therefore deliver the Vision.</p>	<p>Yes/No</p>

2.0 Issues and Options	Comment	Consideration	Influence on the Preferred Option	Effect on Content of Preferred Option
	<p>I cannot comment on signage but there is too much traffic going through Padiham. Some of the lorries are too big for the bridges, I've seen them having to let tyres down at Dryden Street. With the amount of traffic this carries to Hapton and Burnley at certain times of the day it needs to be re classified as a main road. The water mains burst on a regular basis.</p>		<p>Yes/No</p>	<p>The Council will continue to assess proposals for retail against current national, regional and local planning policies.</p> <p>The Preferred Option should identify traffic as an issue and traffic management should be considered in areas of greatest need.</p>
<p>24/PA/225</p> <p>Mrs M. Hitchon</p> <p>Agrees with issues and opportunities identified.</p> <p>Get rid of druggies.</p> <p>Noise pollution on Lawrence Street is also an issue.</p>	<p>Agree</p> <p>Agree that Padiham has a number of underlying social issues.</p> <p>Resources are limited and intervention has to be targeted to areas of greatest need. Although individual properties may display symptoms of neglect the area does not display the same symptoms of</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p>	<p>All identified issues and opportunities will be carefully considered as part of the development of the Preferred Option.</p> <p>The Preferred Option should develop a multifaceted approach where existing initiatives may be drawn</p>	

2.0 Issues and Options	Comment	Consideration	Influence on the Preferred Option Yes/No	Effect on Content of Preferred Option
	Put railings around the garden fronted houses on Lawrence Street like you have done at Burnley.	Housing Market weakness as experienced by other areas in the Borough. Sympathetic improvements by property owners should be promoted.		together to form a coordinated page of interventions to address specific issues within individual areas.  This could include encouraging property owners to undertake sympathetic improvements to properties themselves
25/PA/230	Thomas Larck  Agrees with issues and opportunities identified  Why not finish the job and do the fronts on Cobden, Ingham and Lawrence Street like at Stoneyholme.	Agree  Resources are limited and intervention has to be targeted to areas of greatest need. Although this area is displaying some symptoms of housing market weakness it is	Yes  Yes	All identified issues and opportunities will be carefully considered as part of the development of the Preferred Option.  The Preferred Option should develop a multifaceted approach where existing initiatives maybe drawn together to form a

2.0 Issues and Options	Comment	Consideration	Influence on the Preferred Option Yes/No	Effect on Content of Preferred Option
				<p>coordinated package of interventions to address specific issues within individual areas. This could include encouraging property owners to undertake sympathetic improvements to properties themselves</p>
<p>26/PA/235</p> <p>John Harrison</p> <p>Agrees with issues and opportunities identified with reservations</p> <p>I live in Partridge Hill Street which is a short cul-de-sac off Cobden Street. For quite a while we have been blighted by the last house on the odd numbers side which is No 15. Outside the front door</p>	<p>Agree</p> <p>Resources are limited and intervention has to be targeted to areas of greatest need. Although this property is displaying symptoms of neglect the area does not display the same symptoms of Housing Market weakness as experienced by other areas in the Borough. Sympathetic. Improvements by property owners should be promoted.</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p>	<p>The identified issues and opportunities are based upon the results of previous rounds of consultation and sound statistical evidence.</p> <p>The Preferred Option should develop a multifaceted approach where existing</p>	

2.0 Issues and Options	Comment	Consideration	Influence on the Preferred Option Yes/No	Effect on Content of Preferred Option
	<p>is all sorts of rubbish and also the wheelie bin. The lower window is boarded up and has been for the past 2 or 3 years. The rear of the property is also shocking windows boarded up and yet the occupants continue to reside in the house. Everyone else on the street have their rubbish taken from the back street. Why not the occupants in this house. The police are always coming as the lady has had an asbo and is drunk fairly regularly.</p>			<p>initiatives maybe drawn together to form a coordinated package of interventions to address specific issues within individual areas. This could include encouraging property owners to undertake sympathetic improvements to properties themselves</p>

2.0 Issues and Options	Comment	Consideration	Influence on the Preferred Option Yes/No	Effect on Content of Preferred Option
<p>27/PA/281</p> <p>C. Barrett</p> <p>Agrees with issues and opportunities identified</p> <p>Dumping, litter, chewing gum and dog fouling has got to be a priority for new development surely.</p>	<p>Agree</p> <p>Noted</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>All identified issues and opportunities will be carefully considered as part of the development of the Preferred Option.</p>	
<p>28/PA/282</p> <p>David Webster</p> <p>Agrees with issues and opportunities identified</p>	<p>Agree</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>All identified issues and opportunities will be carefully considered as part of the development of the Preferred Option.</p>	
<p>29/PA/283</p> <p>Unknown</p> <p>Agrees with issues and opportunities identified.</p>	<p>Agree</p> <p>Noted</p> <p>Agree that traffic is an issue.</p> <p>Noted</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p>	<p>All identified issues and opportunities will be carefully considered as part of the development of the Preferred Option.</p>	

2.0 Issues and Options	Comment	Consideration	Influence on the Preferred Option	Effect on Content of Preferred Option
	<p>Disagrees with 2.4 the demolition of schools and the creation of big super schools.</p> <p>Other issues and opportunities: yes - the lowering of speed limits on Hapton Road from 30 mph to 20 mph, starting on Manchester Rd near the top shop.</p> <p>(Suggestions for how the area should change in the next 10 years):</p>	<p>Community safety issues need to be explored further as part of the development of proposals the Preferred Options</p> <p>National and local planning policy seeks to provide every one with the opportunity for a decent home.</p> <p>Providing a degree of housing choice involves ensuring that dwellings of different types, size, tenure and location are available.</p>	<p>The Preferred Option should identify traffic as an issue and traffic management should be considered in areas of greatest need.</p> <p>The Preferred Option should give further consideration to community safety issues, possibly in conjunction with other</p>	<p>Yes/No</p>

2.0 Issues and Options	Comment	Consideration	Influence on the Preferred Option Yes/No	Effect on Content of Preferred Option
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- more activity, sport and opportunities for all age groups, not just on holiday periods.</li> <li>-Priority for investment - traffic control for safety.</li> <li>-The police for public confidence</li> <li>-and housing to regenerate community.</li> </ul>	<p>This includes providing a proportion of affordable and special needs housing.</p>	<p>initiatives available to tackle social and environmental issues.</p> <p>The Preferred Option should identify sites for new housing provision in order to ensure a mix of housing of a high design standard which complements the local historic environment . This should be informed by an assessment of need.</p>	
	<p>30/PA/313</p> <p>Smith Sutcliffe Solicitors</p> <p>C/o Planning &amp; Development Network</p> <p>Agrees with issues and opportunities identified.</p>	<p>Agree</p>	<p><b>Yes</b></p>	<p>All identified issues and opportunities will be carefully considered as part of the development of the Preferred Option.</p>



2.0 Issues and Options	Comment	Consideration	Influence on the Preferred Option	Effect on Content of Preferred Option
31/PA/324	(On behalf of Clients)	Agree	Yes	All identified issues and opportunities will be carefully considered as part of the development of the Preferred Option.
C/o Planning & Development Network	Agrees with issues and opportunities identified	Agree. Proposals for no change would not assist with tackling housing market conditions or wider issues present in the town.	Yes	The Preferred Option should identify ways in which to encourage private investment.
The key to a successful future in Padiham is to encourage and take advantage of private investment.	The "do nothing" options are unlikely to bring about improvements.	Agree, regeneration will only be successful if it generates private sector confidence to invest.	No	All identified Issues and Options will be carefully considered as part of the development of the Preferred Option
Proposals for all areas should seek to attract private investment. Reliance on public regeneration funding is not sustainable in the longer term.	Proposals for all areas should seek to attract private investment. Reliance on public regeneration funding is not sustainable in the longer term.	Planning permissions have already been granted in 2006 for a number of residential apartments to be built on the land adjacent to Canning Street and on land to the rear of Stockbridge Mill. Therefore allowing for a mix of use on the site identified on the attached plan. Construction has now begun on the Canning Street site.		The Preferred Option should all potential investors, both public and private.

2.0 Issues and Options	Comment	Consideration	Influence on the Preferred Option	Effect on Content of Preferred Option
	<p><u>Proposal for additional area of change: Stockbridge Mill</u></p> <p>The area around Stockbridge Mill as shown edged red on the attached plan is the subject of significant changes with recent residential permissions close to declining commercial uses. In the AAP of July 2005 this area was shown as an area for Heritage Led Refurbishment / Selective Demolition to encourage mixed use development.</p> <p>The owners of Stockbridge Mill have commissioned studies to advise on the future of these buildings. It is important that the mill and the surrounding area are considered as part of the current AAP so that development</p>			

2.0 Issues and Options	Comment	Consideration	Influence on the Preferred Option Yes/No	Effect on Content of Preferred Option
	<p>proposals are considered and co-ordinated in the context of Padiham as a whole.</p> <p>Proposals for Stockbridge Mill and the surrounding area would assist in delivering improvements relating to Route A and Route C.</p>			
<p>32/PA/325 Winchester Furniture Ltd. C/o Planning &amp; Development Network Agrees with issues and opportunities identified</p>	<p>Agree</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>All identified issues and opportunities will be carefully considered as part of the development of the Preferred Option.</p>	
<p>35/PA/338 Peter Carvino Disagrees with issues identified</p>	<p>Noted Resources are limited and intervention has to be targeted to areas of greatest need. Although</p>	<p>Yes Yes Yes</p>	<p>The identified Issues and Options are based upon the results of previous rounds of consultation and sound statistical evidence.</p>	

2.0 Issues and Options	Comment	Consideration	Influence on the Preferred Option Yes/No	Effect on Content of Preferred Option
	<p>You are only face lifting certain properties. People on Pitt St, Bright St, Peel St what do we get, we have major problems with traffic and noisy kids. The areas are sporadic your plan jumps all over Padiham but what about us at the back where are our facelifts and gates.</p> <p>Put alley gates everywhere to deter thieves and cars using back alleys as rat runs.</p> <p>The railway will be used as a race track for every motor bike in the area and no police after 6.30pm.</p> <p>Where's our face lift and our gardens and our alley gates when do we get ours you will make people jealous just like which started the race riots in Burnley. Pitt St alley gates required -</p>	<p>this area displays some symptoms of neglect , it does not display the same symptoms of Housing Market weakness as experienced by other areas in the Borough. Sympathetic. Improvements by property owners should be promoted.</p> <p>The Council does have a limited amount of funding available for Alley gate Schemes. The Gating Orders are based on criteria including consistently high crime and anti social behaviour statistics and consultation with residents.</p> <p>Planning permission has already been granted to develop a linear park on the disused rail line. However Community cohesion and safety issues need to be explored further as part of the development of proposals the Preferred Options</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p>	<p>The Preferred Option should develop a multifaceted approach where existing initiatives maybe drawn together to form a coordinated package of interventions to address specific issues within individual areas. This could include encouraging property owners to undertake sympathetic improvements to properties themselves</p> <p>The Preferred Option should consider whether alley - gating would be an appropriate intervention in this area.</p>

2.0 Issues and Options	Comment	Consideration	Influence on the Preferred Option	Effect on Content of Preferred Option
	<p>facelift run down properties, play area for kids. Stop cosmeticing Padiham and deal with unruly neighbours and social security parasites, drinking teenagers and open the police station.</p> <p>Priority - places with children - not a railway which teenagers will drink on.</p> <p>Put police on streets not cladding bring back neighbourhood pride not rivalry between which street gets done up and which doesn't.</p>	<p>Resources are limited and intervention has to be targeted to areas of greatest need. Although this area is displaying symptoms of neglect, it does not display the same symptoms of Housing Market weakness as experienced by other areas in the Borough. Sympathetic. Improvements by property owners should be promoted.</p> <p>Planning permission has already been granted to develop a linear park on the disused rail line. This would provide a recreational space for the whole community.</p>	<p>The Preferred Option should give further consideration to community cohesion and safety issues.</p> <p>The Preferred Option should consider the development of a multifaceted approach where existing initiatives may be drawn together to form a coordinated package of interventions to address specific issues within individual areas. This</p>	

2.0 Issues and Options	Comment	Consideration	Influence on the Preferred Option Yes/No	Effect on Content of Preferred Option
		<p>Agree that there are important community safety and cohesion issues need to be addressed.</p>	<p>could include encouraging property owners to undertake sympathetic improvements to properties themselves</p> <p>Community safety and community cohesion issues need to be explored further as part of the development of proposals the Preferred Options</p>	
<p>36/PA/341 Highways Agency Faber Maunsell</p> <p>Outlined the need to understand policy requirements of the Agency and framework within which the Agency operates.</p>		<p>Comments noted.</p>		<p>The Highways Agency will continue to be consulted as and when detailed development proposals emerge.</p>

2.0 Issues and Options	Comment	Consideration	Influence on the Preferred Option Effect on Content of Preferred Option Yes/No
	<p>Complete copies of the policy documents can be found at: <a href="http://www.dft.gov.uk/stellent/group/dft/roads/our_matters/visitors/homepage612249.nsp">www.dft.gov.uk/stellent/group/dft/roads/our_matters/visitors/homepage612249.nsp</a></p> <p>The design guidance of motorways and trunk roads is set out in Departmental Standards and Advice Notes contained in the Design Manual for Roads and Bridges (DMRB).</p> <p>The Agency will be involved from the pre-production stage throughout the LDF process which is entirely consistent with PPS 12. The Agency will offer advice and technical support to guide the scale and location of proposals in relation to the strategic road network. The Agency is concerned with the potential traffic impact of the proposals within the AAP and the potential for the strategic highway</p>		

2.0 Issues and Options	Comment	Consideration	Influence on the Preferred Option Yes/No	Effect on Content of Preferred Option
	<p>network to accommodate both in terms of capacity and safety the additional traffic associated with the Plan Options.</p> <p>General comments:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. this area within Burnley is located immediately adjacent to the trunk road network. The Agency will need to be consulted on all proposed changes to this area. Given the proximity of the site in relation to the strategic highway network, the Agency is concerned that the proposals for the Padiham area will have a significant impact upon the trunk road network.</li> <li>2. The Padiham AAP does not contain details of the likely scale of the developments proposed. Consequently it is difficult to assess the likely traffic impact of the Options within the Plan.</li> </ol>			



2.0 Issues and Options	Comment	Consideration	Influence on the Preferred Option	Effect on Content of Preferred Option
	<p>3. Given the level of details provided with respect to the Option development schemes, the Agency has been unable to accurately compare and contrast the proposals therein and the comparative level of potential impact on the strategic highway network.</p> <p>4. The Agency has therefore made comments on each of the Options within the Plan based upon estimates of traffic generated traffic, proximity to the trunk road network, potential routing of development traffic, options for travel by other modes of transport, and existing built development on site.</p> <p>5. The Agency welcomes the fact that the AAP documents clearly define the whole process,</p>		Yes/No	

2.0 Issues and Options	Comment	Consideration	Influence on the Preferred Option Yes/No	Effect on Content of Preferred Option
	detailing the next steps involved in developing the Preferred Options report.			
	<p>37/PA/363</p> <p>Ian &amp; Amanda Bennett</p> <p>Agrees with issues identified</p> <p>Yes and it needs to have more vision about Padiham's role as a driver of a mixed economy within</p>	<p>Agree</p> <p>Agree.</p> <p>A Heritage Appraisal has been conducted to assess the character and historic built environment in Padiham.</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p>	<p>All identified Issues and Options will be carefully considered as part of the development of the Preferred Option.</p> <p>The Preferred Option should consider Padiham's role within</p>

2.0 Issues and Options	Comment	Consideration	Influence on the Preferred Option Yes/No	Effect on Content of Preferred Option
	<p>East Lancs and with links to both Preston and Manchester City Regions.</p> <p>Other issues and opportunities - culture and heritage - encouraging development of heritage assets and supporting infrastructure to attract both visitors and new creative SME's.</p> <p>Heritage and culture should be prioritised above purely retail / commercial development.</p>	<p>A Heritage Appraisal has been conducted to assess the character and historic built environment in Padiham.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>East Lancashire and its links to the City Regions.</p> <p>The Preferred Option and Vision should recognise the historic built assets in the town and should build upon the findings of the Heritage Appraisal in the development of all proposals.</p>
	<p>38/PA/365</p> <p>R Eden</p> <p>Conservation area comments:</p> <p>The old Forge along the road has been neglected to such a state that it will probably fall down very</p>	<p>Noted</p>	<p>Yes</p>	

2.0 Issues and Options	Comment	Consideration	Influence on the Preferred Option	Effect on Content of Preferred Option
	<p>soon if we have any strong winds. The roof is off, slates sold and 1 wall down.</p> <p>Years ago (10-12) Mr Bond offered to put in a few hours each week to keep it going as a tourist attraction with all the machinery intact. The machinery has all gone.</p> <p>The Old Mill (Fred's) in Factory Lane, there used to be a sign on it showing visitors the town trail route and that's gone.</p> <p>other points:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Disgusting state of the bollards in Guy St. Both myself and a neighbour painted them some years ago. Could we please have something more befitting to a conservation area - some fresh</li> </ol>			

2.0 Issues and Options	Comment	Consideration	Influence on the Preferred Option	Effect on Content of Preferred Option
	<p>ones perhaps something like the ones that recently appeared in Pendle St or better?</p> <p>2. The shrubbed area opposite is looking quite good but one of the pieces (that was on the corner of John O' Gaunt St) of wood has gone missing and another one opposite has rotted and fallen off the main supports - can they please be replaced?</p> <p>Another request - please can we have a litter bin for all the litter that is coming from the chip shop in Moor Lane?</p>		Yes/No	
39/PA/399	<p>Maple Grove Developments Ltd</p> <p>C/o Steven Abbott Associates</p> <p>Disagrees with opportunities identified.</p>	<p>Noted</p> <p>There is a need to attract private developer interest into this area. However, this should be balanced against the need to respect the town's historic character.</p>	Yes	<p>The identified Issues and Options are based upon the results of previous rounds of consultation and sound statistical evidence.</p>

2.0 Issues and Options	Comment	Consideration	Influence on the Preferred Option Yes/No	Effect on Content of Preferred Option
	<p>(The following paragraph numbers relate to those in the Council's document)</p> <p>2.1 Padiham's distinctive market town character will die if it is not both vital and vibrant. The MGD plan could assist both by injecting a commercial investment. The investment will be sustainable. It will act as a catalyst for the rest of the town centre and have an impact on confidence in the Town. The opportunities listed may not include the MGD one only because the Council were unaware of MGD's proposal. As a matter of principle the redevelopment of Area 2 to provide a contemporary food store large enough to serve the needs of Padiham and its hinterland must be an opportunity to be considered. To rely on the existing facilities is no good for</p>	<p>JLSP Policy 16 identifies Padiham as a Tier 3 town centre where retail development must not significantly affect the vitality and viability of the town centre or over all shopping and leisure provision and regeneration in the area.</p> <p>Policy 4 of the JLS states that the scale of development will be appropriate to the size of the town and will include development for diversification of the rural economy and development of public transport infrastructure.</p> <p>It is agreed that a modern food store of moderate scale could stimulate the local economy and provide employment opportunities.</p> <p>Agree there is a need to attract investment into the town centre to assist in the regeneration of the town.</p>	<p>Proposals for retail development will be considered against current planning policy. The Preferred Option should identify a mixed used site and should consider bringing forward the under utilised mill complex at Wyre Street/ Lune Street/ Holmes Street as a site for mixed use redevelopment. A development brief should be prepared for this site. Suitable uses might include residential, business (B1), general industrial (B2), retail, leisure and tourism.</p>	

2.0 Issues and Options	Comment	Consideration	Influence on the Preferred Option	Effect on Content of Preferred Option
	<p>Padiham's health. Too many people drive to other locations for their food shopping.</p> <p>2.2. A way of lessening Padiham's disproportionate reliance on employment is to promote other employment sources. A modern food store will create new jobs in the town centre of various types. Because of the multiplier effect it will also stimulate employment in other businesses serving the store, its staff and customers. MGD note and agree with the frequent references to the decline of Padiham town centre in recent years. The Company could play a part in arresting that decline. In this section, again the opportunity offered by a new food store should be listed.</p>		Yes/No	

2.0 Issues and Options	Comment	Consideration	Influence on the Preferred Option Yes/No	Effect on Content of Preferred Option
	<p>2.3 The regeneration of Area 2, as described, could assist to revitalise the housing market.</p> <p>2.5 The presence of a new food store would increase the use of the quality bus service and assist in its security over the long term.</p> <p>MDG is promoting a retail development coincidental with a large part of Area 2 – and believe that it is an opportunity to regenerate the town centre. It would enhance the qualitative offer of the town centre. Area 2 offers the prospect of a development beneficial to Padiham.</p>			



2.0 Issues and Options	Comment	Consideration	Influence on the Preferred Option	Effect on Content of Preferred Option
<p>41/PA/401</p> <p>Sport England</p> <p>NW Region</p> <p>Does not know if agrees with issues identified</p>	<p>Noted</p>	<p><b>Yes</b></p>	<p>The identified Issues and Options are based upon the results of previous rounds of consultation and sound statistical evidence</p>	
<p>42/PA/426</p> <p>The National Trust - Gawthorpe Hall</p> <p>The National Trust welcomes the opportunity to respond to the latest consultation document and confirms its continued support for heritage led regeneration in Padiham.</p> <p>The one area of specific concern that we have relates to Section 2.5 (Transport and Movement) and the third of the listed opportunities. The Trust would</p>	<p>Agree that there is potential to improve pedestrian links between the town centre and Gawthorpe Hall.</p> <p>Noted</p>	<p>The Preferred Option should explore in detail proposals for improved pedestrian links between the town centre and Gawthorpe Hall.</p> <p>The Preferred Option should consider the wording of the Vision carefully in order to recognise Padiham's heritage and historic industrial mill town character.</p>		

2.0 Issues and Options	Comment	Consideration	Influence on the Preferred Option Yes/No	Effect on Content of Preferred Option
	<p>welcome investigation into the potential to improve pedestrian access linking the centre of Padiham to Gawthorpe via an attractive and well managed footpath alongside the River Calder. However, it would be concerned about possible misuse of such a route, especially if it was accessible by motorbikes.</p> <p>The National Trust supports the Vision for Padiham as set out here.</p> <p>In most respects the Objectives are supported, but the National Trust is surprised that there is no specific inclusion here of heritage considerations. It is suggested that complementary wording to that used in respect of the natural environment is added eg "<i>to protect and enhance the built environment, in particular existing</i></p>			

2.0 Issues and Options	Comment	Consideration	Influence on the Preferred Option	Effect on Content of Preferred Option
	<p><i>heritage buildings and ensure that new development reinforces Padiham's local distinctiveness".</i></p> <p>The reference to Gawthorpe in the objectives is supported subject to the significance's of the property being understood and respected, including its wider setting.</p>			<p>Yes/No</p>
	<p>43/PA/432</p> <p>Elevate East Lancashire</p> <p>Housing statistics suggest that Padiham at a macro level is not showing housing market failure. It will therefore be hard to justify pathfinder expenditure in this area. Pathfinder monies through Elevate are directed generally to those areas in greatest need of intervention within Burnley. This should be regarded as a positive message for Padiham in that the</p>	<p>Noted</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>The Preferred Option should identify and explore a range of funding streams in addition to HMR and other interventions that make up a package of regeneration activity.</p>

2.0 Issues and Options	Comment	Consideration	Influence on the Preferred Option Yes/No	Effect on Content of Preferred Option
	<p>area appears to have a recovering and functioning market and that Padiham is becoming a desirable place to live.</p> <p>The options presented all appear to reflect that the degree of interventions needed is not as strong as in other areas of Burnley. Hence we would not assume we will be as involved in taking this AAP forward as in other Burnley areas going through an AAP process. Obviously Burnley BC if using their own resources can assess value for money on proposed interventions and whether the private sector will continue to drive the regeneration of Padiham with limited or no public sector spending.</p>			

2.0 Issues and Options	Comment	Consideration	Influence on the Preferred Option Yes/No	Effect on Content of Preferred Option
47/PA/500 Why doesn't the Council apply for a cpo first instead of buying by agreement. This way they wouldn't leave properties standing empty for so long, contributing to vandalism etc.	Noted. However, it is a necessary part of the process that the Council seeks to acquire by agreement before resorting to the use of CPO powers.			
48/PA/501 Padiham has been let down by bank closures, it is easier to shop where you can just draw out your money, not to get on a bus and then shop.	Noted			
49/PA/502 Peter Kenyon Disc parking for Padiham town centre, a main road frontage for the market, the linear park and face lifting along it are all good ideas.	Noted	Yes	The Preferred Option will continue to explore all proposals put forward during the Issues and Options stage of the AAP. The Preferred Option should also identify and explore a range of	

2.0 Issues and Options	Comment	Consideration	Influence on the Preferred Option Yes/No	Effect on Content of Preferred Option
<p>53/PA/535</p> <p>Eginol Consulting Ltd</p> <p>Refers to Thompson Street Economic Improvement Area (Local Plan Policy EW6) - inclusion of land and buildings at Station Road / Green Lane 2.4ha.</p> <p>Representation:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Evidence of marketing efforts to sell or lease land for employment purposes</li> <li>- Means of access for HGV traffic is far from ideal.</li> </ul>	<p>The site is allocated in the 'saved' Local Plan as an Economic Improvement Area. Within these areas the presumption is that employment uses will remain. Pre-application discussions with the site owner and the respondent have centred on the redevelopment of the site and a potential mix of uses that could come forward to conform with the site's allocation. These discussions are ongoing. A mixed use scheme could come forward on this site if it was proven that other uses were needed to make redevelopment a viable prospect. This would not, however, require the site to be identified as an 'Area of Change' within the Area Action Plan.</p>	<p>No</p>	<p>funding streams in addition to HMR and other interventions that make up a package of regeneration activity.</p>	

2.0 Issues and Options	Comment	Consideration	Influence on the Preferred Option	Effect on Content of Preferred Option
	<p>"... We conclude that the prospects of the representation site being either re-used or redeveloped for employment purposes in the short or medium terms is remote. Consequently we consider that its continued inclusion within the Thompson St Economic Improvement Area is now inappropriate, since this designation is likely to result in a highly sustainable brownfield site remaining undeveloped for the foreseeable future, to the detriment of the regeneration of the surrounding area. Previously used land in highly sustainable locations, such as the representation site, is regarded by Government policy and the development plan, as a priority for re-use and redevelopment.</p>	<p>Representations regarding the borough's housing land situation are noted. It is correct that additional sites will be needed within the borough for new housing in the Plan period. However, the Council consider that this site represents an opportunity to provide local employment for Padiham. It is therefore not appropriate to allocate it as a housing site.</p>	<p>Yes/No</p>	

2.0 Issues and Options	Comment	Consideration	Influence on the Preferred Option	Effect on Content of Preferred Option
	<p>In addition, it should be noted that the existing buildings on the representation site are suffering from high levels of vandalism and crime. For example, activities have resulted recently in the electricity supply to areas of Padiham being cut off, as vandals sought to remove parts of the electricity sub station on the site. Despite considerable security efforts by our clients and the Police, together with inputs from the Electricity Company, the Fire Service and the Borough Council, the high level of vandalism and crime has persisted.</p> <p>Our client considers therefore that whilst Policy EW6 should be "saved", the representation site should be excluded from the Thompson St Economic Improvement Area and identified as an "Area of Change" in the</p>		Yes/No	



2.0 Issues and Options	Comment	Consideration	Influence on the Preferred Option	Effect on Content of Preferred Option
	<p>emerging Padiham AAP. The identification of the representation site as an "Area of Change" would enable its use for other than employment purposes to be reviewed, with a view to bringing a highly sustainable brownfield site back into productive use in the short term, to the benefit of, and as a catalyst for the regeneration of the surrounding area.</p> <p>.....</p> <p>Refers to RSS and PPS3 requiring that local authorities identify a 5 year supply of housing land.</p> <p>...</p> <p>We note that the Issues and Options report of the emerging Padiham AAP has identified the lack of available development</p>			

2.0 Issues and Options	Comment	Consideration	Influence on the Preferred Option Yes/No	Effect on Content of Preferred Option
	<p>land, particularly for new housing, as a significant physical constraint in Padiham.</p> <p>The representation site has the following advantages for residential use:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- highly sustainable location</li> <li>- close to a range of facilities in Padiham town centre</li> <li>- close to Burnley Rd public transport corridor</li> <li>- direct access to proposed footpath / cycle way along adjacent former railway line</li> <li>- adjacent to primary school</li> <li>- brownfield site</li> <li>- no market demand for employment use</li> </ul>			

2.0 Issues and Options	Comment	Consideration	Influence on the Preferred Option Yes/No	Effect on Content of Preferred Option
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- catalyst for the regeneration of the surrounding area</li> <li>...</li> </ul>	<p>In summary Muller Renaissance Padiham Ltd requests that, through the emerging Padiham AAP, the representation site at Station Road / Green lane, Padiham:</p>		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- be excluded from the Thompson St Economic Improvement Area</li> </ul>			
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Be identified as an area of change</li> </ul>			
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Be allocated for housing purposes."</li> </ul>			
	54/PA/537 Baxi Group	8.50 hectares (21 acres) of the Baxi site currently lies within the Lune Street economic improvement area		No.

2.0 Issues and Options	Comment	Consideration	Influence on the Preferred Option Yes/No	Effect on Content of Preferred Option
	<p>C/o Cass Associates</p> <p>3.4 Although the site has no specific designation within the plan, future development proposals will be considered in the context of its current employment based use and its contribution to employment land supply within the Borough.</p> <p>3.20 At 31st March 2007, 4.68 hectares of land was available for 269 dwellings. Within the stock of permissions, there is provision for 205 new dwellings and 64 dwellings have planning permission for change of use/conversion. This indicates that the Borough has an insufficient stock of permissions to maintain a rolling five year supply.</p>	<p>as identified in the 'saved' Local Plan. A further 7.5 hectares is located within the Green Belt.</p> <p>Economic Improvement Area. Within Economic Improvement Areas, the presumption is that employment uses will remain. Pre-application discussions with the respondent have centred on the redevelopment of the site and a potential mix of uses that could come forward to conform with the site's allocation. A mixed use scheme could come forward on this site if it was proven that other uses were needed to make redevelopment a viable prospect. This would not, however, require the site to be identified as an 'Area of Change' within the Area Action Plan. Any proposed mix of uses would be driven by the findings of the Strategic Flood Risk</p>		

2.0 Issues and Options	Comment	Consideration	Influence on the Preferred Option	Effect on Content of Preferred Option
	<p>3.21 In these circumstances it seems unlikely that the Council would refuse planning permission for new residential development based on housing land supply. What is more, there is an exception to housing restriction polices where a proposals for housing forms a key element within a mixed use regeneration project. This is emphasised in paragraph 6.3.13 of the JLSP 2001-2016, which states that any such project should be compatible with and help achieve the regeneration objectives of the Local Authority.</p> <p>4.2 As previously stated the factory is considered to be unsuitable for modern occupier requirements. There is a need to promote positive intervention on the site in order to create a seamless transition between the</p>	<p>Assessment and the vulnerability of the proposed uses to future flood events.</p> <p>Representations regarding the borough's housing land situation are noted. It is correct that additional sites will be needed within the borough for new housing in the Plan period. However, the Council consider that this site represents an opportunity to provide local employment for Padiham. It is therefore not appropriate to allocate it as a housing site.</p> <p>In summary, the current Local Plan allocation proposes the continuation of employment uses on this site. However, the Council will discuss with the land owner and their representatives the issues related to the sites redevelopment. Should it be proven that a mix of uses is required to bring the site forward</p>	<p>Yes/No</p>	

2.0 Issues and Options	Comment	Consideration	Influence on the Preferred Option Yes/No	Effect on Content of Preferred Option
	<p>departure from its past use and its redevelopment for future uses. The AAP needs to recognise this opportunity and identify the site as an 'area for change' in the Preferred Option for the AAP. The extent of the proposed area for change is shown at figure 4 (Plan attached comments). This should provide the basis for a more detailed planning framework for the site, provided by a development brief to be prepared by the landowner in collaboration with the Borough Council.</p>	<p>then a mix of uses will be considered. In the absence of such evidence it is not considered appropriate to alter the sites current designation.</p> <p>Comments regarding the potential to develop the land within the Green Belt as a wetland habitat are welcomed. Whilst it is acknowledged that the delivery of this proposal will be dependent on the redevelopment of the whole site, this area is outside of the boundary of the AAP.</p>		
	<p>Within the AAP, the Baxi Group wishes to promote a broader mix of activities at the site. It is considered that a similar approach to that which has been taken in the options for area 2 - the redundant mill complexes at Wyre Street/ Holmes Street/ Lune Street (which are being promoted</p>			

2.0 Issues and Options	Comment	Consideration	Influence on the Preferred Option	Effect on Content of Preferred Option
	<p>for high quality mix of leisure, retail and residential units) would be appropriate for this site. Whilst it is recognised that the site does not share the same characteristics as this area in terms of historic interest, there are several planning arguments which could provide complementary services to those in the town centre.</p> <p>4.4 From an initial assessment the site is considered to have firm credentials to support a sustainable mix of land uses. As previously developed land within the urban area of Padiham, its redevelopment for new uses would constitute an efficient use of brown field land. The site is within close proximity of local facilities and services located within the town centre and along Burnley Road to the south. The</p>		Yes/No	

2.0 Issues and Options	Comment	Consideration	Influence on the Preferred Option Yes/No	Effect on Content of Preferred Option
	<p>introduction of a new living and working community on the site will help to sustain the vitality and viability of these local shops and services. The provision of some small scale retail and leisure provision could provide complementary services to those in the town centre.</p>			
	<p>4.5 All forms of activity of the Gothic site are due to cease in February 2008. It is important that the closure of the site is closely linked to its redevelopment for new uses. Otherwise, there is the potential for the site to become derelict, which will impact on the amenity of the local area. Whilst a limited security presence will be maintained, the lack of activity and surveillance around the site could attract anti social activity. This scenario would have a detrimental impact on the local</p>			



2.0 Issues and Options	Comment	Consideration	Influence on the Preferred Option	Effect on Content of Preferred Option
	<p>area and could affect the aspirations of the Council to promote high quality mixed use development at the redundant mill complexes in close proximity to the site.</p> <p>4.7 Without a level of certainty on the future use of the site, it is unlikely that the Baxi Group would implement plans for the site's remediation due to the significant costs associated with these works. The result of some of the initial site investigation works that have been carried out on the site have found traces of contamination in several 'hotspots'. There are a number of other constraints on the site that are likely to impact on its availability to facilitate forms of new development. For instance, an area in the southern part on the site which adjoins the River</p>			

2.0 Issues and Options	Comment	Consideration	Influence on the Preferred Option	Effect on Content of Preferred Option
	<p>Calder is identified within Strategic Flood Risk Assessment for the Borough as an area at risk from flooding. Mitigation work will be required in this area in order to reduce the potential for flooding. Furthermore, the steep topography of the area of the site to the north of the main factory complex creates difficulty in development.</p> <p>4.8 Due to significant costs that are likely to be incurred in order to facilitate the redevelopment of the site, there is a need to promote higher value end uses to ensure viability of any scheme. It is unlikely that developing the site for employment uses, a relatively low value end use, would generate sufficient levels of finance to pay for the remediation works. This would influence the deliverability of the</p>			

2.0 Issues and Options	Comment	Consideration	Influence on the Preferred Option	Effect on Content of Preferred Option
	<p>site over the longer term. Furthermore, it is unlikely that the current market would deliver employment across the whole site.</p>			
	<p>4.9 The prospect of a single industrial user operating from the site in its current form appears to be slim. If this were to be the case, there is potential that the continued use of the site for heavy industry could cause unacceptable harm to the character and amenity of the surrounding area. In this regard there is a case that the site would qualify as one of the exceptions identified in Policy EW7 for bringing forward the site out of its current employment use.</p>			
	<p>4.11 The evidence base on which the Padiham AAP is founded identifies as one of the</p>			

2.0 Issues and Options	Comment	Consideration	Influence on the Preferred Option	Effect on Content of Preferred Option
	<p>constraints to development, the lack of available land for new housing development in Padiham. An assessment of the available housing land for the Borough, which is covered in the previous section of this report, indicates that the Council is struggling to meet the requirements for identifying a five year supply of deliverable housing land for the Borough, which is covered in the previous section of this report.. Modern high quality employment development can co exist with other uses and activities. In this case, in order to realise the site potential as an employment site there is a need to cross subsidise new employment uses through the promotion of higher value uses in a mixed use approach to development. New housing development could provide</p>		Yes/No	

2.0 Issues and Options	Comment	Consideration	Influence on the Preferred Option	Effect on Content of Preferred Option
	<p>opportunities to provide high quality serviced employment land at the site, creating skilled employment opportunities for the local workforce.</p>			
	<p>4.12 Promoting higher value end uses on the site, such as housing, retail and leisure uses will also create opportunities to look at off site improvement works. For instance, the AAP consultation document also identifies opportunities to improve links between Padiham urban area and the countryside to the east. The Gothic works site is positioned on the fringe at the interface with the open countryside. To the north and south of the site, Grove Lane and the river corridor provide the main links between the countryside to the east and the town centre to the west. The redevelopment of</p>			

2.0 Issues and Options	Comment	Consideration	Influence on the Preferred Option	Effect on Content of Preferred Option
	<p>the site presents the opportunity to improve the environment along these routes and to increase permeability between urban and rural areas.</p>		Yes/No	
	<p>An area of land to the east of the eastern factory has been identified within the AAP as an area for a proposed wetland habitat. It is understood that the concept is being developed by a local environmental organisation and is supported by the Wildlife Trust and Environment Agency. This land is within the ownership of the Baxi Group and is likely to be released as part of the disposal of the site. There is the potential for including the site as an area of ecological improvement and habitat creation as part of proposals for the redevelopment of the Gothic Works site. Viable and realistic</p>			

2.0 Issues and Options	Comment	Consideration	Influence on the Preferred Option	Effect on Content of Preferred Option
	<p>new development will provide the primary vehicle for the environmental gain on this part of the site. It could provide a valuable resource for water attenuation to mitigate the possible impacts of the flood risk at the site.</p> <p>Improving the ecological habitat on the sites adjoining the river corridor will assist in the promotion of the environmental tourism in the area. The Padiham AAP identifies the need to capitalise on the tourist attraction of Gawthorpe Hall by enhancing the links with the town centre. The site is located on the river corridor, the key route linking the two. Improving the environmental appeal of the site's corridor, such as the land to the east of the subject site, will encourage visitors of Gawthorpe Hall to</p>			

2.0 Issues and Options	Comment	Consideration	Influence on the Preferred Option	Effect on Content of Preferred Option
	<p>utilise this route, strengthening the links with the town centre. The provision of the tourist related overnight accommodation, restaurants and cafes along the river frontage could be included within the mix of uses at the site. Such facilities would contribute to the diversification of Padiham's economic base through tourism.</p> <p>Considering all the relevant factors, it is maintained that the site's contribution to the local economy can only be fully realised by promoting parts of it for other forms of development including an element of housing, retail and leisure provision. This will present opportunities to restructure the economic base within Padiham and assist in increasing the level of high income jobs within the Borough.</p>		Yes/No	



2.0 Issues and Options	Comment	Consideration	Influence on the Preferred Option	Effect on Content of Preferred Option
	<p>The returns that are generated by developing the site for higher value end users present a number of opportunities to deliver planning gain within Padiham and contribute towards the objectives outlined in the Padiham AAP Consultation Document.</p>		Yes	The Preferred Option takes account of known ground conditions but as plans and proposals are developed in more detail the Coal Authority will be consulted.
	<p>01/ALL/67 The Coal Authority</p>	<p>Comments noted The AAP is at an early stage and as plans and proposals are developed the Coal Authority will continue to be consulted as a statutory consultee.</p>	Yes	The Preferred Option takes account of known ground conditions but as plans and proposals are developed in more detail the Coal Authority will be consulted.
	<p>01/AL/67 The Coal Authority</p>			
	<p>From 1<sup>st</sup> April 2004 the CA is replacing its current arrangements for dealing with statutory consultations. Planning Authorities need no longer consult the Authority on an individual case-by-case basis. Instead, consistent with the</p>			

2.0 Issues and Options	Comment	Consideration	Influence on the Preferred Option	Effect on Content of Preferred Option
	<p>Government's proposals to amend the GDPO, the following Standing Advice applies to any application for new build development that falls within the defined consultation area previously provided to you for GDPO consultation process:</p> <p><b>The proposed development lies within a coal mining area. In the circumstances Applicants should take account of any coal mining related hazards to stability in their proposals. Developers must also seek permission for the Authority before undertaking any operations that involves entry into any coal or mines of coal, including coal mine shafts and adits and the implementation of site investigations or other works. Property specific summary information on any</b></p>			

2.0 Issues and Options	Comment	Consideration	Influence on the Preferred Option	Effect on Content of Preferred Option
	<p>past, current and proposed surface and underground coal mining activity to affect the development can be obtained from the Coal Authority. The Coal Authority Mining Reports Service can be contacted on 0845 762 6848 or at <a href="http://www.coal.gov.uk">www.coal.gov.uk</a></p> <p>These changes are being introduced because we believe it to be inappropriate to produce free mining reports in response to consultations, when in essence all development in mining areas should proceed only after any mining and ground instability has been taken into account.</p> <p>The proposed development lies within a coal mining area. In these circumstances, applicants should take account of any coal mining related hazards to stability</p>			

2.0 Issues and Options	Comment	Consideration	Influence on the Preferred Option	Effect on Content of Preferred Option
	<p>in their proposals. Developers should also seek permission from the Authority before undertaking any operations that involve entry into mines of coal including coal mine shafts and adits and the implementation of site investigations or any other works. Property specific summary information on any past, current or proposed surface and underground coal mining activity likely to affect the development can be obtained from the Coal Authority.</p>		<p>Yes/No</p>	
<p>02/AL/179 Burnley &amp; Pendle Ramblers Association Email:</p>		<p>The AAP has identified a number of green routes , including a proposed Linear Park and the Riverside Nature Trail and further work is on going with local residents to develop these further</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>The Preferred Option will identify a number of green routes and these proposals will be further developed in consultation with user groups and the community.</p>

2.0 Issues and Options	Comment	Consideration	Influence on the Preferred Option	Effect on Content of Preferred Option
	<p>Thank you for the copies of the Area Action Plans for Burnley that you sent me recently, though much the content is beyond my remit as a footpath secretary for the Ramblers Association. I have made comments earlier on both the Padiham Action Plan and the Brun Valley greenway, which includes a railway path from Rosegrove to Padiham. As ramblers we are often in favour of any scheme that enables and encourages people to walk. There are, however, some concerns over paths with multiple use. For example, walkers, cyclist and horse riders do not mix well. The differing speeds brings problems, evident on canal towpaths, especially as many cyclists carry no audible warning of approach, and accidents are a distinct possibility. There are</p>		Yes/No	

2.0 Issues and Options	Comment	Consideration	Influence on the Preferred Option Yes/No	Effect on Content of Preferred Option
	<p>other concerns and the RA would be willing to discuss at any opportunity that you may offer.</p>			
	<p>04/AL/275 J Percival Our company and associates own a number of properties in Burnley, and several within the regeneration zones. As a non resident I don't feel I can comment on any particular plan, but I would suggest that improved rail links to major cities i.e. Manchester would have a huge impact on the local economy. I appreciate that road links are excellent but I feel that trains can bypass the delays that inevitably occur on the roads.</p>	<p>It is agreed that improvements to rail links are likely to have a beneficial impact on the regeneration of the Borough. This is covered in the Burnley Local Plan and will be reflected in the Piccadilly/ Trawalgar AAP which includes Manchester Road station</p>	<p>Not in this AAP</p>	

2.0 Issues and Options	Comment	Consideration	Influence on the Preferred Option	Effect on Content of Preferred Option
	<p>I would also mention in passing that our company are long term investors and not short term speculators. Most of the properties we have bought have required total modernisation, something we do using local tradesman In view of the work we generally put into a property we tend to charge reasonable rents, unlike some companies I could mention. Also, on the rare occasions we sell properties we always try and sell a little below market value if this will help a first time buyer. In other words we try to be responsible in all our dealings. Consequently I was very interested to read in your plans that you may offload some neglected properties instead of sending in the bulldozers. If this is the case, and you wish to use</p>		Yes/No	

2.0 Issues and Options	Comment	Consideration	Influence on the Preferred Option	Effect on Content of Preferred Option
	private money, rather than Government funding, I would be very interested.		Yes/No	
07/AL/364 GONW Concern that majority of sub-areas, only a preferred and do nothing option. Considered to be insufficient. See Creating LDF's 8.3 p.91 – <i>genuine choice of options</i> . Whilst feedback form invites respondents to present alternative options, concerned that they may not have sufficient information to inform judgment. Fundamental to principal of front loading that local community is involved in and takes ownership of the options for addressing the needs of their area at an early	Comments noted. The options were largely drawn up from previous rounds of public consultation. The Vision and Objectives were placed at the back of the documents on advice of the Communications Unit to allow consultees to go straight to the section with proposals for their area.	Yes	The identified Issues and Options are based upon the results of previous rounds of consultation and sound statistical evidence. GONW will continue to be consulted during the AAP process and consideration will be given to incorporate there suggestions into the Preferred Option.	



2.0 Issues and Options	Comment	Consideration	Influence on the Preferred Option	Effect on Content of Preferred Option
	<p>stage – so helping avoid...extended debate at examination.</p> <p>Options should flow from the vision and objectives for the area. It might therefore be useful to have that material (currently obtained at the end of the documents) as part of the contextual material at the beginning.</p> <p>Evidence base crucial in supporting the choice of the preferred option and informing stakeholders about the issues which need to be addressed. Section2 of the report provides some useful information – but could be expanded upon. Could also be opportunity for AAP to support and facilitate activity in</p>		Yes/No	

2.0 Issues and Options	Comment	Consideration	Influence on the Preferred Option Yes/No	Effect on Content of Preferred Option
	<p>areas such as crime, health and community cohesion, which have not been addressed</p>			
	<p>Important that the report produced at the Reg 26 preferred options stage includes details of alternative options being considered and of any it is suggested be rejected, as well as the reasons behind those choices. Should be made clear to consultees that it remains open for them to express a preference for any option, including those you suggest be rejected, and that such a response may lead you to pursue a different option at submission stage.</p>			
	<p>Unclear whether further consultation is planned as part of Issues and Options preparation process – this stage is intended</p>			

2.0 Issues and Options	Comment	Consideration	Influence on the Preferred Option	Effect on Content of Preferred Option
	<p>to be a continuous process of engagement, rather than a one off exercise.</p> <p>Engage GO closely throughout the process of preparing DPDs. Recommend you allow the GO an opportunity to view draft documents (those to be issued for formal consultation at Reg 26 and Reg 28 stages) for publication</p>		Yes/No	
	<p>08/AL/385</p> <p>Environment Agency</p> <p>The Padiham Area has a wealth of environmental attractions and opportunities, but in the same respect these opportunities could also represent constraints. e.g. the River Calder could be a valuable amenity feature, but due</p>	<p>Comments noted. The Environment Agency will continue to be consulted as and when detailed development proposals come forward.</p>	Yes	<p>The Preferred Option will take account of flood risk in developing proposals and this will be done in consultation with the Environment Agency.</p>

2.0 Issues and Options	Comment	Consideration	Influence on the Preferred Option	Effect on Content of Preferred Option
	to a flood risk could pose a significant danger to new development.		Yes/No	
	09/AL/386 Lancs CC - Property LCC is currently looking for a 5 acre site for a highway depot and gritting facility to service the M65. This is an immediate requirement however if a site can't be found it would be useful if one could be identified as part of this exercise. Furthermore a 2 acre site is required for a waste recycling centre.	This requirement is noted. However, it would be necessary to find a site on the western side of Padiham in order to avoid heavy vehicles having to pass through Padiham. A suitable site is therefore unlikely to be found within the area covered by the AAP. Padiham already has a household waste disposal centre. The area to the rear of this site in Station Road has been identified within the Issues and Options as an extension to an existing Economic Improvement area.	Yes	The Preferred option should explore further the requirements of LCC for a highway depot
	10/AL/424 The Theatres Trust	The need to protect and improve existing venues for leisure and	Yes	The Council will consider plans for either new, or improvements

2.0 Issues and Options	Comment	Consideration	Influence on the Preferred Option	Effect on Content of Preferred Option
	<p>We note that Padiham is poorly served by cultural facilities whilst other areas appear to be well supplied. The Theatres Trust would like to emphasise the importance of providing suitable venues for cultural activities which can be used by local communities. Such centres are able to host a number of activities for the benefit of local people and provide hub for a variety of leisure interests including creative and cultural activities. A flourishing arts scene will help to give a sense of local identity and vitality, and will entertain and stimulate local residents and businesses.</p> <p>In order to increase participation in cultural activity and meet future community needs for cultural facilities, local authorities and their partners should give</p>	<p>cultural activities in Padiham is recognised.</p>	<p>Yes/No</p>	<p>to existing, leisure and cultural facilities as and when proposals are put forward.</p>

2.0 Issues and Options	Comment	Consideration	Influence on the Preferred Option Yes/No	Effect on Content of Preferred Option
	consideration to providing neighbourhood and local facilities that combine space or resources for a range of cultural, commercial and community activities in one place. Especially important in rural areas.			
11/AL/425 United Utilities United Utilities has no further comments on these consultations.		Noted		
12/AL/427 Lancashire County Council Environment Directorate Whilst reference to the Joint Lancashire Structure Plan (JLSP) in the Planning Context section		Noted All 5 AAPs are being developed at the same time, thus providing more opportunities for joined up thinking. The AAP aims to protect areas of biodiversity and geological conservation through consideration	Yes Yes	The Preferred Option will seek to retain and enhance areas of biological and geological interest in line with local and county planning policies.

2.0 Issues and Options	Comment	Consideration	Influence on the Preferred Option	Effect on Content of Preferred Option
	<p>of the AAPs is supported it should be made clear that this is applicable to the whole of Lancashire and not just the sub-region of East Lancashire. The use of the word sub-region within this context is also considered to be confusing given its use in the Regional Spatial Strategy (RSS) to refer to County boundaries.</p> <p>Whilst issues regarding accessibility are discussed under the different options, the AAPs would benefit from an over view describing how the different proposals fit together and how over all accessibility issues will be addressed. More joined up thinking is required on this issue.</p> <p>The AAPs need to recognise guidance in PPS9 'Biodiversity and Geological Conservation'</p>	<p>of areas protected by the Local Plan such as wildlife corridors and green spaces. A wildlife survey has also been commissioned to provide updated information on biodiversity across the Borough and this will be used to inform the AAP.</p>	<p>Yes/No</p>	

2.0 Issues and Options	Comment	Consideration	Influence on the Preferred Option	Effect on Content of Preferred Option
	<p>which states among other things that "Plan policies and planning decisions should aim to maintain, and enhance, restore or add to biodiversity and geological conservation interests" and in relation to networks of natural habitats "Local authorities should aim to maintain networks by avoiding or repairing the fragmentation and isolation of natural habitats through policies and plans".</p> <p>Policy ER4 'Contribution of Built Heritage to Regeneration' in the approved RSS requires that Planning Authorities in their plans, policies and proposals should set out coherent and functional ecological frameworks. Policy EM3 'Green Infrastructure' in the submitted draft of RSS introduces green infrastructure into rural and urban planning. The</p>			



2.0 Issues and Options	Comment	Consideration	Influence on the Preferred Option	Effect on Content of Preferred Option
	<p>proposals set out in the AAPS need to be set in a district framework of ecological networks and green space infrastructure.</p> <p>Padiham AAP: In discussing options for the former rail line (Option 1 Route D) regard should be made to Policy 9 of the JLS. This makes provision for the safeguarding of several disused railway lines. While the development of the disused rail line for pedestrians and cyclists is supported it should allow for the future development of rapid transit in its design.</p> <p>Improvements to public transport, walking and cycling are supported. It is important that Burnley Council works with the County Council on the various</p>		Yes/No	

2.0 Issues and Options	Comment	Consideration	Influence on the Preferred Option Yes/No	Effect on Content of Preferred Option
	signage and highway improvements identified within this AAP.			
	13/AL/441 Royal Mail Plc C/o Sanderson Weatherall  We have taken time to read the documents in full and in general we agree with the content of the document, how ever we would like to ensure that our clients sites within Burnley will not be undermined following any proposals	Noted		
	14/AL/456 Countryside Agency Letter:	Noted		

2.0 Issues and Options	Comment	Consideration	Influence on the Preferred Option	Effect on Content of Preferred Option
	<p>The Countryside Agency's key environmental interest, in the context of Strategic Environmental Assessment/ Sustainability Appraisal (SEA/SA) are landscape character and quality; visual amenity and enjoyment of the countryside; recreational opportunities; and enjoyment of access land or a public right of way.</p> <p>I have enclosed a copy of our publication, the Countryside In and Around Towns, CA207 January 2005, which explains our vision for the urban/ rural fringe. It may not be directly relevant, but will indicate our vision for the green spaces within and around built-up areas that could be of interest for the Area Action Plans.</p>		Yes/No	

2.0 Issues and Options	Comment	Consideration	Influence on the Preferred Option	Effect on Content of Preferred Option
	<p>The subject matters covered by the Area Action Plans are largely urban in nature and are therefore unlikely to affect the Agency's key environmental interests. The Agency therefore has no comment to make on the documents. We should explain that the absence of comment is simply an expression of our remit and priorities, and should not be simply taken as implying a lack of interest or indicating either support for, or objection to, the plans.</p>		Yes/No	

2.0 Issues and Options	Comment	Consideration	Influence on the Preferred Option	Effect on Content of Preferred Option
<p>15/AL/459</p> <p>East Lancs Landlords Assoc</p> <p>We believe that more emphasis should be put on selective clearance accompanied by regeneration involving the creation of gardens and garage areas. As a youngster my main aim in life was to own a house with a garden and garage, this still applies to many people today.</p> <p>Lots of effort needs to be put into crime, street scene and a general improvement in the local environment.</p> <p>It should also be remembered that the PRS is by far the most tax efficient way of providing rental accommodation ie at virtually no capital cost to the LA or Government. A huge amount of money has been invested in</p>	<p>In order to enable positive partnership work between the Council, landlords, managing agents, tenants and other agencies the Council does encourage participation in the Good Land Lord and Agent Scheme and the Good Tenant Scheme.</p>	<p><b>Yes</b></p>	<p>The Preferred Option should promote and encourage the use of the Good Landlords and Agent Scheme and participation within the Good Tenant Scheme.</p>	

2.0 Issues and Options	Comment	Consideration	Influence on the Preferred Option	Effect on Content of Preferred Option
	<p>Burnley in recent times by the PRS resulting in vast improvements to the standards within the sector whilst limiting the numbers of empty properties all at no cost to the tax payer or Council tax payer. The work done by the LA in respect of Tenant and Landlord Accreditation must also be supported and fully funded in my view, the positive aspects of these 2 projects are huge and should be further developed.</p>		Yes/No	

Table 2.3 Area 1

Area 1 – Cobden Street/ Ingham Street/Lawrence Street	03/PA/18	Marian Digger	The Council has a limited amount of funding available for alley gate	Yes	The Preferred Option should identify a multifaceted approach
<b>Option 1 – Face-lifting</b>					

**Area 1 – Cobden Street/ Ingham Street/Lawrence Street**

<p>Back of Lawrence St No's 50, 52, 54, 56 Co-op Building request alley gating because we feel very vulnerable.</p>	<p>scheme. The gating orders are based on criteria including consistently high crime and anti social behaviour statistics and consultation with residents.</p>	<p>where existing initiatives may be drawn together to form a coordinated package of interventions to address specific issues in individual area.</p>
<p>09/PA/64 Mrs B. Whitham Agree with Area 1 Option 1 Streets in urgent need of facelifting.</p>	<p>Noted. Resources are limited and intervention has to be targeted to areas of greatest need. Although this area is displaying signs of neglect, the area does not display the same symptoms of housing market weakness as experienced by other areas in the Borough. Sympathetic improvements by property owners should be promoted.</p>	<p>The Preferred Option should identify a multifaceted approach where existing initiatives may be drawn together to form a coordinated package of interventions to address specific issues in individual area.</p>
	<p>Yes</p>	<p>The Preferred Option should identify a range of potential funding opportunities in order to deliver a range of regeneration activity in the town.</p>

**Area 1 – Cobden Street/ Ingham Street/Lawrence Street**

<p>11/PA/112</p> <p>Maureen Whittaker</p> <p>Agree with Area 1 Option 1 and 2, however I am greatly concerned by private tenancies, which currently have detrimental impact on streets.</p>	<p>Noted. In order to enable positive partnership work between the Council, landlords, managing agents, tenants and other agencies the Council encourages participation in the in the Good Landlord and Agent Scheme and the Good Tenant Scheme.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>The Preferred Option should promote and encourage participation in the Good Land Lord and Agent Scheme and the Good Tenant Scheme.</p>
<p>12/PA/116</p> <p>Steve Richards</p> <p>Agree with Area 1 Option 1 - This would improve an already neglected and run down area. Also this area still has a neighbourhood community.</p> <p>The terraced streets in my opinion have a great deal of character and if they were to all be facelifted it would bring new life to the houses.</p>	<p>Noted</p> <p>Resources are limited and intervention has to be targeted to areas of greatest need. Although this area is displaying some signs of housing market weakness it is not as severe as other areas in the borough.</p> <p>Sympathetic improvements by property owners should therefore be promoted.</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p>	<p>The Preferred Option should identify a multifaceted approach where existing initiatives may be drawn together to form a coordinated package of interventions to address specific issues in individual area.</p> <p>The Preferred Option should identify a range of potential funding opportunities</p>



**Area 1 – Cobden Street/ Ingham Street/Lawrence Street**

<p>Installing alley gates. I have seen this in areas of Burnley and it does seem to have worked really well in parts of Burnley. I know of one street where they have planted tubs, hanging baskets, tables and chairs now on their back street.</p> <p>Tree planting is a great idea and will further enhance the area.</p> <p>Winchester works either needs work doing to the building or pulling down for reuse as houses</p>	<p>This site is currently protected for employment uses and falls within the boundary of Local Plan policy EW 6/3: Lune Street, Padiham Economic Improvement Area</p> <p>Sufficient housing land has already been identified without the use of this site.</p>	<p>The Preferred Option should seek to retain sites suitable for employment use within the town.</p>
<p>14/PA/126</p> <p>Deborah Catlow</p> <p>Area 1 Option 1 agrees - A welcome investment for homeowners, however rented</p>	<p>Noted. In order to enable positive partnership work between the Council, landlords, managing agents, tenants and other agencies the Council encourages participation</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>The Preferred Option should identify a multifaceted approach where existing initiatives may be drawn together to form a coordinated package of interventions</p>

**Area 1 – Cobden Street/ Ingham Street/Lawrence Street**

properties bringing area down. Landlords need to monitor tenants.

If landlords monitored their properties to check cleanliness inside/ outside and manners/ good behaviour to neighbours etc then it would make the proposed improvements more successful plus half the problems would be sorted before you facelifted a property. Tenants need to learn what the word "respect" means.

If Option 1 in Areas 1, 4 and 5 is carried out and tenants are made to respect their properties and neighbours, it will be money well spent. If tenants aren't made to respect then I'm afraid in time areas will be back to square 1.

in the in the Good Landlord and Agent Scheme and the Good Tenant Scheme.

to address specific issues in individual area. Such interventions could include the promotion of participation in the Good Land Lord and Agent Scheme and the Good Tenant Scheme.

**Area 1 – Cobden Street/ Ingham Street/Lawrence Street**

Area 1 should be the priority for investment. A pleasant decent area until about 12 months ago. Stop the rot before it's too late. Make landlords responsible.

<p>15/PA/130</p> <p>Mr D. Barker-Brown</p> <p>Agrees - Option 1 improves an area close to my property</p> <p>Priority for investment - Areas 1 and 3 will have the biggest impact on my family.</p>	<p>Noted. Resources are limited and intervention has to be targeted to areas of greatest need. Although this area is displaying some signs of housing market weakness it is not as severe as other areas in the borough. Sympathetic improvements by property owners should therefore be promoted.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>The Preferred Option should identify a multifaceted approach where existing initiatives may be drawn together to form a coordinated package of interventions to address specific issues in individual area.</p>
<p>25/PA/230</p> <p>Thomas Larck</p>	<p>Noted. Resources are limited and intervention has to be targeted to areas of greatest need.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>The Preferred Option should identify a multifaceted approach where existing initiatives</p>

**Area 1 – Cobden Street/ Ingham Street/Lawrence Street**

<p>Why not finish the job and do the fronts on Cobden, Ingham and Lawrence St like Stoneyholme.</p> <p>Although this area is displaying some signs of housing market weakness it is not as severe as other areas in the borough. Sympathetic improvements by property owners should therefore be promoted.</p> <p>The Preferred Option should identify a range of potential funding opportunities.</p>	<p>may be drawn together to form a coordinated package of interventions to address specific issues in individual area.</p> <p>The Preferred Option should identify a multifaceted approach where existing initiatives may be drawn together to form a coordinated package of interventions to address specific issues in individual area.</p>
<p>26/PA/235</p> <p>John Harrison</p> <p>Agrees with Option 1. I live in Partridge Hill Street, which is a short cul-de-sac off Cobden Street. For quite a while we have been blighted by the condition of no 15 which has rubbish outside the front. The lower window is boarded up and has been for the past 2 or 3 years. There are also windows boarded up at the rear of the property and yet the house is occupied.</p> <p>Noted. Resources are limited and intervention has to be targeted to areas of greatest need. Although this property is displaying signs of neglect the area does not display the same symptoms of Housing Market weakness as experienced by other areas in the Borough. Sympathetic improvements by property owners should therefore be promoted.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>The Preferred Option should identify a multifaceted approach where existing initiatives may be drawn together to form a coordinated package of interventions to address specific issues in individual area.</p>	

**Area 1 – Cobden Street/ Ingham Street/Lawrence Street**

Everyone else on the street has their rubbish taken from the back street. Why not the occupants of this house. Also the police are always coming as the lady has had an asbo and is drunk fairly regularly.

27/PA/281	Noted	Yes	The Preferred Option will explore the options put forward in the Issues and Options further.
C. Barrett			
Agrees with all options.			
32/PA/325	This site is currently within policy EW/3 economic improvement area in the Burnley Local Plan. Increasing employment opportunities on this site have the potential to enhance the local economy.	Yes	The Preferred Option should explore all options to improve the town economy
Winchester Furniture Ltd			
C/o Planning & Development Network			
This option targets Winchester Works for regeneration, which accords with the owner's intentions. Proposals to improve Winchester Works are currently before the Council	In December 2003 planning permission was given to form 2 industrial		

**Area 1 – Cobden Street/ Ingham Street/Lawrence Street**

units and in November 2005 planning permission was granted for an additional 3 industrial units on land adjacent to Grove Mill.

Planning permission was granted in June 2007 for change of use to Winchester Works for use as a snooker centre (Use Class D2)

36/PA/341

Highways Agency

C/o Faber Maunsell

Area 1 Options 1, 2 and 3

The Agency makes no comments with respect to the Options presented; suffice to say that the Area should be developed with attention to access by modes of transport

Comments noted.

Yes

The Highways Agency will continue to be consulted as and when detailed development proposals come forward.

**Area 1 – Cobden Street/ Ingham Street/Lawrence Street**

other than the private vehicle in order that the traffic impact of such developments is minimised. Improvements in public transport should be proposed where appropriate.

The development of Option 1 has the potential to result in increases in traffic on the trunk road network. The Agency will need to be consulted in more detail in order that the impact of traffic on the strategic highway network can be assessed. Presently the AAP contains insufficient detail for that appraisal to be undertaken.

Assessments of the impact of the development on the trunk road network will need to be undertaken in accordance with the requirements of PPG 13 and the relevant Dept for Transport policy. This includes the provision of

Area 1 – Cobden Street/ Ingham Street/Lawrence Street			
adequate Transport Assessment and Travel Plan reports.			
41/PA/401	Noted	Yes	The Preferred Option should identify a multifaceted approach where existing initiatives may be drawn together to form a coordinated package of interventions to address specific issues in individual area.
Sport England Agrees with all options.			
52/PA/514	Noted. Resources are limited and intervention has to be targeted to areas of greatest need. Although this area is displaying signs of neglect the area does not display the same symptoms of housing market weakness as experienced by other areas in the Borough. Sympathetic	Yes	The Preferred Option should identify a multifaceted approach where existing initiatives may be drawn together to form a coordinated package of interventions to address specific issues in individual area.
Howard Hudson Face lifting terraced houses with such a high proportion of rented properties is in my opinion a waste of public money.			



**Area 1 – Cobden Street/ Ingham Street/Lawrence Street**

		improvements by property owners should be promoted.	
<b>Option 2 – Home Zone</b>	04/PA/19 John Greenwood Agrees with Option 2. Plus alley gating to no 50-56 Lawrence St and the old Co-op building.	Noted. The Council has a limited amount of funding available for alley gate scheme. The gating orders are based on criteria including consistently high crime and anti social behaviour statistics and consultation with residents.	Yes The Preferred Option should identify a multifaceted approach where existing initiatives may be drawn together to form a coordinated package of interventions to address specific issues in individual area.
	11/PA/112 Maureen Whittaker Agrees with Area 1 Option 1 and 2, however I am greatly concerned by private tenancies, which currently have detrimental impact on streets.	Noted. In order to enable positive partnership work between the Council, landlords, managing agents, tenants and other agencies the Council encourages participation in the in the Good Landlord and Agent Scheme and the Good Tenant Scheme.	Yes The Preferred Option should identify a multifaceted approach where existing initiatives may be drawn together to form a coordinated package of interventions to address specific issues in individual areas. Such interventions could include the promotion of participation in the Good

<p><b>Area 1 – Cobden Street/ Ingham Street/Lawrence Street</b></p>	<p>Land Lord and Agent Scheme and the Good Tenant Scheme.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>The Preferred Option will explore the options put forward in the Issues and Options further.</p>
<p>27/PA/281 C. Barrett Agrees with all options.</p>	<p>Noted</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>The Highways Agency will continue to be consulted as and when detailed development proposals come forward.</p>
<p>36/PA/341 Highways Agency C/o Faber Maunsell Area 1, Options 1, 2 and 3 The Agency makes no comments with respect to the Options presented; suffice to say that the Area should be developed with attention to access by modes of transport other than the private vehicle in order that the traffic impact of such developments is</p>	<p>Noted</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>The Highways Agency will continue to be consulted as and when detailed development proposals come forward.</p>

**Area 1 – Cobden  
Street/ Ingham  
Street/Lawrence  
Street**

minimised. Improvements in public transport should be proposed where appropriate.

The development of Option 2 has the potential to result in increases in traffic on the trunk road network. The Agency will need to be consulted in more detail in order that the impact of that traffic on the strategic highway network can be assessed. Presently the AAP contains insufficient detail for that appraisal to be undertaken.

Assessments of the impact of the development on the trunk road network will need to be undertaken in accordance with the requirements of PPG 13 and the relevant Dept for Transport policy. This includes the provision of

<b>Area 1 – Cobden Street/ Ingham Street/Lawrence Street</b>					
adequate Transport Assessment and Travel Plan reports.					
41/PA/401	Sport England	Agrees with all options.	Noted	Yes	The Preferred Option will explore the options put forward in the Issues and Options further.
<b>Option 3 – No Change</b>					
27/PA/281	C. Barrett	Agrees with all options.	Noted	Yes	The Preferred Option will explore the options put forward in the Issues and Options further.
32/PA/325	Winchester Furniture LTD C/O Andrew Walker	Disagrees with Option 3. To do nothing will not improve Padiham	Proposals for no change may not assist with tackling housing market conditions or wider issues present in the town.	Yes	All identified options will be considered carefully as part of the development of the Preferred Option.

**Area 1 – Cobden Street/ Ingham Street/Lawrence Street**

<p>36/PA/341</p>	<p>Noted</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>The Highways Agency will continue to be consulted as and when detailed development proposals come forward.</p>
<p>Highways Agency C/O Faber Maunsell</p>	<p>The Agency makes no comments with respect to the Options presented; suffice to say that the Area should be developed with attention to access by modes of transport other than the private vehicle in order that the traffic impact of such developments is minimised. Improvements in public transport should be proposed where appropriate.</p> <p>The development of Option 2 has the potential to result in increases in traffic on the trunk road network. The Agency will need to be consulted in more detail in order that the impact of that traffic on the strategic highway network can be</p>		

**Area 1 – Cobden Street/ Ingham Street/Lawrence Street**

assessed. Presently the AAP contains insufficient detail for that appraisal to be undertaken.

Assessments of the impact of the development on the trunk road network will need to be undertaken in accordance with the requirements of PPG 13 and the relevant Dept for Transport policy. This includes the provision of adequate Transport Assessment and Travel Plan reports.

Option 3 will not result in an impact of additional traffic on the strategic highway network.

41/PA/401

Noted

Sport England

Agrees with all options.

**Area 1 – Cobden Street/ Ingham Street/Lawrence Street**

Other Options?	03/PA/18	The Council has a limited amount of funding available for alley gate scheme. The gating orders are based on criteria including consistently high crime and anti social behaviour statistics and consultation with residents	Yes	The Preferred Option should identify a multifaceted approach where existing initiatives may be drawn together to form a coordinated package of interventions to address specific issues in individual areas.
	<p>Marian Digger</p> <p>Alley gating at the back of Lawrence Street and 50,52, 54 and 56 Coop Building.</p>			
	<p>06/PA/21</p> <p>L. Digger</p> <p>Disagree with the top half of Ingham Street not being included and not being blended into rest of street</p> <p>Traffic calming and alley gating should be considered.</p>	<p>Padiham does not display the same symptoms of housing market collapse as experienced by other parts of the Borough. Limited resource for physical improvements are targeted to areas of greatest need.</p> <p>Agree, however that the top half of Ingham/ Lawrence Streets should be incorporated into to any social/ environmental improvement schemes within this residential area.</p>		<p>The identified issues and opportunities are based upon the results of previous rounds of consultation and sound statistical evidence.</p> <p>The Preferred Option should develop a multifaceted approach where existing initiatives may be drawn together to form a coordinated package of interventions to address specific issues within individual areas.</p>

**Area 1 – Cobden Street/ Ingham Street/Lawrence Street**

<p>Agree that traffic should be identified as an issue.</p> <p>The Council does have a limited amount of funding available for Alley gate Schemes. The Gating Orders are based on criteria including consistently high crime and anti social behaviour statistics and consultation with residents.</p>	<p>The Preferred Option should promote various actions to tackle local problems. Proposals for traffic calming and alley gating will depend on the availability of funding and residents support.</p>
<p>24/PA/225</p> <p>Mrs M. Hitchon</p> <p>Put railings around the garden fronted houses on Lawrence Street like you have in Bumley.</p>	<p>Padiham does not display the same symptoms of housing market collapse as experienced by other parts of the Borough. Limited resource for physical improvements are targeted to areas of greatest need. Sympathetic improvements by property owners should be promoted.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>The Preferred Option should develop a multifaceted approach where existing initiatives may be drawn together to form a coordinated package of interventions to address specific issues within individual areas. This should include encouraging property owners to make</p>



<p><b>Area 1 – Cobden Street/ Ingham Street/Lawrence Street</b></p>	<p>sympathetic improvements themselves.</p>	<p>25/PA/230 Thomas Larck Do the fronts on Cobden, Ingham and Lawrence Streets like Stoneyholme.</p> <p>Padiham does not display the same symptoms of housing market collapse as experienced by other parts of the Borough. Limited resources for physical improvements are targeted to areas of greatest need. Sympathetic improvements by property owners should be promoted.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>The Preferred Option should develop a multifaceted approach where existing initiatives may be drawn together to form a coordinated package of interventions to address specific issues within individual areas. This could include encouraging property owners to make sympathetic improvements themselves.</p>
---	---	---

Area 1 – Cobden Street/ Ingham Street/Lawrence Street				
	36/PA/341	Highways Agency C/o Faber Maunsell	Noted	The Highways Agency will continue to be consulted as and when detailed development proposals come forward.
		The Agency makes no comments with respect to the Options presented, except to say that the area should be developed in a sustainable way to ensure the need to travel is reduced. Sites should be developed with attention to access by modes of transport other than the private vehicles in order that the traffic impact of such developments is minimised. Improvements in public transport should be proposed where appropriate.		

<p><b>Area 1 – Cobden Street/ Ingham Street/Lawrence Street</b></p>	<p>08/AL/385 Environment Agency Cobden St/Ingham St/Lawrence St: The site lies at the edge of Flood Zone 3, as identified on our flood map. As such, we have no issues or concerns in relation to the development options for this area.</p>	<p>Noted</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>The Environment Agency will continue to be consulted as and when detailed development proposals come forward.</p>
<p><b>Priority for investment</b></p>	<p>04/PA/19 John Greenwood Alley gates would make the area safer, more secure, more private and should make the back streets cleaner</p>	<p>The Council has a limited amount of funding available for alley gate scheme. The gating orders are based on criteria including consistently high crime and anti social behaviour statistics and consultation with residents</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>The Preferred Option should identify a multifaceted approach where existing initiatives may be drawn together to form a coordinated package of interventions to address specific issues in individual areas.</p>
	<p>06/PA/21 L. Digger</p>	<p>Padiham does not display the same symptoms of housing market failure</p>		<p>The Preferred Option should identify a multifaceted approach</p>

<p><b>Area 1 – Cobden Street/ Ingham Street/Lawrence Street</b></p>	<p>All back Street in area</p> <p>being experienced by other parts of the Borough. Limited resources for physical improvements are targeted to areas of greatest need. Sympathetic improvements by property owners should be promoted.</p>	<p>where existing initiatives may be drawn together to form a coordinated package of interventions to address specific issues in individual areas.</p>
	<p>09/PA/64</p> <p>Mrs B Whitham</p> <p>Area 1 improvements urgently needed</p>	<p>Noted</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>The Preferred Option should identify a multifaceted approach where existing initiatives may be drawn together to form a coordinated package of interventions to address specific issues in individual areas.</p>
	<p>11/PA/112</p> <p>Maureen Whittaker</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>The Preferred Option should identify a multifaceted approach where existing initiatives</p>

**Area 1 – Cobden Street/ Ingham Street/Lawrence Street**

<p>There needs to be a much better landlord / tenant contract renewed quarterly otherwise any investment is simply money down the drain.</p> <p>If action is not taken now Area 1 will blight the town.</p>	<p>and other agencies the Council encourages participation in the in the Good Landlord and Agent Scheme and the Good Tenant Scheme.</p>	<p>may be drawn together to form a coordinated package of interventions to address specific issues in individual areas. Such interventions could include the promotion of participation in the Good Land Lord and Agent Scheme and the Good Tenant Scheme.</p>
<p>14/PA/126</p> <p>Deborah Catlow</p> <p>A pleasant decent area up until approx 12 months ago. Stop the rot before its too late. Make landlords responsible.</p>	<p>In order to enable positive partnership work between the Council, landlords, managing agents, tenants and other agencies the Council encourages participation in the in the Good Landlord and Agent Scheme and the Good Tenant Scheme.</p>	<p>The Preferred Option should identify a multifaceted approach where existing initiatives may be drawn together to form a coordinated package of interventions to address specific issues in individual areas. Such interventions could include the promotion of participation in the Good</p>

<p><b>Area 1 – Cobden Street/ Ingham Street/Lawrence Street</b></p>	<p>Land Lord and Agent Scheme and the Good Tenant Scheme.</p>
<p>15/PA/130</p> <p>Mr D. Barker-Brown</p> <p>Areas 1 (and 3) will have the biggest impact on my family.</p>	<p>Noted</p>
<p>24/PA/225</p> <p>Mrs M. Hitchon</p> <p>Noise pollution on Lawrence Street.</p> <p>Put railings around the garden fronted houses on Lawrence Street like you have done in Burnley.</p> <p>Priority for investment - Lawrence Street because its going down hill.</p>	<p>Padiham does not display the same symptoms of Housing Market failure being experienced by other parts of the Borough. Limited resources for physical improvements are targeted to areas of greatest need. Sympathetic improvements by property owners should be promoted.</p> <p>The Preferred Option should develop a multifaceted approach where existing initiatives may be drawn together to form a coordinated package of interventions to address specific issues within individual areas. This could include encouraging property owners to make sympathetic improvements themselves.</p>

Table 2.4 Area 2

**Area 2 – Mill Complexes  
– Wyre Street/Holmes  
Street/ Lune Street**

<b>Option 1 – Heritage – Led Redevelopment and Regeneration</b>	<b>04/PA/19</b>	<b>Noted</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>This option will be carefully considered as part of the development of the Preferred Option.</b>
John Greenwood	Agrees with Option 1			
Mrs B. Whittham	Disagrees with Option 1 - will benefit only a few business owners	The area currently comprises a number of underutilised and vacant mill buildings currently within employment use. Although there is a need to retain employment sites within the town there is also a need to meet the needs of existing and future business in order to secure the town's economic future. Allowing a mix of uses on the site will not just	Yes	The Preferred Option should consider bringing forward the under utilised mill complex at Wyre Street/ Lune Street/ Holmes Street as a site for mixed use redevelopment. A range of suitable uses including residential, business (B1), general industrial (B2), retail, leisure and tourism could be considered. A development brief should be prepared and consideration be given to the inclusion of a SUD's scheme.

**Area 2 – Mill Complexes  
– Wyre Street/Holmes  
Street/ Lune Street**

benefit a small number of owners but has the potential to strengthen the towns economy .

12/PA/116

Steve Richards

Option 1 is a great chance to improve Padiham, to make this area into shops & residential. I feel this would be an attraction on its own, especially if the

Agree that redundant and under used mill buildings have the potential to be developed into a niche location for a mix of uses which could take

Yes

The Preferred Option should consider bringing forward the under utilised mill complex at Wyre Street/ Lune Street/ Holmes Street as a site for mixed use redevelopment. A range of suitable uses including residential, business (B1), general industrial (B2), retail,



**Area 2 – Mill Complexes  
– Wyre Street/Holmes  
Street/ Lune Street**

<p>shops were taken up with high-class high street names. Also what a place to live next to the river and in the town centre with easy access to motorway and nearby business parks.</p>	<p>advantage of their town centre/ riverside location.</p>	<p>leisure and tourism could be considered. A development brief should be prepared and consideration be given to the inclusion of a SUD's scheme.</p>
<p>27/PA/281 C. Barrett Agrees with all options.</p>	<p>Noted</p>	<p><b>Yes</b>  This option will be carefully considered as part of the development of the Preferred Option.</p>
<p>36/PA/341 Highways Agency C/o Faber Maunsell  The development of Option 1 is likely to result in the increase in traffic on the trunk road network. The Agency will need to be consulted in more detail in order that the impact of that traffic on the strategic highway network can be</p>	<p>Noted</p>	<p><b>Yes</b>  The Highways Agency will continue to be consulted as and when detailed development proposals emerge.</p>

**Area 2 – Mill Complexes  
– Wyre Street/Holmes  
Street/ Lune Street**

assessed. Presently the AAP contains insufficient detail for that appraisal to be undertaken.

Assessments of the impact of the development on the trunk road network will need to be undertaken in accordance with PPG13.

The area should be developed with attention to access by modes of transport other than the private vehicle in order that the traffic impact of such developments is minimised. Improvements to public transport should be proposed where appropriate.

39/PA/399	The vacant and underutilised mill buildings have the potential to be retained and	Yes	The Preferred Option should consider bringing forward the under utilised mill complex at Wyre Street/ Lune Street/ Holmes Street
Maple Grove Developments Ltd		Yes	

**Area 2 – Mill Complexes  
– Wyre Street/Holmes  
Street/ Lune Street**

<p>C/o Steven Abbot Associates</p> <p>Disagree: Area 2 - Options 1 and 2.</p> <p>Signage and traffic management need reviewing. However these demand a holistic approach, which takes account of the MGD site.</p>	<p>developed for a mix of uses including business and enterprise, retail and residential on upper floors. Development could also take advantage of the setting of the town centre/ riverside location.</p> <p>Noted. Traffic management within Padiham is an issue which</p>	<p>as a site for mixed use redevelopment. A range of suitable uses including residential, business (B1), general industrial (B2), retail, leisure and tourism could be considered. A development brief should be prepared and consideration be given to the inclusion of a SUD's scheme.</p> <p>The Preferred Option should identify priority areas within Padiham where traffic management is in greatest need.</p>
--	--	--

Area 2 – Mill Complexes – Wyre Street/Holmes Street/ Lune Street		should be addressed in the Preferred Option	Yes	All options will be carefully considered as part of the development of the Preferred Option.
41/PA/401	Sport England Agrees with all options.	Noted	Yes	
42/PA/426	Gawthorpe Hall C/o National Trust  The National Trust has few significant comments on the proposals but it does consider that the mill complex identified as Area 2 is strategically positioned and that its potential for conversion/ refurbishment for mixed uses should be progressed.	Agree. The vacant and underutilised mill buildings have the potential to be retained and developed for a mix of uses including business and enterprise, retail and residential on upper floors. Development could also take advantage of the character and	Yes	The Preferred Option should consider bringing forward the under utilised mill complex at Wyre Street/ Lune Street/ Holmes Street as a site for mixed use redevelopment. A range of suitable uses including residential, business (B1), general industrial (B2), retail, leisure and tourism could be considered. A development brief should be prepared and consideration be given to the inclusion of a SUD's scheme.

**Area 2 – Mill Complexes  
– Wyre Street/Holmes  
Street/ Lune Street**

	<p>setting of the town centre/ riverside location.</p>			
<p>52/PA/514</p> <p>Howard Hudson</p> <p>I would like to see this area redeveloped with this option as I feel Padiham could be a viable small town given the opportunity to attract professional business / residents.</p>		<p>Agree. The vacant mill buildings have the potential to be retained and developed for a mix of uses including business and enterprise, retail and residential on upper floors. Development could also take advantage of the setting of the town centre/ riverside location.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>The Preferred Option should consider bringing forward the under utilised mill complex at Wyre Street/ Lune Street/ Holmes Street as a site for mixed use redevelopment. A range of suitable uses including residential, business (B1), general industrial (B2), retail, leisure and tourism could be considered. A development brief should be prepared and consideration be given to the inclusion of a SUD's scheme.</p>

**Area 2 – Mill Complexes  
– Wyre Street/Holmes  
Street/ Lune Street**

08/AL/385	Noted	Yes	A Strategic Flood Risk Assessment will be undertaken before proposals are brought forward and the Environment Agency will continue to be consulted as and when detailed development proposals emerge.
<p>Environment Agency</p> <p>Area 2: Mill complexes – Wyre St/Holmes St/ Lune St: The proposed development options involve the redevelopment of the mill complex, which is at risk of flooding. Allocations within areas considered to be at risk of flooding should only be considered once a Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA), as required by the emerging PPS25, has been undertaken and has satisfactorily demonstrated that there are no reasonable alternatives available in lower flood risk zones. The SFRA should guide development away from flood risk areas, but it recognises that development in some flood</p>			<p>Any proposals being considered in areas at risk of flooding will be covered by a flood risk assessment</p>

**Area 2 – Mill Complexes  
– Wyre Street/Holmes  
Street/ Lune Street**

risk areas may be required. In such cases, the SFRA will need to demonstrate such proposals meet the 'Exception Test' as identified in Para D10 of PPS 25.

In addition, to flood risk implications, the redevelopment of this site will need to take account of the proximity of the River Calder (a designated 'main river' watercourse) and the potential for the site to be contaminated.

<b>Option 2 – No Change</b>	27/PA/281 C. Barrett Agrees with all options.	Noted	Yes	Options will be carefully considered as part of the development of the Preferred Option.
	36/PA/341 Highways Agency C/o Faber Maunsell	Noted	Yes	The Highways Agency will continue to be consulted as and when detailed development proposals

Area 2 – Mill Complexes – Wyre Street/Holmes Street/ Lune Street			
	Option 2 will not result in an impact of additional traffic on the strategic highway network; therefore the Agency makes no comments.		emerge.
39/PA/399	Maple Grove Developments Ltd C/o Steven Abbott Associates	Noted	Yes
	Disagrees the strategy for Padiham town centre and with Option 2.		The identified Issues and Opportunities which formed the bases of all options are based upon the results of previous consultation and sound statistical evidence.
41/PA/401	Sport England Agrees with all options.	Noted	Yes
			This option will be carefully considered as part of the development of the Preferred Option.
<b>Other Options?</b>	23/PA/202 Barbara Porter	Agree that redundant and under used mill	Yes
			The Preferred Option should consider bringing forward the under utilised



**Area 2 – Mill Complexes  
– Wyre Street/Holmes Street/  
Lune Street**

A museum for Padiham could be created in one of the redundant mills. There is so much material dating back to before Padiham was absorbed by Burnley in 1974 and there is nowhere to house it. Many people like myself came in after the golden age and would like to know more. The churches have much to contribute here as will the archives if they have not been destroyed.

Surely some of the mill development could create a cinema as the one in Burnley is inaccessible to those of us without transport because it stands on a very dangerous location with regards to its bus stop

Area 2 - could not the mills be used as small workshops to employ

buildings should have new uses identified in order to secure their future and retain the historic industrial character of the town.

The complex of mill buildings provides a unique opportunity to create a mixed use site which can take advantage of its town centre location and the setting of the River Calder.

mill complex at Wyre Street/ Lune Street/ Holmes Street as a site for mixed use redevelopment. A range of suitable uses including residential, business (B1), general industrial (B2), retail, leisure and tourism could be considered. A development brief should be prepared and consideration be given to the inclusion of a SUD's scheme.

**Area 2 – Mill Complexes  
– Wyre Street/Holmes  
Street/ Lune Street**

disabled people in a sheltered environment so that they can have dignity and perform a useful role in the town.

The mills could also be used as small workshops to employ disabled people in a secure environment.

36/PA/341

Highways Agency  
C/o Faber Maunsell

The area should be developed with attention to access by modes of transport other than private vehicles in order to minimise its traffic impact. Improvements in public transport should be proposed where appropriate.

Noted

Yes

The Highways Agency will continue to be consulted as and when detailed development proposals emerge.

**Area 2 – Mill Complexes  
– Wyre Street/Holmes  
Street/ Lune Street**

39/PA/399	Maple Grove Developments Ltd	C/o Steven Abbott Associates	MDG is promoting a retail development coincidental with a large part of Area 2. This is an opportunity to regenerate the town centre. It would enhance the qualitative offer of the town centre and reduce the number of people visiting other locations to do their food shopping.	MGD endorses the inclusion of Area 2 as an area for change but suggests that both options are inappropriate and miss an opportunity.	Worth noting that:		
				Noted	Although none of the buildings are statutorily listed,		
				The site lies adjacent to the Padiham Conservation Area.			

**Area 2 – Mill Complexes  
– Wyre Street/Holmes  
Street/ Lune Street**

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• None of the site is in a conservation area</li> <li>• None of the buildings are listed</li> <li>• There is no evidence that live/work units would be a realistic component of the local housing market</li> <li>• The type of mix and demographics/ socio-economic profile envisaged is more likely to occur in the town if the town centre has a full range of facilities to meet contemporary shopping needs.</li> <li>• Sensible urban design offers potential to create something appropriate for the town centre.</li> <li>• An additional Option 3 should be seriously investigated, under the</li> </ul>	<p>the site has been identified in the Padiham Heritage Appraisal (p 42) as an area of local heritage value.</p> <p>Agree revitalising the town centre and proving a site for mixed development close to the town centre could assist with strengthening the local housing market.</p> <p>Agree that Urban design will play an important role in the regeneration of the town centre.</p> <p>Noted</p> <p>There are a number of free public car parks</p>	<p>Options for this site must take into consideration the historic setting of the Padiham Conservation Area and the River Calder</p> <p>Options for this site must take into consideration the historic setting of the Padiham Conservation Area and the River Calder</p> <p>The Preferred Option should identify traffic as an issue and proposals for traffic management should be considered where there is greatest need.</p> <p>Options put forward are based upon previous rounds of consultation and sound statistical evidence. However all additional options will be considered in the development of the Preferred Option.</p>
--	---	---

**Area 2 – Mill Complexes  
– Wyre Street/Holmes  
Street/ Lune Street**

<p>heading '<u>Redevelopment for an Anchor Food Superstore</u>'.</p> <p>Padiham lacks an attractive user friendly town centre car park. A new food superstore could deliver such a facility. As a consequence the words '<u>and town centre car park</u>' could be added.</p> <p>MGD has team of professional advisers, including Savills on retail issues – shopping habits information contained within AAP Evidence Based Report.</p> <p>The development needs to be integrated with the rest of the town centre. Given the physical, conservation and spatial constraints of the town centre it is suggested that this is the opportunity site for a much</p>	<p>situated in and around the town centre. However car parking should be identified as an issue within the town centre.</p> <p>Noted</p> <p>Commercial development will be assessed against national, regional and local planning policies including PPS 6</p> <p>Noted</p> <p>Noted</p>
---	--

**Area 2 – Mill Complexes  
– Wyre Street/Holmes  
Street/ Lune Street**

needed anchor food store in Padiham and that it meets the sequential test.

It is hoped that this response will consolidate preliminary discussions with officers and that this opportunity is taken to fruition in discussion with the local community in liaison with the Council

Padiham people are entitled to have a modern food shopping facilities without having to drive miles to other locations.

Table 2.5 Area 3

Area 3 – Padiham Town Centre			
<b>Option 1 – Town Centre Improvements</b>	04/PA/19 John Greenwood Agrees with Option 1	Agree, improvements to the town centre will have beneficial effects in the local economy and housing market.	Yes The Preferred Option should explore all options and improve the town centre whilst retaining and enhancing the town's built heritage and natural features.
	09/PA/64 Mrs B. Whitham Agrees with Option 1 – this will create a better impression on passing through the town.	Agree, improvements to the town centre will have beneficial effects in the local economy and housing market.	Yes The Preferred Option should explore all options and improve the town centre whilst retaining and enhancing the town's built heritage and natural features.
	12/PA/116 Steve Richards Option 1 has been needed in Padiham for some time especially the toilets in the town centre	Agree, improvements to the town centre will have beneficial effects in the local economy and housing market. The out door market on Clitheroe Street closed in early 2007. An indoor market operates on a	Yes Yes Yes The Preferred Option should explore all options and improve the town centre whilst retaining and enhancing the town's built heritage and natural features.

**Area 3 –  
Padiham  
Town  
Centre**

<p>The market is in a good place but does require bringing forward to the main road. This would then highlight to passing motorists that it does exist.</p> <p>Plus improve all the shops with windows, shop front etc. I feel sure this will attract people to shop in Padiham.</p>	<p>Thursday inside the Town Hall. There is an opportunity to use an outside area on the Town Hall Car Park if needed. There is also permission for markets to be held on both sites - Clitheroe Street and the Town Hall - providing flexibility to use for one offs such as a continental market.</p> <p>Padiham has received £1 million of funding through the NWDA Market Towns Initiative. A portion of this funding has been used to develop a shop front improvement scheme. Funding ends in March 08 and final allocations for the scheme have now been made.</p>	<p>The Preferred Option should explore opportunities to improve the local economy. This could include promoting Padiham as a quality independent shopping and business destination set within a unique historic mill town.</p> <p>The Preferred Option should explore future funding opportunities for a sympathetic shop front and gateway improvement scheme along Burnley Road and Church Street which would enhance the historic character of the Padiham Conservation Area.</p>
<p>15/PA/130</p> <p>Mr D. Baker-Brown</p> <p>Agrees with Option 1 – town centre needs improving</p>	<p>Agree, improvements to the town centre will have beneficial effects in the local economy and housing market.</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>The Preferred Option should explore all options and funding opportunities to improve the town centre whilst retaining and</p>



**Area 3 –  
Padiham  
Town  
Centre**

<p>Priority for investment - Areas 1 and 3 will have the biggest impact on my family.</p>	<p>Noted</p>	<p>enhancing the town's built heritage and natural features.</p>
<p>18/PA/159 Hazel Rushton I agree with options to make improvements to town centre, market and town hall usage and frontage. There is no advantage to relocating the market but it does need promoting.  All the railings in town centre could be improved or at least painted black.</p>	<p>Agree, improvements to the town centre will have beneficial effects in the local economy and housing market. The out door market on Clitheroe Street closed in early 2007. An indoor market operates on a Thursday inside the Town Hall. There is an opportunity to use an outside area on the Town Hall Car Park if needed. There is also permission for markets to be held on both sites - Clitheroe Street and the Town Hall - providing flexibility to use for one offs such as continental markets.  Agree improvements to gateways in Padiham will have beneficial effects on the desirability of the town and therefore its ability to attract business and visitors.</p>	<p>The Preferred Option should explore all options and funding opportunities to improve the town centre whilst retaining and enhancing the town's built heritage and natural features.  The Preferred Option should explore future funding opportunities for a sympathetic shop front and gateway improvement scheme along Burnley Road and Church Street which would enhance the historic character of the Padiham Conservation Area.</p>

Area 3 – Padiham Town Centre		
<p>20/PA/194</p> <p>R Fort</p> <p>Keep the Town Hall open! To all and sundry.</p>	<p>The Council's Environmental Health unit and Padiham Life have both recently vacated the offices within the Town Hall. The markets office also no longer requires space at the Town Hall. However Padiham Town Council has a lease of the ballroom and adjoining offices. CAB have an office. The first floor is let subject to contact.</p> <p>Lancashire County Council have no current plans to remove the library from the town hall.</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>The Preferred Option should support sympathetic community uses within the Town Hall as and when the building becomes vacant in order to secure its future.</p>
<p>23/PA/202</p> <p>Barbara Porter</p> <p>A market will only work when there is a sense of competition and where people can compare prices and hear banter. However, the large ones in Burnley and Bury are declining, it would be a miracle if it survived in this internet shopping age.</p>	<p>The out door market on Clitheroe Street closed in early 2007. An indoor market operates on a Thursday inside the Town Hall. There is an opportunity to use an outside area on the Town Hall Car Park if needed. There is also permission for markets to be held on both sites - Clitheroe Street and the Town Hall - providing flexibility to use for one offs such as continental markets.</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>The Preferred Option should explore opportunities to improve the local economy. This could include promoting Padiham a quality independent shopping and business destination.</p>

**Area 3 –  
Padiham  
Town  
Centre**

<p>27/PA/281 C. Barrett Agrees with all options.</p>	<p>Noted</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>The Preferred Option should explore all options and funding opportunities to improve the town centre whilst retaining and enhancing the town's built heritage and natural features.</p>
<p>30/PA/313 Smith Sutcliffe Solicitors C/O Planning &amp; Development Network Proposes landscaping in front of White Horse Buildings, which we support. Please note however that this land is owned by this company and we wish to be fully consulted on the detail.</p>	<p>Community engagement is part of the AAP process . The Council's adopted Statement of Community Involvement provides guidance for developers and partners on community engagement in the planning process.</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>The Council will continue to undertake public consultation as part of the development of the Padiham Area Action Plan.</p>

**Area 3 –  
Padiham  
Town  
Centre**

<p>36/PA/341 Highways Agency C/o Faber Maunsell</p> <p>Option 1 has potential to have a significant impact on traffic flows on the trunk road network. The Agency considers that the town centre redevelopment should be undertaken in a sustainable manner to ensure that the need to travel is reduced. The Agency is supportive of the development of sites with clear attention to access by modes of transport other than private vehicles in order that the traffic impact of such developments is minimised. Improvements in public transport should be proposed where appropriate.</p> <p>The Agency will need to be consulted in more detail in order that the impact of Option 1 on</p>	<p>Noted</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>The Highways Agency will continue to be consulted as and when detailed development proposals emerge.</p>
---	--------------	--

**Area 3 –  
Padiham  
Town  
Centre**

the strategic highway network can be assessed. Presently the AAP contains insufficient detail for that appraisal to be undertaken.

Assessments of the impact of the development on the trunk road network will need to be undertaken in accordance with the requirements of PPG 13 and the relevant Dept for Transport policy. This includes the provision of adequate Transport Assessment and Travel Plan reports.

37/PA/363	Ian & Amanda Bennett	Agrees with Option 1 -	Local community (Shuttleworth St) keen to be involved in redevelopment and regeneration of the area.	Agree, improvements to the town centre will have beneficial effects on the local economy and the housing market.	Community engagement is an important part of the AAP process.	Yes	Yes	The Preferred Option should explore all options and improve the town centre whilst retaining and enhancing the town's built heritage and natural features.
-----------	----------------------	------------------------	--	--	---	-----	-----	--

Area 3 – Padiham Town Centre	
	The Council will continue to undertake public consultation as part of the development of the Padiham Area Action Plan.
41/PA/401 Sport England Agrees with all options.	<p>Noted</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>The Preferred Option should explore all options and funding opportunities to improve the town centre whilst retaining and enhancing the town's built heritage and natural features.</p>
45/PA/468 James Jevon Agrees with Option 1. Shop fronts in Padiham should be improved as so many have the appearance of something that was knocked up in a garage using materials commonly found on Blue Peter. Also there are a	<p>Agree, improvements to the town centre will have beneficial effects in the local economy and housing market.</p> <p>Agree, improvements to the town centre will have beneficial effects in the local economy and housing market. Padiham has received £1 million of funding through the NWDA Market Towns Initiative. A portion of this funding has been</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>The Preferred Option should explore all options and funding opportunities to improve the town centre whilst retaining and enhancing the town's built heritage and natural features.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>The Preferred Option should explore future funding opportunities for a</p>

**Area 3 –  
Padiham  
Town  
Centre**

<p>number of units that appear empty or derelict. Action should be taken to bring new businesses that would raise the town's profile. Padiham could emulate the successful, desirable and positive outlook found in Barrowford which is fast becoming the Wilmslow or Knutsford of Lancashire.</p>	<p>used to develop a shop front improvement scheme. Funding ends in March 08 and final allocations for the scheme have now been made.</p>	<p>sympathetic shop front and gateway improvement scheme along Burnley Road and Church Street which would enhance the historic character of the Padiham Conservation Area.</p>
<p>06/AL/345 Burnley Civic Society Agrees with Option 1.</p>	<p>Agree, improvements to the town centre will have beneficial effects in the local economy and housing market.</p>	<p>The Preferred Option should explore all options and funding opportunities to improve the town centre whilst retaining and enhancing the town's built heritage and natural features.</p>
<p>08/AL/385 Environment Agency The development option involving the town hall for community/ business will be</p>	<p>Noted</p>	<p>The council is preparing a SFRA and this will inform the proposals put forward in the Preferred Option. The Environment Agency will continue to be consulted as</p>

<p><b>Area 3 – Padiham Town Centre</b></p>	<p>affected by the site’s location within Flood Zone 3 and the proximity of the site of the River Calder. Again, the SFRA will play a role in justifying such proposal.</p>	<p>and when detailed development proposals come forward.</p>
<p>09/AL/386 LCC Property Group</p>	<p>Noted</p> <p>In respect of the library provision in the Town Hall, Divisional Librarian for East Division is keen to be involved in any future discussions. He has previously liaised with Dennis Langley who has written a report for Padiham Life.</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Lancashire County Council Property Group will continue to be consulted throughout the AAP process.</p>
<p><b>Option 2 – No Change</b></p>	<p>27/PA/281 Agrees with all options.</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>The Preferred Option should explore all options and improve the town centre whilst retaining and</p>



<p><b>Area 3 – Padiham Town Centre</b></p>			
		<p>enhancing the town's built heritage and natural features.</p>	
	<p>30/PA/313 Smith Sutcliffe Solicitors C/o Planning &amp; Development Network Disagrees. To do nothing is not a sensible option</p>	<p>Agree. Proposals for no change would not assist with the regeneration of the town centre.</p>	<p>Yes The options are based on the results of previous rounds of consultation and sound statistical evidence.</p>
	<p>32/PA/325 C/o Planning &amp; Development Network Disagrees. To do nothing will not improve Padiham</p>	<p>Agree, proposals for no change would not assist with the regeneration of the town.</p>	<p>Yes The Preferred Option should explore all options and funding opportunities to improve the town centre whilst retaining and enhancing the town's built heritage and natural features.</p>

<b>Area 3 – Padigham Town Centre</b>			
36/PA/341 Highways Agency C/o Faber Maunsell	Noted	Yes	The Highways Agency will continue to be consulted as and when detailed development proposals come forward.
Option 2 will not result in an impact of additional traffic on the strategic highway network; therefore the Agency makes no comment.			
41/PA/401 Sport England Agrees with all options.	Noted	Yes	The Preferred Option should explore all options and improve the town centre whilst retaining and enhancing the town's built heritage and natural features.
<b>Other Options?</b>			
01/PA/05 Mrs Riding	The Council's Environmental Health unit and Padigham Life have both recently vacated the offices within the Town Hall. The markets	Yes	The Preferred Option should support sympathetic uses within the Town Hall as and when the building becomes

**Area 3 –  
Padiham  
Town  
Centre**

<p>Using our town hall for other purposes. What will happen to the library? Retired people rely on the library.</p> <p>office also no longer requires space at the Town Hall. However Padiham Town Council has a lease of the ballroom and adjoining offices. CAB have an office. The first floor is let subject to contact.</p> <p>A market is held on a Thursday inside Padiham Town Hall, but there is the opportunity to use an outside area on the Town Hall Car Park if needed.</p> <p>Lancashire County Council have no current plans to remove the library from the town hall.</p>	<p>vacant in order to secure its future.</p>
<p>09/PA/64</p> <p>Mrs B Whitham</p> <p>Town centre improvements make no mention of the properties on Darwen St, which are very prominent and would benefit from facelifting.</p>	<p>Agree that parking and traffic management in the town centre is an issue.</p> <p>Unauthorised tipping should be identified as an issue.</p> <p>Agree improvements to the town centre will have beneficial effects in the local economy and housing market.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>The Preferred Option should explore future funding opportunities for a sympathetic shop front and gateway improvement scheme along Burnley Road and Church Street which would enhance the historic character of the Padiham Conservation Area.</p>

**Area 3 –  
Padiham  
Town  
Centre**

<p>The Hand &amp; Shuttle car park is also high profile &amp; should be kept cleaner.</p> <p>Free parking in the town should be increased to encourage visitors.</p> <p>Cleanliness in all areas of the town should be a priority. Fly tipping sites should be cleared.</p> <p>Area 3 should be the priority for investment because it is the town's focal point.</p>	<p>The Preferred Option should identify traffic management as an issue and should be considered in proposals for the town centre</p> <p>The Preferred Option should explore all options and funding opportunities to improve the town centre whilst retaining and enhancing the towns built heritage and natural features.</p>
<p>10/PA/100</p> <p>Mary Pilling</p> <p>The town centre to become a one way system. The shops on upper Burnley Road / Church St suffer because of the amount of traffic. Better crossings especially on Church St. No more takeaways. Shops will continue to fail because of the</p>	<p>Agree that traffic on Burnley Road/ Church Street is an issue.</p> <p>Padiham has received £1 million of funding through the NWDA Market Towns Initiative. A portion of this funding has been used to develop a shop front improvement scheme. Funding ends in March 08 and final allocations for the scheme have now been made.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>The Preferred Option should identify traffic as an issue in the town centre and should explore traffic management in proposals for the town centre.</p> <p>The Preferred Option should explore future funding opportunities for a sympathetic shop front and gateway improvement</p>

**Area 3 –  
Padiham  
Town  
Centre**

road system and until that is solved this will remain a problem.  
Here there are also absent landlords they could be encouraged to renovate.  
The market is in a secluded spot and although there are car parks nearby, traders seem reluctant to come. Some incentive is needed to encourage them to return or maybe if a one way system were to be put in place a road side market using the unused carriageway in front of the town hall could be the market site.

A market is held on a Thursday inside Padiham Town Hall, but there is the opportunity to use an outside area on the Town Hall Car Park if needed.  
There are permissions for markets to be held on both sites - at Clitheroe Street and the Town Hall providing flexibility to use for one offs such as a continental market.

The Preferred Option should explore opportunities to improve the local economy. This could include promoting Padiham a quality independent shopping and business destination set within a unique historic mill town .

scheme along Burnley Road and Church Street which would enhance the historic character of the Padiham Conservation Area.

Area 3 – Padiham Town Centre			
<p>12/PA/116</p> <p>Steve Richards</p> <p>Also consider more CCTV in this area outside the youth club looking on to the market.</p> <p>The town centre should be the priority for investment - it is the heart of Padiham.</p>	<p>Community safety should be identified as an issue.</p> <p>Agree improvements to the town centre will have beneficial effects on the local economy and the housing market.</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p>	<p>Community safety issues need to be explored further as part of the development of the Preferred Option.</p> <p>The Preferred Option should explore all options and funding opportunities to improve the town centre whilst retaining and enhancing the town's built heritage and natural features.</p>
<p>17/PA/132</p> <p>Denise Parkinson</p> <p>(Other suggestions for next 10 years)</p> <p>Possibility of using Town Hall for a gallery/museum or college classes/local groups (previously using the Technical School).</p>	<p>The Council's Environmental Health unit and Padiham Life have both recently vacated the offices within the Town Hall . The markets office also no longer requires space at the Town Hall. However Padiham Town Council has a lease of the ballroom and adjoining offices. CAB have an office. The first floor is let subject to contact.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>The Preferred Option should support sympathetic uses within the Town Hall as and when the building becomes vacant in order to secure its future.</p>

**Area 3 –  
Padiham  
Town  
Centre**

<p>The town centre and town hall should be the priority for investment to improve the general appearance of the town centre.</p> <p>A market is held on a Thursday inside Padiham Town Hall, but there is the opportunity to use an outside area on the Town Hall Car Park if needed.</p> <p>Lancashire County Council have no current plans to remove the library from the town hall.</p>	<p>The Council's Environmental Health unit and Padiham Life have both recently vacated the offices within the Town Hall. The markets office also no longer requires space at the Town Hall. However Padiham Town Council has a lease of the ballroom and adjoining offices.</p> <p>A market is held on a Thursday inside Padiham Town Hall, but there is the opportunity to use an outside area on the Town Hall Car Park if needed.</p>
<p>18/PA/159</p> <p>Hazel Rushton</p> <p>I agree with options to make improvements to the Town Centre market and town hall usage and frontage.</p> <p>I do not see any advantage to relocating the market but it does need promoting. I think all the railings in Town Centre could be improved at least painted black.</p> <p>I think that an evening market especially in summer months would encourage people,</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>The Preferred Option should support sympathetic uses within the Town Hall as and when the building becomes vacant in order to secure its future.</p> <p>The Preferred Option should also explore opportunities to improve the local economy. This could include promoting Padiham a quality independent shopping and business destination.</p> <p>The Preferred Option should explore future funding opportunities for a</p>

<p><b>Area 3 – Padiham Town Centre</b></p>	<p>workers in particular, to visit Padiham. They could shop at the market, visit the leisure centre – park etc., have a takeaway supper and a drink in a pub. It would be a good evening out.</p> <p>There are permissions for markets to be held on both sites at Clitheroe Street and the Town Hall providing flexibility to use for one offs such as a continental market.</p> <p>Agree improvements to gateways in Padiham will have beneficial effects on the desirability of the town and therefore its ability to attract business and visitors.</p>	<p>sympathetic shop front and gateway improvement scheme along Burnley Road and Church Street which would enhance the historic character of the Padiham Conservation Area.</p> <p>The Preferred Option should support sympathetic community uses within the Town Hall as and when the building becomes vacant in order to secure its future.</p>
<p>20/PA/194 Ralph Fort Keep the town hall open to all and sundry.</p>	<p>The Council's Environmental Health unit and Padiham Life have both recently vacated the offices within the Town Hall. The markets office also no longer require space at the Town Hall. However Padiham Town Council has a lease of the ballroom and adjoining offices. The ballroom is available to hire from them. CAB have an office. The first floor is let subject to contact.</p>	<p>Yes</p>



**Area 3 –  
Padiham  
Town  
Centre**

A market is held on a Thursday inside Padiham Town Hall, but there is the opportunity to use an outside area on the Town Hall Car Park if needed.

Lancashire County Council has no current plans to remove the library from the town hall.

<p>22/PA/197</p>	<p>A market is held on a Thursday inside Padiham Town Hall, but there is the opportunity to use an outside area on the Town Hall Car Park if needed.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>The Preferred Option should also explore opportunities to improve the local economy. This could include promoting Padiham as a quality independent shopping and business destination set within a unique historic mill town.</p>
<p>Ben Posthuma De Boer</p> <p>If the market is to survive in the 21<sup>st</sup> century it should be on a Saturday. Most markets have at least a monthly farmers market.</p> <p>The White Horse pub in Area 3 is an eye sore and doesn't fit in with the town. Many shop fascias are old and dilapidated and could be renewed.</p>	<p>There are permissions for markets to be held on both sites - at Clitheroe Street and the Town Hall providing flexibility to use for one offs such as a continental market.</p> <p>Agree improvements to the town centre will have beneficial effects on the local economy and the housing market. Padiham has received £1 million of funding through the NWDA Market Towns</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>The Preferred Option should explore future funding opportunities for a sympathetic shop front and gateway improvement scheme along Burnley Road and Church Street which</p>

<p><b>Area 3 – Padiham Town Centre</b></p>	<p>Initiative. A portion of this funding has been used to develop a shop front improvement scheme. Funding ends in March 08 and final allocations for the scheme have now been made.</p>	<p>would enhance the historic character of the Padiham Conservation Area.</p>
<p>37/PA/363 Ian &amp; Amanda Bennett Riverside walk and public art on banks of the River Calder linked to a town centre ‘cultural quarter’ to encourage creative SMEs Shuttleworth St and banks of the River Calder links to planned regeneration of 14 Mill Street. Develop heritage asset. Cultural quarter linked to town hall and 14 Mill St. Town centre should be the priority for investment to encourage new business and wealth creation through a mixed economy. Heritage and culture</p>	<p>A recent bid to the Big Lottery Peoples Millions Fund to develop a Riverside Nature Trail in Padiham has been successful Agree that improvements to the town centre will have beneficial effects on the local economy and the housing market. Also agree that Padiham’s industrial mill town character and heritage should not be lost when new development or regeneration takes place. The town’s built heritage and natural features should also be preserved and enhanced.</p>	<p>Yes Yes</p>
		<p>The Preferred Option should explore links between the proposed riverside walk and the proposed linear park, Memorial Park and Gawthorpe Hall . The Preferred Option should explore future funding opportunities for a sympathetic shop front and gateway improvement scheme along Burnley Road and Church Street which would enhance the historic character of the town and the Padiham Conservation Area. The Preferred Option should also explore opportunities to improve the local economy.</p>

**Area 3 –  
Padiham  
Town  
Centre**

<p>should be prioritised above purely retail / commercial development.</p>	<p>This could include promoting Padiham a quality independent shopping and business destination set within a unique historic mill town.</p>
<p>45/PA/468  James Jevon  There should be an enhanced police presence in the town centre e.g. obvious CCTV. Such visual deterrent should be a wise investment to curb anti social behaviour and thus encourage decent members of society to venture out into Padiham without fear of their personal safety. This will have a positive effect on the local economy and would assist in encouraging decent businesses to establish themselves in the town.</p>	<p>Community safety and anti social behaviour should be identified as issues.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Community safety issues need to be explored further as part of the development of the Preferred Option.</p>

<p><b>Area 3 – Padiham Town Centre</b></p>	<p>49/PA/502 Peter Kenyon</p> <p>Disc parking for Padiham town centre, a main road frontage for the market are all good ideas.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>The Preferred Option will explore further opportunities for overcoming parking issues in Padiham town centre. It should also explore opportunities to improve the local economy. This could include promoting Padiham as a quality independent shopping and business destination set within a unique historic mill town.</p>
	<p>It is agreed that parking is an issue that should be addressed comprehensively</p> <p>A market is held on a Thursday inside Padiham Town Hall, but there is the opportunity to use an outside area on the Town Hall Car Park if needed.</p> <p>There are also permissions for markets to be held on both sites - at Clitheroe Street and the Town Hall providing flexibility to use for one offs such as a continental market.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
	<p><b>Priority for investment</b></p> <p>01/PA/05 Mrs Riding</p> <p>The town centre is a priority and needs new life bringing into it.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>The Preferred Option should explore options and funding opportunities to improve the town centre whilst retaining and enhancing the town's built heritage and natural features.</p>

**Area 3 –  
Padiham  
Town  
Centre**

<p>09/PA/64</p> <p>Mrs B. Whitham</p> <p>Area 3 should be the focus for investment in Padiham because it is the town's focal point.</p>	<p>Improvements to the town centre will have beneficial effects on the local economy and the housing market.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>The Preferred Option should explore options and funding opportunities to improve the town centre whilst retaining and enhancing the town's built heritage and natural features.</p>
<p>12/PA/116</p> <p>Steve Richards</p> <p>All the areas mentioned. It is hard to pick any one out but the town centre is the heart of Padiham.</p> <p>Also consider more cctv in this area outside the youth clubs looking on to the market.</p>	<p>Improvements to the town centre will have beneficial effects on the local economy and the housing market.</p> <p>Agree that community safety and anti social behaviour should be identified as issues.</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p>	<p>The Preferred Option should explore options and funding opportunities to improve the town centre whilst retaining and enhancing the town's built heritage and natural features.</p> <p>Community safety issues need to be explored further as part of the development of the Preferred Option.</p>
<p>15/PA/130</p> <p>Mr D. Baler Brown</p> <p>Areas 1 &amp; 3 will have the biggest impact on my family.</p>	<p>Improvements to the town centre will have beneficial effects on the local economy and the housing market.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>The Preferred Option should explore options and funding opportunities to improve the town centre whilst retaining and enhancing the town's built heritage and natural features.</p>

Area 3 – Padiham Town Centre	
<p>17/PA/132</p> <p>Denise Parkinson</p> <p>The town centre and town hall.</p>	<p>Improvements to the town centre will have beneficial effects on the local economy and the housing market.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>The Preferred Option should explore options and funding opportunities to improve the town centre whilst retaining and enhancing the town's built heritage and natural features.</p> <p>The Preferred Option should support sympathetic community uses within the Town Hall in order to secure its future.</p>
<p>37/PA/363</p> <p>Ian &amp; Amanda Bennett</p> <p>Town centre, to encourage new businesses and wealth creation through a mixed economy. There should be a cultural quarter linked to the town hall and regeneration of 14 Mill Street</p>	<p>Improvements to the town centre will have beneficial effects on the local economy and the housing market.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>The Preferred Option should explore options and funding opportunities to improve the town centre whilst retaining and enhancing the town's built heritage and natural features.</p>

Table 2.6 Area 4

Area 4 – Green Lane/Burnley Road/Pendle Street			
<b>Option 1 – Environmental Improvements</b>	04/PA/19 John Greenwood Agrees with Option 1	The AAP needs to be able to demonstrate that proposals are deliverable.	Yes  The Preferred Option should consider the feasibility of implementing such a scheme bearing in mind the likely funding opportunities for this type of work.
	09/PA/64 Mrs B. Whitham		Yes  The Preferred Option should consider the feasibility of implementing such a

Area 4 – Green Lane/Burnley Road/Pendle Street	
<p>Agrees with Option 1. Will benefit the residents and look better.</p>	<p>scheme bearing in mind the likely funding opportunities for this type of work.</p>
<p>12/PA/116 Steve Richards Agrees with Option 1. Excellent idea.</p>	<p>The Preferred Option should consider the feasibility of implementing such a scheme bearing in mind the likely funding opportunities for this type of work.</p>
<p>14/PA/126 Deborah Catlow Agrees with Option 1 - If landlords monitored their properties to check cleanliness inside/ outside and manners/ good behaviour to neighbours etc then it would make the proposed improvements more successful.</p>	<p>The Preferred Option should consider the feasibility of implementing such a scheme bearing in mind the likely funding opportunities for this type of work.</p> <p>The Preferred Option should promote and encourage participation in the Good Landlord</p>



Area 4 – Green Lane/Burnley Road/Pendle Street	
<p>If Option 1 in Areas 1, 4 and 5 is carried out and tenants are made to respect their properties and neighbours, it will be money well spent. If tenants aren't made to respect then I'm afraid in time areas will be back to square 1.</p>	<p>Good Landlord and Agent Scheme and the Good Tenant Scheme.</p>
<p>17/PA/132 Denise Parkinson Agrees with Option 1. We live at 26 Pendle St and think the area would be improved if there were gardens instead of a large open space.</p>	<p>The AAP needs to be able to demonstrate that proposals are deliverable.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>The Preferred Option should consider the feasibility of implementing such a scheme bearing in mind the likely funding opportunities for this type of work.</p>
<p>23/PA/202 Barbara Porter What happens if the people in Pendle Street do not want that land as gardens? Does it still have to be a dog toilet and muddy patch? There were trees and rosebushes when a</p>	<p>The AAP needs to be able to demonstrate that proposals are deliverable.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>The Preferred Option should consider the feasibility of implementing such a scheme bearing in mind the likely funding opportunities for this type of work.</p>

Area 4 – Green Lane/Burnley Road/Pendle Street			
	church was opposite, but people pull them up and we no longer let children play on the site during church activities.		
27/PA/281 C. Barrett Agrees with all options.	The AAP needs to be able to demonstrate that proposals are deliverable.	Yes	The Preferred Option should consider the feasibility of implementing such a scheme bearing in mind the likely funding opportunities for this type of work.
36/PA/341 Highways Agency C/o Faber Maunsell The operation of the trunk road network will be unaffected by the proposals for this area; therefore the Agency makes no comments	Noted	Yes	The Highways Agency will continue to be consulted as and when detailed development proposals come forward.
41/PA/401	The AAP needs to be able to demonstrate that	Yes	The Preferred Option should consider the

**Area 4 – Green  
Lane/Burnley  
Road/Pendle Street**

Sport England

Agrees with all options.

proposals are deliverable.

feasibility of implementing such a scheme bearing in mind the likely funding opportunities for this type of work.

Area 4 – Green Lane/Burnley Road/Pendle Street			
44/PA/467 Ben Mort Agrees with Option 1 - excellent, please do this.	The AAP needs to be able to demonstrate that proposals are deliverable.	No	The Preferred Option should consider the feasibility of implementing such a scheme bearing in mind the likely funding opportunities for this type of work.
06/Al/345 Burnley Civic Society Agrees with Option 1- Yes	The AAP needs to be able to demonstrate that proposals are deliverable.	No	The Preferred Option should consider the feasibility of implementing such a scheme bearing in mind the likely funding opportunities for this type of work.
08/AL/385 Environment Agency Parts of Green Lane/ Burnley Road/ Pendle Street fall within Flood Zone 2 & 3, but the options for development within this area would not constitute 'flood risk sensitive'	Noted	Yes	The Environment Agency will continue to be consulted as and when detailed development proposals come forward.

<p><b>Area 4 – Green Lane/Burnley Road/Pendle Street</b></p>	<p>development.</p>	<p><b>Option 2 – No Change</b></p>
<p>27/PA/281</p> <p>C. Barrett</p> <p>Agrees with all options.</p>	<p>The AAP needs to be able to demonstrate that proposals are deliverable. Further consideration of whether or not potential funding</p>	<p>No</p>

<p><b>Area 4 – Green Lane/Burnley Road/Pendle Street</b></p>	<p>is available for proposals will affect the contents of the Preferred Option</p>	<p>Noted</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>The Highways Agency will continue to be consulted as and when detailed development proposals come forward.</p>
<p>36/PA/341</p>	<p>Highways Agency</p>	<p>C/o Faber Maunsell</p>	<p>The operation of the trunk road network will be unaffected by the proposals for this area; therefore the Agency makes no comments</p>	
<p>41/PA/401</p>	<p>Sport England</p>	<p>Agrees with all options.</p>	<p>The AAP needs to be able to demonstrate that proposals are deliverable. Further consideration of whether or not potential funding</p>	<p>No</p>

**Area 4 – Green Lane/Burnley Road/Pendle Street**

is available for proposals will affect the contents of the Preferred Option.

Table 2.7 Area 5

**Area 5 – Green Lane/Russell Terrace/Beech Street**

**Option 1 – 01/PA/05  
Facelifting**

Mrs Riding

Jubilee Mill engine house is an eyesore as well as dangerous & should be demolished. Whatever you do to it, it will still be an eyesore.

If you create any open spaces in this area they will be used for fly tipping and vandalism.

The engine house is a grade II listed building and is therefore safeguarded from demolition and unsympathetic change by national policy. The Council is continuing to monitor the condition of the building and agrees that a new use for the building should be identified in order to secure its future.

The linear park will be developed in consultation with partners and the community. It will also be

The Preferred Option should identify a sympathetic new use for the engine house in order to secure its future.

This option should be explored further as part of the development of the Preferred Option.

<p><b>Area 5 – Green Lane/Russell Terrace/Beech Street</b></p>			
	<p>provided with a management programme to tackle issues such as fly tipping and vandalism.</p>		
<p>04/PA/19 John Greenwood Disagrees with the saving of the Jubilee Engine House which was left to protect the old Mill engine, which has been removed so the building is now redundant. This building has been an eyesore for a number of years, and it was time it was cleared and redeveloped.</p>	<p>The engine house is a grade II listed building and is therefore safeguarded from demolition and unsympathetic change by national policy. The Council is continuing to monitor the condition of the building and agrees that a new use for the building should be identified in order to secure its future.</p>	<p>Yes The Preferred Option should identify a sympathetic new use for the engine house in order to secure its future.</p>	
<p>13/PA/124 Mrs P. Perry Agrees with Option 1. As I live at 69 Milton Street and the green edge runs through the middle of my house</p>	<p>Although number 69 is not directly effected by the proposed linear park, it is hoped that environmental and recreational improvements made to the rail line will have a</p>	<p>Yes The Preferred Option should explore opportunities for environmental improvements to properties adjacent to the proposed linear park.</p>	



**Area 5 –  
Green  
Lane/Russell  
Terrace/Beech  
Street**

<p>I would like to know if my property is included in the regenerated Area 5 or not</p>	<p>positive impact on the local community and neighbouring properties.</p>	<p>This option should be explored further as part of the development of the Preferred Option.</p>
<p>14/PA/126</p>	<p>Environmental improvements to the rail line through the development of a linear park will create a unique and important gateway through Padiham. To complement this scheme, consideration should be given to facelift properties adjacent to the rail line.</p>	<p>Yes</p>
<p>Deborah Catlow</p>	<p>create a unique and important gateway through Padiham. To complement this scheme, consideration should be given to facelift properties adjacent to the rail line.</p>	<p>Yes</p>
<p>Agrees with Option 1</p>	<p>The Albion Mill site is no longer considered suitable for employment and a new use should be identified.</p>	<p>Yes</p>
<p>If landlords monitored their properties to check cleanliness and good behaviour then it would make the proposed improvements more successful plus half the problems would be sorted before you facelifting.</p>	<p>The Albion Mill site is no longer considered suitable for employment and a new use should be identified.</p>	<p>The Preferred Option should give consideration for new uses on this site including residential and the opportunity to develop a SUD's scheme.</p>
<p>If Option 1 in Areas 1, 4 and 5 is carried out and tenants are made to respect their properties and neighbours, it will be money well spent. If tenants aren't made to respect then I'm afraid in time areas will be back to square 1.</p>	<p>The engine house is a grade II listed building and is therefore safeguarded from demolition and unsympathetic change by national policy.</p>	<p>The Preferred Option should identify a sympathetic new use for the engine house in order to secure its future</p>
		<p>The Preferred Option should promote and encourage participation</p>

<p><b>Area 5 – Green Lane/Russell Terrace/Beech Street</b></p>	<p>In order to enable positive partnership work between the Council, landlords, managing agents, tenants and other agencies the Council encourages participation in the in the Good Landlord and Agent Scheme and the Good Tenant Scheme.</p>	<p>Yes All options will be explored further as part of the development of the Preferred Option.</p>
<p>22/PA/197 Ben Posthuma De Boer Particularly agrees with Option 1</p> <p>27/PA/281 C. Barrett Agrees with all options.</p>	<p>Noted.</p>	<p>Yes All proposals will be explored further as part of the development of the Preferred Option.</p>

**Area 5 –  
Green  
Lane/Russell  
Terrace/Beech  
Street**

36/PA/341	Highways Agency C/o Faber Maunsell	Noted	Yes
	<p>The development of Option 1 has the potential to result in increases in traffic on the trunk road network. The Agency will need to be consulted in more detail in order that the impact of traffic on the strategic highway network can be assessed. Presently the AAP contains insufficient detail for the appraisal to be undertaken.</p> <p>Assessments of the impact of the development on the trunk road network will need to be undertaken in accordance with the requirements of PPG 13 and the relevant Dept for Transport policy. This includes the provision of adequate Transport Assessment and Travel Plan reports.</p> <p>Sites should be developed with attention to access by modes of transport other than the private</p>		<p>The Highways Agency will continue to be consulted as and when detailed development proposals come forward.</p>

<p><b>Area 5 – Green Lane/Russell Terrace/Beech Street</b></p>	<p>vehicle in order that traffic impact is minimised. Improvements in public transport should be proposed where appropriate.</p>	<p>Noted</p>	<p>Yes All proposals will be explored further in the development of the Preferred Option.</p> <p>Yes This option should be explored further as part of the development of the Preferred Option.</p> <p>Yes The Preferred Option should give consideration for new uses on this site including residential and the opportunity to develop a SUD's scheme.</p>
<p>41/PA/401 Sport England Agrees with all options.</p>			
<p>44/PA/467 Ben Mort Agrees with Option 1 - excellent</p>		<p>Environmental improvements to the rail line through the development of a linear park will create a unique and important gateway through Padiham.</p> <p>The Albion Mill site at Shakespeare Street is no longer considered suitable for employment use, therefore a new use should be identified.</p>	

<p><b>Area 5 – Green Lane/Russell Terrace/Beech Street</b></p>	<p>The engine house is a grade II listed building and is therefore safeguarded from demolition and unsympathetic change by national policy.</p>	<p>The Preferred Option should identify a sympathetic new use for the engine house in order to secure its future.</p>
<p>51/PA/512 Dean Rainford</p> <p>The information provided on the net about the Great Harwood-Burnley former railway performance plan extract. pdf. does not reflect the</p>	<p>Agree that fly tipping and anti social behaviour on the rail line are issues.</p> <p>The linear park will be developed in consultation with partners and the community. It will also be</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>The Preferred Option should consider what improvements to the former rail line can be delivered.</p>

**Area 5 –  
Green  
Lane/Russell  
Terrace/Beech  
Street**

current state of the railway. I moved to Russell Terrace just over 2 years ago, and the state of that railway is a disgrace. It is about time the Council actually acted and at least cleaned the area. My house overlooks the railway, and every week more and more items keep turning up, from discarded motorbikes, sofas and other hazards. This area now is totally unsafe, and people can no longer walk along this area. I often see children on the railway; surely with all the rubbish dumped on there it can't be safe. This area is getting worse. Residents are sick of hearing that something is going to be done, and nothing is. Apparently these plans have been in the pipeline for years. The first thing that needs to be addressed is a clean up operation. Has anyone even evaluated the current state of the railway recently.

provided with a management programme to tackle issues such as fly tipping and vandalism.

06/AL/345

Environmental improvements to Yes This option should be

**Area 5 –  
Green  
Lane/Russell  
Terrace/Beech  
Street**

<p>Burnley Civic Society</p>	<p>Agrees with Option 1.</p>	<p>the rail line through the development of a linear park will create a unique and important gateway through Padiham. To compliment this scheme consideration should be given to facelift properties adjacent to the rail line.</p> <p>The Albion Mill site is no longer considered suitable for employment use, therefore a new use should be identified.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>explored further as part of the development of the Preferred Option.</p>
<p></p>	<p></p>	<p>The Preferred Option should give consideration for new uses on this site including residential.</p> <p>The Preferred Option should identify a sympathetic new use for the engine house in order to secure its future.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p></p>

<p><b>Area 5 – Green Lane/Russell Terrace/Beech Street</b></p>	<p>The engine house is a grade II listed building and is therefore safeguarded from demolition and unsympathetic change by national policy.</p>	<p>Noted</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>The Preferred option proposals will be informed by the SFRA. The Environment Agency will continue to be consulted as and when detailed development proposals come forward.</p>
<p>08/AL/385 Environment Agency</p> <p>The comments above in relation to the mill complex bounded by Wyre St, Holmes St and Lune St will also apply to any development option involving the redevelopment of Perseverance Mill site and the Jubilee Mill Engine House (flood risk; land contamination)</p> <p>Green Brook, a designated 'main river' watercourse flows in culvert below Perseverance Mill and any subsequent redevelopment should seek to remove that section of the watercourse from the culvert. Any redevelopment proposal involving development over the culvert would</p>			



**Area 5 –  
Green  
Lane/Russell  
Terrace/Beech  
Street**

result in an objection from the EA.

<p><b>Option 2 – Vacant Properties Brought Back into Use</b></p> <p>04/PA/19 John Greenwood Agrees with Option 2</p>	<p>Noted, the Council does have a Vacant Property Initiative, but funding is limited to areas of high vacancy rates.</p>	<p><b>Yes</b> The Preferred Option should consider whether the Vacant Initiative could be used in this area.</p>
<p>09/PA/64 Mrs B. Whitham Agrees with Option 2 - empty properties give a very poor impression.</p>	<p>Noted, the Council does have a Vacant Property Initiative, but funding is limited to areas of high vacancy rates.</p>	<p><b>Yes</b> The Preferred Option should consider whether the Vacant Initiative could be used in this area.</p>
<p>27/PA/281 C. Barrett Agrees with all options.</p>	<p>Noted</p>	<p><b>Yes</b> All proposals will be explored further as part of the development of the Preferred Option.</p>
<p>36/PA/341 Highways Agency</p>	<p>Noted</p>	<p><b>Yes</b> The Highways Agency will continue to be consulted as and when detailed planning</p>

**Area 5 –  
Green  
Lane/Russell  
Terrace/Beech  
Street**

C/o Faber Maunsell

proposals come forward.

The development of Option 2 has the potential to result in increases in traffic on the trunk road network. The Agency will need to be consulted in more detail in order that the impact of that traffic on the strategic highway network can be assessed. Presently the AAP contains insufficient detail for the appraisal to be undertaken.

Assessments of the impact of the development on the trunk road network will need to be undertaken in accordance with the requirements of PPG 13 and the relevant Dept for Transport policy. This includes the provision of adequate Transport Assessment and Travel Plan reports.

Sites should be developed with attention to access by modes of transport other than the private vehicle in order that traffic impact is minimised. Improvements in public transport should be proposed where

<p><b>Area 5 – Green Lane/Russell Terrace/Beech Street</b></p>			
	appropriate.		
	41/PA/401 Sport England Agrees with all options.	Noted	Yes All Options will be explored further as part of the development of the Preferred Option.
	44/PA/467 Ben Mort Agrees with Option 2 - excellent	Noted, the Council does have a Vacant Property Initiative, but funding is limited to areas of high vacancy rates.	Yes The Preferred Option should consider whether the Vacant Initiative could be used in this area.
<b>Option 3 – No Change</b>	36/PA/341 Highway Agency C/o Faber Maunsell Option 3 will not result in an impact of additional traffic on the strategic highway network, therefore the Agency makes no comments	Noted	Yes The Highways Agency will continue to be consulted as and when detailed planning proposals come forward.
	41/PA/401 Sport England	Noted	Yes All proposals will be explored further as part of the development of

<p><b>Area 5 – Green Lane/Russell Terrace/Beech Street</b></p>	<p>Agrees with all options.</p>	<p>44/PA/467 Ben Mort Disagrees with Option 3</p>	<p>Agree that no change will not assist with tackling local housing market conditions or wider issues in the town.</p>	<p>Yes The Preferred Option should explore options for change in this area.</p>	<p>the Preferred Option.</p>
<p><b>Other Options?</b></p>	<p>01/PA/05 Mrs Riding Open spaces in this area will be used for fly tipping and vandalism.</p>	<p>Noted. The linear park will be developed in consultation with partners and the community. It will also be provided with a</p>	<p></p>	<p></p>	<p></p>

<p><b>Area 5 – Green Lane/Russell Terrace/Beech Street</b></p>	<p>management programme to tackle issues such as fly tipping and vandalism.</p>	<p>Noted</p> <p>12/PA/116 Steve Richards I do not live in this area and think that the identification of issues is best left to the people living in this area</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>The identified issues and opportunities are based upon the results of previous rounds of consultation and sound statistical evidence</p>
		<p>13/PA/124 Mrs Perry Face lifting in Option 1 should be the priority for funding to include in Route D and linear park wildlife corridor. The disused railway line has been used a tip and been an eyesore for many years.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>The proposal to facelift properties on Russell Terrace and Cardwell Street should be explored further in the development of the Preferred Option.</p>
		<p>Environmental improvements to the rail line through the development of a linear park will create a unique and important gateway through Padiham. To complement this scheme consideration should be given to facelifting properties on Russell Terrace and Cardwell Street .</p>		

**Area 5 –  
Green  
Lane/Russell  
Terrace/Beech  
Street**

<p>23/PA/202</p> <p>Barbara Porter</p> <p>Agrees with the proposals for engine house as sort of museum for the primary and nursery schools in the area.</p> <p>The mill could be put to shopping outlets to help the people at this end of Padiham who have so far to go.</p> <p>Burns Street is not included but it needs alley gating because its T shape means that it is blocked at every end with cars. The busy bridge at Dryden Street is so dangerous for our young children.</p> <p>Evening up roads and pavements is needed. The speed of vehicles under Dryden St bridge needs slowing even more. Their speed needs to be observed on camera (if the cameras like the mirrors not punched). Lighting needs to be improved in the whole area.</p>	<p>The engine house is a grade II listed building and is therefore safeguarded from demolition and unsympathetic change by national policy. The Council agrees that a new use for the building should be identified in order to secure its future.</p> <p>The Council does not consider a site in this location suitable for commercial use. This would be contrary to planning policy and could have a negative impact on the regeneration of Padiham town centre.</p> <p>The Council has a limited amount of funding available for alley gate scheme. The gating orders are based on criteria including consistently high crime and anti social behaviour statistics and consultation with residents.</p> <p>Agree that traffic and traffic management are issues.</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>No</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p>	<p>The Preferred Option should identify a sympathetic new use for the engine house in order to secure its future.</p> <p>The Preferred Option should consider whether alley-gating is appropriate for this area.</p> <p>The Preferred Option should identify traffic as an issue and proposals for traffic management should be considered where there is greatest need</p> <p>The Preferred Option should identify a range of potential funding opportunities in order to deliver a range of regeneration activity in the town</p>
---	--	---	---

**Area 5 –  
Green  
Lane/Russell  
Terrace/Beech  
Street**

Just a few comments about the area in which I live. When I travel I see the difference in the investment levels, Padiham and Burnley look like East Berlin before reunification, but with investment, education and motivation it could be dramatically improved.

Resources are limited and intervention has to be targeted to areas of greatest need. Although this area is displaying signs of neglect, the area does not display the same symptoms of housing market weakness as experienced by other areas in the Borough. Sympathetic improvements by property owners should be promoted.

36/PA/341

Faber Maunsell for Highways Agency  
Option 3 will not result in an impact of additional traffic on the strategic highway network; therefore the Agency makes no comment.

Noted

Yes The Highways Agency will continue to be consulted as and when detailed planning proposals come forward.

<p><b>Area 5 – Green Lane/Russell Terrace/Beech Street</b></p>	<p>50/PA/508</p> <p>Unknown</p> <p>Perseverance Mill should be developed for houses - starter homes for first time buyers. This part of Padiham needs modernising. The development will bring work and money to the area.</p> <p>The Albion Mill site at Shakespeare Street is no longer considered suitable for employment use, therefore a new use should be identified</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>The Preferred Option should give consideration for new uses on this site including residential..</p>
--	--

Table 2.8 Area 6

<p><b>Area 6 – Green Lane/Station Road/Elizabeth Street</b></p>	<p><b>Option 1 – Extension of Economic Improvement Area</b></p> <p>04/PA/19</p> <p>John Greenwood</p> <p>Agrees with Option 1</p> <p>The Council considers that this under-utilised site provides an opportunity to extend the existing economic improvement area EW6/4 Thompson Street, Padiham. This site would also allow replacement employment</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>This option should be carefully considered as part of the development of the Preferred Option</p>
---	---



**Area 6 – Green Lane/Station Road/Elizabeth Street**

	land for sites that are no longer considered suitable for employment use.		This option could be carefully considered as part of the development of the Preferred Option
12/PA/116 Steve Richards Agrees with Option 1.	The Council considers that this under-utilised site provides an opportunity to extend the existing economic improvement area EW6/4 Thompson Street, Padiham. This site would also allow replacement employment land for sites that are no longer considered suitable for employment use.	Yes	
16/PA/131 Mrs Ann Cooper Does not agree with Area 6 Site EW6/4 – as a resident of Elizabeth Street I am concerned about access to	Agree that access and potential traffic management should be given careful consideration if the site is brought forward as an extension to the existing	Yes	This option will be carefully considered as part of the development of the Preferred Option. Traffic management and access should also be explored.

<p><b>Area 6 – Green Lane/Station Road/Elizabeth Street</b></p>	<p>the above site as on street parking is a major problem in the area and the streets are not suited to heavy traffic.</p>	<p>economic improvement area EW6/4 Thompson Street, Padiham</p>	<p>This option should be carefully considered as part of the development of the Preferred Option</p>
<p>27/PA/281 C. Barrett Agrees with all of the options.</p>		<p>The Council considers that this under-utilised site provides an opportunity to extend the existing economic improvement area EW6/4 Thompson Street, Padiham. This site would also allow replacement employment</p>	

**Area 6 – Green Lane/Station Road/Elizabeth Street**

land for sites that are no longer considered suitable for employment use.

	Noted	Yes	The Highways Agency will continue to be consulted as and when detailed development proposals come forward.
36/PA/341 Highways Agency C/o Faber Maunsell	Option 1 has the potential to result in increases in traffic on the trunk road network. The Agency is supportive of sustainable development in appropriate locations. Sites should be developed with attention to access by modes of transport other than the private car in order that the traffic impact of such developments is minimised. Improvements in public transport should be proposed where appropriate.		
	The Agency will need to be consulted in more detail in		

**Area 6 – Green Lane/Station Road/Elizabeth Street**

order that the impact of that traffic on the strategic highway network can be assessed. Presently, the AAP contains insufficient detail for that appraisal to be undertaken.

Assessments of the impact of the development on the trunk road network will need to be undertaken in accordance with the requirements of PPG 13 and the relevant Dept for Transport policy. This includes the provision of adequate Transport Assessment and Travel Plan reports.

41/PA/401

Sport England

Agrees with all of the options.

Yes

The Council considers that this under-utilised site provides an opportunity to extend the existing economic improvement area EW6/4 Thompson Street, Padiham. This site would also allow

This option should be carefully considered as part of the development of the Preferred Option

<p><b>Area 6 – Green Lane/Station Road/Elizabeth Street</b></p>	<p>replacement employment land for sites that are no longer considered suitable for employment use.</p>	<p>08/AI/385 Environment Agency No issues or concerns in relation to the development options for this area.</p>	<p>Noted</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>The Environment Agency will continue to be consulted as and when detailed development proposals come forward.</p>
<p><b>Option 2 – No Change</b></p>	<p>Allowing no change may result in the site's deterioration.</p>	<p>09/PA/64 Mrs B Whitham Agrees with Option 2</p>	<p>Noted</p>	<p>No</p>	<p>The Highways Agency will continue to be consulted as and when detailed development proposals come forward.</p>
	<p>Option 2 will not result in an impact of additional traffic on the strategic highway</p>	<p>36/PA/341 Highways Agency C/o Faber Maunsell</p>	<p>Noted</p>	<p>Yes</p>	

<p><b>Area 6 – Green Lane/Station Road/Elizabeth Street</b></p>	<p>network; therefore the Agency makes no comment.</p>	<p>41/PA/401 Sport England Agrees with all of the options.</p>	<p>Allowing no change may result in the sites deterioration.</p>	<p>No</p>
<p><b>Other Options?</b></p>	<p>09/PA/64 Mrs Whitnam</p>	<p>A clean up of Green Lane railway bridge is urgently required. Also the roosting pigeons under Green Lane / Station Rd bridges and the disgusting excrement problem should be addressed.</p>	<p>Noted</p>	

**Area 6 – Green Lane/Station Road/Elizabeth Street**

<p>36/PA/341</p>	<p>Noted</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>The Highways Agency will continue to be consulted as and when detailed development proposals come forward.</p>
<p>Highways Agency C/o Faber Maunsell</p>	<p>The Agency will need to be consulted in more detail in order that the impact of traffic on the strategic highway network can be assessed. Presently the AAP contains insufficient detail for that appraisal to be undertaken.</p>		

Table 2.9 Area 7

Area 7 – Levant Street/Thompson Street/Barley Street			
<b>Option 1 – Environmental Improvements</b>	04/PA/19 John Greenwood Agrees with Option 1	The AAP needs to be able to demonstrate that proposals are deliverable.	The Preferred Option should consider whether this option is feasible in view of the funding opportunities that are likely to be available.
	08/PA/58 L. Digger Disagrees with the tree planting in Barley & Levant St. We have plenty of green areas and the countryside is on Padiham's doorstep.	The AAP needs to be able to demonstrate that proposals are deliverable. This land should only be identified for housing if it is no longer required for open	The Preferred Option should consider whether this option is feasible in view of the funding opportunities that are likely to be available.



<p><b>Area 7 – Levant Street/ Thompson Street/Barley Street</b></p>	<p>Sell the land to a company for development.</p>	<p>space use. Sufficient housing land has already been identified.</p>	<p>The Preferred Option should consider whether this option is feasible in view of the funding opportunities that are likely to be available.</p>
<p>09/PA/64 Mrs B. Whitham Agrees with Option 1 - will benefit all</p>	<p>The AAP needs to be able to demonstrate that proposals are deliverable.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>The Preferred Option should consider whether this option is feasible in view of the funding opportunities that are likely to be available.</p>
<p>12/PA/116 Steve Richards Agrees with Option 1 - with the correct type of tree for the area</p>	<p>The AAP needs to be able to demonstrate that proposals are deliverable.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>The Preferred Option should consider whether this option is feasible in view of the funding opportunities that are likely to be available.</p>
<p>23/PA/202 Barbara Porter Tree planting will only work if policed. It has been tried unsuccessfully across the stream in Burns Street, on Hambleton</p>	<p>The AAP needs to be able to demonstrate that proposals are deliverable.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>The Preferred Option should consider whether this option is feasible in view of the funding opportunities that are likely to be available.</p>

<p><b>Area 7 – Levant Street/ Thompson Street/Barley Street</b></p>	<p>Street but demolished.</p> <p>Residents have always regarded the green space between Levant Street, Barley Street and Thompson Street as the village green and have fought to keep it as such. Trees would be beautiful but when mature will block light from houses unless you utilize a CPO to put residents elsewhere.</p>	<p>27/PA/281</p> <p>C. Bennett</p> <p>Agrees with all of the options.</p>	<p>The AAP needs to be able to demonstrate that proposals are deliverable.</p> <p>06/AL/345</p> <p>Burnley Civic Society</p> <p>Agrees with Option 1.</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p>	<p>The Preferred Option should consider whether this option is feasible in view of the funding opportunities that are likely to be available.</p> <p>The Preferred Option should consider whether this option is feasible in view of the funding opportunities that are likely to be available.</p> <p>The Preferred Option should consider whether this option is feasible in view of the funding opportunities that are likely to be available.</p>
		<p>08/AL/385</p>	<p>Noted</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>The Environment Agency will</p>

<p><b>Area 7 – Levant Street/ Thompson Street/Barley Street</b></p>	<p>Environment Agency We have no issues or concerns in relation to the development options for this area.</p>	<p>continue to be consulted as and when detailed development proposals come forward.</p>
	<p>41/PA/401 Sport England Agrees with all options.</p>	<p>The AAP needs to be able to demonstrate that proposals are deliverable. <b>Yes</b> The Preferred Option should consider whether this option is feasible in view of the funding opportunities that are likely to be available.</p>
	<p><b>Option 2 – No Change</b> 08/PA/58 Mr L. Tattersall Agrees with no change</p>	<p><b>Yes</b> The Preferred Option should consider whether other options are feasible in view of the funding opportunities that are likely to be available.</p>
	<p>41/PA/401 Sport England Agrees with all options.</p>	<p>The AAP needs to be able to demonstrate that proposals are deliverable. <b>Yes</b> The Preferred Option should consider which options are likely to be feasible in view of the funding opportunities that are likely to be available.</p>

**Area 7 –  
Levant  
Street/  
Thompson  
Street/Barley  
Street**

<b>Other Options?</b>	08/PA/58	Mr L. Tattersall	Sell the land to a company for development.	The green area between Barley St/ Levant St would make a nice play area for children or alternatively the district is ideal for retirement bungalows.	Barley St and Levant St has been waste land for over 100 years so do something constructive.	I do not think tree planting is a good idea for Barley and Levant St - vandalism will destroy the trees (it is critical you spend the money sensibly).	This land should only be identified for housing if it is no longer required for open space use. Sufficient housing land has already been identified.	The AAP needs to be able to demonstrate that proposals are deliverable.	No	Yes	The Preferred Option should retain this site as an open space in line with Council policy unless it is no longer required	The Preferred Option should consider whether this option is feasible in view of the funding opportunities that are likely to be available.

<p><b>Area 7 – Levant Street/ Thompson Street/Barley Street</b></p>	<p>29/PA/283 Unknown Create a parking strip one car wide along Barley St on the side opposite the terraced houses.</p>	<p>This may assist parking issues in the area.</p> <p>Noted</p> <p>Maureen Whittaker</p> <p>Area 1, Area 5, Area 7 If action is not taken now these areas will be a blight on the town.</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Further consideration should be given to the feasibility of this suggestion</p>
---	--	---	---

Table 2.10 Route A

<p><b>Route A – Burnley Road/Town Centre and Gawthorpe Hall</b></p>
---

**Route A –  
Burnley  
Road/Town  
Centre  
and  
Gawthorpe  
Hall**

<p><b>Option 1</b></p>	<p>10/PA/100</p>	<p>Although the town appears to be well served by a bus network</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>The Preferred Option should consider improving access to</p>
<p><b>Improvements to Signage and Traffic Management</b></p>	<p>Mary Pilling Buses serve the Shuttleworth Mead estate every 15 minutes. As for traffic, drivers will go the most convenient way for them, signposting will not make any</p>	<p>access employment opportunities within Padiham and the Borough could be enhanced by extending the Quality Bus Route to Shuttlewoth Mead.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>employing opportunities by extending the Quality Bus Route to Shuttleworth Mead.</p>
				<p>The Preferred Option should identify traffic as an issue. Traffic management should be considered as part of proposals for Burnley Road and Church Street</p>

**Route A –  
Burnley  
Road/Town  
Centre  
and  
Gawthorpe  
Hall**

difference. The only way forward would be to have a one way system through the town, to come in from the Burnley to Whalley road and use the by pass and Slade Lane, Guy Street, Higham St down to the market out to Burnley. Many motorists use part of this route to avoid traffic at peak time making life dangerous for the residents of Bank St East and West St and Adamson St. HGVs have no need to use the town centre but they prefer to be a nuisance. Double yellow lines through out town centre so car parks are well used.

Further work will be undertaken with Lancashire County Council to identify traffic issues within Padiham Town Centre.

15/PA/130

Mr D Baker-Brown

Improvements to signage and traffic management along Burnley Road and Church Street will have

Yes

Further work will be undertaken as part of the Preferred Option to identify

<p><b>Route A – Burnley Road/Town Centre and Gawthorpe Hall</b></p>	<p>Agrees with Routes A-C - Improves the town</p>	<p>a positive effect on the town centre improving access to shops, services, parking and links to Gawthorpe Hall.</p>	<p>traffic issues within Padiham town centre and improve links with Gawthorpe Hall.</p>
<p>27/PA/281 C. Bennett</p>	<p>Improvements to signage and traffic management along Burnley Road and Church Street will have a positive effect on the town centre</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>Further work will be undertaken as part of the Preferred Option to identify traffic issues within Padiham town centre and improve links with Gawthorpe Hall.</p>



**Route A –  
Burnley  
Road/Town  
Centre  
and  
Gawthorpe  
Hall**

<p>Agrees with all of the options.</p>	<p>improving access to shops, services, parking and links to Gawthorpe Hall.</p>	
<p>36/PA/341 Highways Agency C/o Faber Maunsell</p>	<p>Noted</p>	<p>Yes The Highways Agency will continue to be consulted as and when detailed proposals are put forward.</p>
<p>The Agency welcomes the proposals to increase access by alternative modes of transport other than the private car. Where proposals are likely to result in changes to traffic flows, queuing and delays at junctions for vehicles the Agency will need to be consulted in order to ensure that the proposals do not result in an adverse impact on the trunk road network.</p> <p>The improvements to the signage and traffic management on the</p>		

**Route A –  
Burnley  
Road/Town  
Centre  
and  
Gawthorpe  
Hall**

local highway network have the potential to result in additional traffic routing on to the strategic highway network. Indeed the Agency is concerned that that the proposals aim to encourage traffic on to the M65, with a view to reducing traffic congestion within the town centre. Any proposals on the local road network, which have the potential to alter volumes, types, routing options, and time of travel of traffic on to the M65, need to be considered in detail by the Agency.

(General comments) The Agency welcomes the proposals to increase access by alternative modes of transport other than the private car. Where proposals are likely to result in changes to traffic flows, queuing and delays at junctions for vehicles the Agency

**Route A –  
Burnley  
Road/Town  
Centre  
and  
Gawthorpe  
Hall**

will need to be consulted in order to ensure that the proposals do not result in an adverse impact on the trunk road network.

37/PA/363

Ian & Amanda Bennett

Disagree with Route A - Improvements to signage need to be preceded by increased and improved management of town centre parking

Further work will be undertaken with Lancashire County Council to identify traffic issues within Padiham Town Centre.

Yes

The Preferred Option should address traffic management issues including signage and parking. Further considered should be given to developing a parking disc scheme as part of proposals for Burnley Road and Church Street.

41/PA/401

Sport England

Improvements to signage and traffic management along Burnley Road and Church Street will have a positive effect on the town centre

Yes

Further work will be undertaken as part of the Preferred Option to identify traffic issues within Padiham town centre and improve links with Gawthorpe Hall.

<p><b>Route A – Burnley Road/Town Centre and Gawthorpe Hall</b></p>	<p>Agrees with all of the options.</p>	<p>improving access to shops, services, parking and links to Gawthorpe Hall.</p>	<p>Further work will be undertaken as part of the Preferred Option to identify traffic issues within Padiham town centre and improve links with Gawthorpe Hall.</p>
<p>42/PA/426 Gawthorpe Hall C/o National Trust Route A - This option is specifically supported by the National Trust and is considered to be necessary to ensure that the full potential of Padiham and its related attractions is realised, including their associated economic and social benefits.</p>	<p>Agree that improvements to signage and traffic management along Burnley Road and Church Street will have a positive effect on the town centre improving access to shops, services, parking and links to Gawthorpe Hall.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>Further work will be undertaken as part of the Preferred Option to identify</p>
<p>03/ALL/210 Cycling Touring Club - Burnley &amp; Pendle Section</p>	<p>Improvements to signage and traffic management along Burnley Road and Church Street will have</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>Further work will be undertaken as part of the Preferred Option to identify</p>

<p><b>Route A – Burnley Road/Town Centre and Gawthorpe Hall</b></p>		
<p>Agree with improvements to signage and traffic management.</p>	<p>a positive effect on the town centre improving access to shops, services, parking and links to Gawthorpe Hall.</p>	<p>traffic issues within Padiham town centre and improve links with Gawthorpe Hall.</p>
<p>06/AL/345 Burnley Civic Society Agrees with Option 1.</p>	<p>Improvements to signage and traffic management along Burnley Road and Church Street will have a positive effect on the town centre improving access to shops, services, parking and links to Gawthorpe Hall.</p>	<p>Yes Further work will be undertaken as part of the Preferred Option to identify traffic issues within Padiham town centre and improve links with Gawthorpe Hall.</p>
<p><b>Option 2 – No Change</b> 41/PA/401 Sport England Agree with all the Options</p>	<p>No change would not tackle the traffic management issues within Padiham town centre or improve links with Gawthorpe Hall</p>	<p>No Further work will be undertaken as part of the Preferred Option to identify traffic issues within Padiham town centre and improve links with Gawthorpe Hall.</p>
<p><b>Other Options?</b> 10/PA/100 Mary Pilling</p>	<p>Further consideration should be given to these suggestions. Improvements to signage and</p>	<p>Yes Further work will be undertaken as part of the Preferred Option to identify</p>

**Route A –  
Burnley  
Road/Town  
Centre  
and  
Gawthorpe  
Hall**

<p>Suggests a one - way system through the town, to come in from Burnley to Whalley Rd and use the bypass and Slade Lane, Guy St, Higham St down to market out to Burnley.</p> <p>The shops on upper Burnley Rd/Church St suffer because of the amount of traffic. Better crossings especially on Church St.</p>	<p>traffic management along Burnley Road and Church Street will have a positive effect on the town centre improving access to shops, services, parking and links to Gawthorpe Hall.</p>	<p>traffic issues within Padiham town centre and improve links with Gawthorpe Hall.</p>
<p>31/PA/324</p> <p>Andrew Walker</p> <p>The area around Stockbridge Mill as shown edged red on the attached plan is the subject of significant changes with recent residential permissions close to declining commercial uses. In the AAP of July 2005 this area was shown as an area for</p>	<p>Agree that Proposals for Stockbridge Mill and the surrounding area would assist in delivering improvements relating to Route A . Planning permissions have already been granted in 2006 for a number of residential apartments to be built on the land adjacent to Canning Street and on land to the rear of Stockbridge Mill. Therefore allowing for a mix of use on the site identified on the</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Further work will be undertaken as part of the Preferred Option to identify traffic issues within Padiham town centre and improve links with Gawthorpe Hall.</p>

**Route A –  
Burnley  
Road/Town  
Centre  
and  
Gawthorpe  
Hall**

Heritage Led Refurbishment /  
Selective Demolition to  
encourage mixed use  
development. attached plan. Construction has  
now begun on the Canning Street  
site.

The owners of Stockbridge Mill  
have commissioned studies to  
advise on the future of these  
buildings. It is important that the  
mill and the surrounding area are  
considered as part of the current  
AAP so that development  
proposals are considered and  
co-ordinated in the context of  
Padiham as a whole.

Proposals for Stockbridge Mill  
and the surrounding area would  
assist in delivering improvements  
relating to Route A and Route C.

Table 2.11 Route B

Route B – Town Centre to Ingham Street Area, Grove Lane and Countryside			
Option 1	15/PA/130	Perceived access and traffic conflict between local residents and industries could be resolved through improved traffic management and pedestrian links.	Yes The Preferred Option will continue to explore traffic management and improved pedestrian access to the town centre in this area
<b>Improved Traffic Management and Promotion of Pedestrian Access</b>	Mr D. Barker Brown Agrees with Routes A-C - Improves the town		
	27/PA/281 C. Barrett Agrees with all of the options.	Perceived access and traffic conflict between local residents and industries could be resolved through improved traffic management and pedestrian links.	Yes The Preferred Option will continue to explore traffic management and improved pedestrian access to the town centre in this area



**Route B –  
Town  
Centre to  
Ingham  
Street  
Area,  
Grove  
Lane and  
Countryside**

<p>36/PA/341</p>	<p>Noted</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>The Highways Agency will continue to be consulted as and when detailed proposals come forward.</p>
<p>Highways Agency</p> <p>C/o Faber Maunsell</p> <p>The Agency welcomes proposals to increase access by modes of transport other than the private car. Where proposals are likely to result in changes to traffic flows, queuing and delays at junctions for vehicles the Agency will need to be consulted to ensure that proposals do not result in an adverse impact on the trunk road network.</p>		

<b>Route B – Town Centre to Ingham Street Area, Grove Lane and Countryside</b>	<p>41/PA/401</p> <p>Sport England</p> <p>Agrees with all of the options.</p>	<p>Perceived access and traffic conflict between local residents and industries could be resolved through improved traffic management and pedestrian links.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>The Preferred Option will continue to explore traffic management and improved pedestrian access in this area</p>
	<p>03/ALL/210</p> <p>Cyclists Touring Club – Burnley &amp; Pendle Section</p> <p>Agree with improved traffic management and promotion of pedestrian access</p>	<p>Perceived access and traffic conflict between local residents and industries could be resolved through improved traffic management and pedestrian links.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>The Preferred Option will continue to explore traffic management and improved pedestrian access to the town centre in this area</p>
	<p>06/AL/345</p> <p>Burnley Civic Society</p> <p>Agrees with Option 1.</p>	<p>Perceived access and traffic conflict between local residents and industries could be resolved through improved traffic management and pedestrian links.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>The Preferred Option will continue to explore traffic management and improved pedestrian access to the town centre in this area</p>

<b>Route B – Town Centre to Ingham Street Area, Grove Lane and Countryside</b>			
<b>Option 2 – No Changes</b>	41/PA/401 Sport England	The proposal for no change would not tackle the perceived access and traffic conflict between local residents and industries would not be resolved.	No
Agrees with all options.			
<b>Route C – River Calder, Green Brook, Shaw Brook and Other Watercourses</b>			
<b>Option 1 – Riverside Walkway and Environmental Enhancements</b>	10/PA/100 Mary Pilling	The wetlands are in place and need to be left alone for the wildlife and the wildlife corridor is restricted by the construction of Shuttleworth Mead, an estate	Yes
		Noted. The Council recognises the importance of wildlife and wildlife corridors and has policies in place to protect and enhance them. The derelict mill complex at Wyre Street/ Lune Street has been identified as a site for	Option 1 should be explored further as the Preferred Option for this route. Care should be taken to protect

Table 2.12 Route C

Route C – River Calder, Green Brook, Shaw Brook and Other Watercourses			
<p>which need not be there if the derelict mills in the town had been renovated earlier.</p>	<p>heritage led redevelopment and regeneration in the Issues and Options Report.</p>	<p>and enhance the wildlife corridor which is lies along the River Calder</p>	
<p>15/PA/130</p> <p>Mr D. Barker Brown</p> <p>Agrees with Routes A-C - Improves the town</p>	<p>The development of a well maintained river side walk along the banks Calder has the potential to create a continuous pedestrian link between the proposed linear park, Memorial Park, town centre and Gawthorpe Hall.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>Option 1 should be explored further as the Preferred Option for this route. Care should be taken to protect and enhance the wildlife corridor which is lies along the River Calder</p>
<p>22/PA/197</p> <p>B Posthuma de Boer</p> <p>The river could be a real asset if the bank was tidied.</p>	<p>The development of a well maintained river side walk along the banks Calder has the potential to create a continuous pedestrian link between the proposed linear park, Memorial Park, town centre and Gawthorpe Hall.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>Option 1 should be explored further as the Preferred Option for this route. Care should be taken to protect and enhanced</p>

**Route C – River Calder,  
Green Brook, Shaw  
Brook and Other  
Watercourses**

	<p>the wildlife corridor which lies along the River Calder</p>
<p>27/PA/281 C. Barrett Agrees with all of the options.</p>	<p>The development of a well maintained river side walk along the banks Calder has the potential to create a continuous pedestrian link between the proposed linear park, Memorial Park, town centre and Gawthorpe Hall.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Option 1 should be explored further as the Preferred Option for this route.</p>
<p>34/PA/329 P Hornby Individual comments (not representing Burnley Wildlife Forum): The Grove Lane wetlands area is referred to in 2 paragraphs of Option 1 for Route C on p18 of the report, the 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph refers to a proposed wetlands area and the</p>	<p>Comments noted. However, as the wet meadows lie outside the boundary of the AAP, the Council will not be putting forward proposals for this area within the Preferred Option plan.</p> <p>The Council does note recommendations given to revise the wording given within the issues and options report</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>The Preferred Option will carefully consider suggestions made for the rewording of the proposed route along the River Calder</p>

**Route C – River Calder,  
Green Brook, Shaw  
Brook and Other  
Watercourses**

2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph states that a new wetlands habitat will be developed at a riverside site off Grove Lane.

The wet meadows between Grove Lane and the River Calder are already a first class wildlife habitat which has improved over the last 30 years due to low intensity grazing and assisted by a slowly developing ground subsidence. The endangered and protected water vole has been seen on these wet meadows together with a good range of marshland bird species, most importantly over 100 snipes and the rarer jack snipe and water rail as a refuge because of its privacy and lack of public disturbance, and, for this reason the Grove Lane wet meadows are of county importance.

A hydrology survey of the Grove Lane wet meadows is being undertaken on behalf of the Environment Agency. Until the results of this survey have been

### Route C – River Calder, Green Brook, Shaw Brook and Other Watercourses

fully assessed it is premature to suggest any changes to the existing excellent quality wet meadow habitats between Grove Lane and the River Calder. Any modification at all of the existing excellent wet meadow habitats would have to be very carefully considered.

The proposal for an additional footpath alongside the River Calder adjacent to the wet meadows is also a cause for concern. More public access will create additional disturbance to the wildlife present on the wet meadows bearing in mind that there are already public footpaths on the west side of the wet meadows adjacent to Potterton Myson factory and to the north side of the edge of the Grove Lane plantation woodland. These existing footpaths give adequate views of the wet meadows wildlife habitats for both naturalists and the general public and have

**Route C – River Calder,  
Green Brook, Shaw  
Brook and Other  
Watercourses**

convenient links into Padiham town centre and the extensive network of footpaths leading into the surrounding countryside.

Any changes to the existing situation would have implications for the wet meadows owners, Potterton Myson and for Burnley Football Club, especially in respect of their training pitches, and, for the adjacent National Trust's Gawthorpe Hall estate.

All these issues need to be fully considered by all interested parties and this process has not yet commenced. Unfortunately, the impression has been given on page 18 of the report, especially the wording in the 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph of Option 1, that a new wetlands habitat will definitely be developed off Grove Lane. This is premature as it is only an idea at the moment.



**Route C – River Calder,  
Green Brook, Shaw  
Brook and Other  
Watercourses**

I suggest that at the next stage in the Padiham Area Action Plan process Route C Option 1 is amended to something on the lines that investigations will be undertaken into conserving and if appropriate making changes to the wet meadows wildlife habitat off Grove Lane and to developing a riverside walk along the River Calder in the area.

40/PA/400

LCC  
Remade

REMADE in Lancashire supports the development of this potential pedestrian route along the banks of the River Calder. The proposed REMADE scheme to create a footpath/cycleway/bridleway along the former railway includes a link into Padiham Memorial Park from the railway, which then has the opportunity to link into the proposed riverside walk.

Support noted. The development of a well maintained river side walk along the banks Calder has the potential to create a continuous pedestrian link between the proposed linear park, Memorial Park, town centre and Gawthorpe Hall.

Yes

Option 1 should be explored further as the Preferred Option for this route.

**Route C – River Calder,  
Green Brook, Shaw  
Brook and Other  
Watercourses**

The connection of the proposed riverside walkway and the Great Harwood-Burnley railway line scheme provides an opportunity to create a significant length of traffic - free footpath through the centre of Padiham linking Gawthorpe Hall, the railway and other existing pedestrian and cycle routes in the Padiham area. A key element of developing the REMADE scheme is to link into as many existing routes and facilities as possible. Development of the riverside walkway should help to achieve this.

41/PA/401

Sport England

Agrees with all of the options.

The development of a well maintained river side walk along the banks Calder has the potential to create a continuous pedestrian link between the proposed linear park, Memorial Park, town centre and Gawthorpe Hall.

Yes

Option 1 should be explored further as the Preferred Option for this route.

**Route C – River Calder,  
Green Brook, Shaw  
Brook and Other  
Watercourses**

<p>42/PA/426</p>	<p>Gawthorpe Hall</p> <p>C/o National Trust</p> <p>Generally: The National Trust welcomes the opportunity to respond to the latest consultation document and confirms its continued support for heritage-led regeneration of Padiham.</p> <p>Section 2: The descriptions, issue and opportunities identified that are of relevance to the Trust's interests are in most parts agreed.</p> <p>The one area of specific concern that we have relates to Section 2.5 (Transport and Movement) and the third of the listed opportunities. The Trust welcome investigations into the potential improved pedestrian access linking the centre of Padiham to Gawthorpe via an attractive and well-managed footpath alongside the River Calder. However, it would be</p>	<p>Padiham's industrial heritage should not be lost as new development takes place and the town's built heritage and natural assets should be preserved and enhanced.</p> <p>Noted</p> <p>The development of a well maintained river side walk along the banks Calder has the potential to create a continuous pedestrian link between the proposed linear park, Memorial Park, town centre and Gawthorpe Hall. It is accepted that its design should take into account the need to ensure that it is not misused</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p>	<p>The Preferred Option should be based on the need to preserve and enhance the town's historic built heritage and natural assets.</p> <p>Option 1 should be explored further as the Preferred Option for this route. The National Trust will be continued to be consulted during the Area Action Plan process.</p>
------------------	--	---	-----------------------	---

**Route C – River Calder,  
Green Brook, Shaw  
Brook and Other  
Watercourses**

concerned about the possible misuse of such a route, especially if it was accessible by motorbikes.

Route C - The National Trust agrees that this route is worthy of further investigation in accordance with Option 1 and would welcome the opportunity to consider the outcome of such investigations. The issues relating to management and the control of access would be key considerations for the Trust.

06/AL/345

Burnley Civic Society  
Agrees with Option 1.

The development of a well maintained river side walk along the banks Calder has the potential to create a continuous pedestrian link between the proposed linear park, Memorial Park, town centre and Gawthorpe Hall.

Yes

Option 1 should be explored further as the Preferred Option for this route

<p><b>Route C – River Calder, Green Brook, Shaw Brook and Other Watercourses</b></p>			
<p>08/AL/385</p> <p>Environment Agency</p> <p>Supports Option 1 of Route C and Option 1 of Route D which both aim to establish new pathways and routes through the development area.</p>	<p>The development of a well maintained river side walk along the banks Calder has the potential to create a continuous pedestrian link between the proposed linear park, Memorial Park, town centre and Gawthorpe Hall.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>Option 1 should be explored further as the Preferred Option for this route</p>
<p><b>Option 2 – No Changes</b></p>			
<p>41/PA/401</p> <p>Sport England</p> <p>Agrees with all of the options.</p>	<p>The option for no change would not help to improve the connections between the town centre and Gawthorpe Hall. Improving access between the two sites has the potential to benefit the local economy.</p>	<p>No</p>	
<p><b>Priority for investment</b></p>			
<p>22/PA/197</p> <p>Ben Posthuma De Boer</p> <p>The railway line as it attracts litter and could be an asset. The river could be a real asset if the bank was tidied.</p>	<p>The development of a well maintained river side walk along the banks Calder has the potential to create a continuous pedestrian link between the proposed linear park, Memorial Park, town centre and Gawthorpe Hall.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>Option 1 should be explored further as the Preferred Option for this route</p>

**Route C – River Calder,  
Green Brook, Shaw  
Brook and Other  
Watercourses**

31/PA/324

Andrew Walker

The area around Stockbridge Mill as shown edged red on the attached plan is the subject of significant changes with recent residential permissions close to declining commercial uses. In the AAP of July 2005 this area was shown as an area for Heritage Led Refurbishment / Selective Demolition to encourage mixed use development.

The owners of Stockbridge Mill have commissioned studies to advise on the future of these buildings. It is important that the mill and the surrounding area are considered as part of the current AAP so that development proposals are considered and co-ordinated in the context of Padiham as a whole.

It is agreed that proposals for Stockbridge Mill and the surrounding area would assist in delivering improvements relating to Route C. Planning permission was granted in 2006 for a number of residential apartments to be built on the land adjacent to Canning Street and on land to the rear of Stockbridge Mill. Therefore allowing for a mix of use on the site identified on the attached plan. Construction has now begun on the Canning Street site.

<b>Route C – River Calder, Green Brook, Shaw Brook and Other Watercourses</b>	Proposals for Stockbridge Mill and the surrounding area would assist in delivering improvements relating to Route A and Route C.
---	--

Table 2.13 Route D

<b>Route D – Creation of a Linear Park on the Former Railway Line</b>			
<b>Option 1 – Linear Park</b>	01/PA/05 Mrs Riding The railway line is a rat infested tip (priority for investment).	Illegal tipping has been noted as a current issue on the rail line.	No Yes No
10/PA/100 Mary Pilling	The railway line is a rat infested tip (priority for investment).	Sustrans are in the process of acquiring the disused rail line between Burnley and Padiham from Network Rail.	Yes No Yes
		The Preferred Option should explore opportunities to link the linear park to other improvements within the local	

**Route D –  
Creation of  
a Linear  
Park on  
the Former  
Railway  
Line**

<p>The railway will continue to be derelict until agreement is reached with the owners as to their intentions for the land. This proposal has been put forward many times to no avail. For years talk of using the railway as a horse/cycle route and of a canoeing area on the river, no funding. Is funding available now?</p>	<p>Sustrans, as part of their Connect2 project, hope to develop an off road route which will connect Blackburn to Barnoldswick. The Padiham section is phase 1 of the project and initial work on designs and clearing of the line has begun</p> <p>In 2001 the Environment Agency put forward a proposal for a canoe centre in Padiham. However due to escalating costs the scheme was not progressed.</p>	<p>area and additional pedestrian links from the linear park to memorial park, the town centre, Gawthorpe Hall and quality open space.</p>
<p>16/PA/131 Mrs Ann Cooper</p>	<p>Sustrans are in the process of acquiring the disused rail line between Burnley and Padiham from Network Rail.</p>	<p>No</p>



**Route D –  
Creation of  
a Linear  
Park on  
the Former  
Railway  
Line**

<p>Does not agree with the Linear Park. How much will it cost the people of Padiham to prevent further rubbish dumping and vandalism?</p>	<p>Sustrans, as part of their Connect2 project, hope to develop in time an off road route which will connect Blackburn to Barnoldswick. The Padiham section is phase 1 of the project and initial work on designs and clearing of the line has begun</p>	
<p>18/PA/159 Hazel Rushton Agrees with the Linear Park. It is very important particularly as it would give much easier access to Memorial Park from Victoria Road/Stockbridge – Green areas because it would bypass the steps. Very important for prams, wheelchairs etc... It also has lovely views of our town and is ideal for picnics.</p>	<p>Environmental improvements to the rail line through the development of a linear park would create a unique and important gateway into the town. There is also the potential to create a continuous pedestrian link between the proposed linear park, Memorial Park, town centre and Gawthorpe Hall.</p>	<p>Yes Option 1 should be further explored as the Preferred Option for this route. The Preferred Option should explore opportunities to link the linear park to other improvements within the local area and additional pedestrian links from the linear park to memorial park, the town centre, Gawthorpe Hall and quality open space.</p>

Route D – Creation of a Linear Park on the Former Railway Line		Environmental improvements to the rail line through the development of a linear park would create a unique and important gateway into the town. The linear park will be developed with a maintenance and management programme	Yes	Option 1 should be further explored as the Preferred Option for this route.
22/PA/197	Ben Posthuma De Boer Particularly agrees with Option 1. It should be a priority for investment as the former rail line attracts litter but could be an asset.			
23/PA/202	B Portra Disagrees with the whole issue of the linear park which we believe will be continually fouled unless continually policed. A light railway will solve the transport problem from Shuttleworth Mead through Padiham to Rosegrove and access to one of the stations.	Sustrans are in the process of acquiring the disused rail line between Burnley and Padiham from Network Rail. Sustrans, as part of their Connect2 project, hope to develop an off road route which will connect Blackburn to Barnoldswick. The Padiham section is phase 1 of the project and initial work on designs and clearing of the line has begun	No	

**Route D –  
Creation of  
a Linear  
Park on  
the Former  
Railway  
Line**

	Environmental improvements	Yes	Option 1 should be further explored as the Preferred Option for this route.
<p>27/PA/281</p> <p>C. Barrett</p> <p>Agrees with all of the options.</p>	<p>to the rail line through the development of a linear park would create a unique and important gateway into the town. There is also the potential to create a continuous pedestrian link between the proposed linear park, Memorial Park, town centre and Gawthorpe Hall.</p>		
<p>33/PA/328</p> <p>G. T Thompson</p> <p>Proposed improvements to the route of the former rail line may generate acts of anti social behaviour that will become intolerable. – some assurance be given that safeguards will be put in place to prevent any possibility of such occurrences.</p>	<p>Sustrans are in the process of acquiring the disused rail line between Burnley and Padiham from Network Rail. Sustrans, as part of their Connect2 project, hope to develop in time an off road route which will connect Blackburn to Barnoldswick. The Padiham section is phase</p>	Yes	Option 1 should be further explored as the Preferred Option for this route. This should include the development of a management and maintenance plan.

**Route D –  
Creation of  
a Linear  
Park on  
the Former  
Railway  
Line**

The retention of mature trees that provide a natural screen would be a distinct advantage. Without these trees we would become a target for those who seek to undermine our privacy and enjoyment. There are at least 2 properties that have experienced acts where missiles have been thrown on to their conservatories.

Public consultation over the initial design of the linear park will begin in December 2007.

A further major concern is the access to the proposed tarmac surface by those who use all types of motorbikes. We already experience a small number of motorbikes who use the railway route as their recreation ground and give rise to unacceptable noise levels. Grove Lane is a typical example where notices prohibiting motorcycle riding are totally ignored by such users. The

**Route D –  
Creation of  
a Linear  
Park on  
the Former  
Railway  
Line**

police have other priorities and in our view would in practice be ineffective in policing this problem.

I should be pleased to learn what other safeguards you may have in mind to protect those residents who live in close proximity or adjacent to the railway line.

35/PA/338

Peter Corvino

Disagrees - the railway will be used as a racetrack by motorbikes and there are no police after 6:30pm.

Sustrans are in the process of No acquiring the disused rail line between Burnley and Padiham from Network Rail. As part of their Connect2 project, Sustrans hope to develop an off road route which will connect Blackburn to Barnoldswick. The Padiham section is phase 1 of the project and initial work on designs and clearing of the line has begun

**Route D –  
Creation of  
a Linear  
Park on  
the Former  
Railway  
Line**

40/PA/400	<p>Agreement noted. Environmental improvements to the rail line through the development of a linear park would create a unique and important gateway into the town. There is also the potential to create a continuous pedestrian link between the proposed linear park, Memorial Park, town centre and Gawthorpe Hall.</p>	<p>Yes Option 1 should be further explored as the Preferred Option for this route. The Preferred Option should also explore opportunities to link the linear park to other improvements within the area and additional pedestrian links from the linear park to memorial park, the town centre, Gawthorpe Hall and quality open space.</p>
LCC		
REMADE	<p>Remade in Lancashire is a £21M, 7 year derelict land reclamation programme funded by the North West Regional Development Agency (NWDA) to reclaim derelict underused and neglected land for soft end uses including playing fields, nature reserves and footpaths/bridleways.</p>	
	<p>The proposed REMADE scheme at Padiham involves the creation of a footpath/bridleway/ cycleway along the length of the former Great Harwood to Burnley railway with Padiham likely to be the first section of the route to be completed.</p>	

**Route D –  
Creation of  
a Linear  
Park on  
the Former  
Railway  
Line**

REMADE in Lancashire fully supports this Option to create a linear park along the former railway line and are involved in moving this project forward to implementation. A planning application to create a footpath/cycleway/bridleway along the Padiham section of the former railway was approved in April 2005. This route is important to REMADE as not only will it provide opportunities for recreation but, as it links several urban areas with centres of employment including Shuttleworth Mead, it also has the potential to be a commuter route.

There is funding allocated toward the project in the REMADE programme although the scheme still requires formal approval from the NWDA. LCC is also working in partnership with Sustrans and Burnley, Ribble Valley and

**Route D –  
Creation of  
a Linear  
Park on  
the Former  
Railway  
Line**

Hyndburn Councils in moving the project forwards. Sustrans has indicated that Network Rail who own the section of line through Padiham are close to an agreement to issue a licence to Sustrans to operate a cycleway along this section of the line. The current timetable is for the detailed design for the scheme to be completed in late Spring 2007 with a start on site in Padiham section in summer next year, the remaining sections of the route to be completed later.

41/PA/401

Sport England

Agrees with all of the options.

Environmental improvements to the rail line through the development of a linear park would create a unique and important gateway into the town. There is also the potential to create a continuous pedestrian link

Yes  
Option 1 should be further explored as the Preferred Option for this route. The Preferred Option should also explore opportunities to link the linear park to other improvements within the local area and additional pedestrian links from



Route D – Creation of a Linear Park on the Former Railway Line	
	<p>between the proposed linear park, Memorial Park, town centre and Gawthorpe Hall.</p> <p>the linear park to memorial park, the town centre, Gawthorpe Hall and quality open space.</p>
<p>46/PA/499</p> <p>Lorraine Prentice</p> <p>The linear park is a really good idea. It should have access points to local amenities. This will encourage businesses along the route and give the park a practical as well as recreational purpose. It would be good to connect it eventually with adjoining towns.</p>	<p>Environmental improvements to the rail line through the development of a linear park would create a unique and important gateway into the town. There is also the potential to create a continuous pedestrian link between the proposed linear park, Memorial Park, town centre and Gawthorpe Hall.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Option 1 should be further explored as the Preferred Option for this route. The Preferred Option should also explore opportunities to link the linear park to other improvements within the local area and additional pedestrian links from the linear park to memorial park, the town centre, Gawthorpe Hall and quality open space.</p>
<p>48/PA/501</p> <p>Unknown</p>	<p>Environmental improvements to the rail line through the development of a linear park would create a unique and</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Option 1 should be further explored as the Preferred Option for this route. The Preferred Option should also explore</p>

**Route D –  
Creation of  
a Linear  
Park on  
the Former  
Railway  
Line**

<p>The linear park is a great idea, which should improve the areas through which it passes making them more attractive and accessible on foot.</p>	<p>important gateway into the town. There is also the potential to create a continuous pedestrian link between the proposed linear park, Memorial Park, town centre and Gawthorpe Hall.</p>	<p>opportunities to link the linear park to other improvements within the local area and additional pedestrian links from the linear park to memorial park, the town centre, Gawthorpe Hall and quality open space.</p>
<p>51/PA/512</p>	<p>Dean Rainford</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Option 1 should be further explored as the Preferred Option for this route. A management and maintenance programme should also be developed.</p>
<p>I was looking on the NET at the information provided on Great Harwood to Burnley former railway performance plan extract.pdf. The picture there doesn't resemble the current state of the railway. I moved to Russell Terrace just over 2 years ago and the state of the railway is a disgrace. It is about time the Council actually acted.and at least cleaned up the area. My</p>	<p>Sustrans are in the process of acquiring the disused rail line between Burnley and Padiham from Network Rail. Sustrans, as part of their Connect2 project, hope to develop in time an off road route which will connect Blackburn to Barnoldwick. The Padiham section is phase 1 of the project and initial work on designs and clearing of the line has begun</p>	<p>Sustrans are in the process of acquiring the disused rail line between Burnley and Padiham from Network Rail. Sustrans, as part of their Connect2 project, hope to develop in time an off road route which will connect Blackburn to Barnoldwick. The Padiham section is phase 1 of the project and initial work on designs and clearing of the line has begun</p>

**Route D –  
Creation of  
a Linear  
Park on  
the Former  
Railway  
Line**

house overlooks the railway and every week more and more items keep turning up, from discarded motorbikes, sofas and other hazards. This area is now totally unsafe, and people can no longer walk along this area. I often see children on the railway surely with all the rubbish being dumped on there it can't be safe. This area can't keep being left, as its just getting worse. residents are sick of hearing that something is going to be done, and nothing is. Apparently these plans have been in the pipeline for years. The first thing that needs to be addressed is a clean up operation. When is the Council finally going to do something? Has anyone even evaluated the current state of the railway recently?

Public consultation over the initial design of the linear park will begin in December 2007.

**Route D –  
Creation of  
a Linear  
Park on  
the Former  
Railway  
Line**

<p>52/PA/514 Howard Hudson This is one of the most important developments for Padiham and the surrounding areas, providing recreation and improvement to the area.</p>	<p>Environmental improvements to the rail line through the development of a linear park would create a unique and important gateway into the town. There is also the potential to create a continuous pedestrian link between the proposed linear park, Memorial Park, town centre and Gawthorpe Hall.</p>	<p>Yes Option 1 should be further explored as the Preferred Option for this route. The Preferred Option should also explore opportunities to link the linear park to other improvements within the local area and additional pedestrian links from the linear park to memorial park, the town centre, Gawthorpe Hall and quality open space.</p>
<p>02/ALL/179 Burnley/Pendle Ramblers Association As ramblers we are in favour of any scheme that enables and encourages people to walk. There are however some concerns over paths in multiple use. For example walkers, cyclists, and horse riders do not mix well. The differing</p>	<p>Environmental improvements to the rail line through the development of a linear park would create a unique and important gateway into the town. There is also the potential to create a continuous pedestrian link between the proposed linear park, Memorial Park, town centre and Gawthorpe Hall.</p>	<p>Yes Option 1 should be further explored as the Preferred Option for this route. The Preferred Option should also explore opportunities to link the linear park to other improvements within the local area and additional pedestrian links from the linear park to memorial park, the town centre, Gawthorpe Hall and quality open space.</p>

**Route D –  
Creation of  
a Linear  
Park on  
the Former  
Railway  
Line**

<p>speeds brings problems, evident on canal towpaths, especially as many cyclists carry no audible warning of their approach, and accidents are a distinct possibility. There are other concerns which the RA would be willing to discuss at any opportunity you may offer.</p>	<p>Public consultation over the initial design of the linear park will begin in December 2007.</p>	<p>space. A management and maintenance programme should also be developed.</p>
<p>08/AL/385 Environment Agency Supports Option 1 of Route C and Option 1 of Route D which both aim to establish new pathways and routes through the development area.</p>	<p>Environmental improvements to the rail line through the development of a linear park would create a unique and important gateway into the town. There is also the potential to create a continuous pedestrian link between the proposed linear park, Memorial Park, town centre and Gawthorpe Hall.</p>	<p>Option 1 should be further explored as the Preferred Option for this route. The Preferred Option should also explore opportunities to link the linear park to other improvements within the local area and additional pedestrian links from the linear park to memorial park, the town centre, Gawthorpe Hall and quality open space.</p>
<p>12/AL/427 Lancashire County Council</p>	<p>Noted</p>	

**Route D –  
Creation of  
a Linear  
Park on  
the Former  
Railway  
Line**

Environment Directorate

Regard should be made to Policy 9 of the JLSP. This makes provision for the safeguarding of several disused railway lines. While the development of the disused rail line for pedestrians and cyclists is supported, it should allow for the future development of Rapid Transit in its design.

Improvements to public transport, walking and cycling are supported. It is important that your Council work with the County Council on the various signage and highways improvements identified in the AAP.

49/PA/502

Peter Kenyon

Environmental improvements to the rail line through the development of the linear

Yes

Option 1 should be further explored as the Preferred Option for this route. To complement the scheme consideration

<p><b>Route D – Creation of a Linear Park on the Former Railway Line</b></p>	<p>The linear park and face lifting along it are all good ideas.</p>	<p>park will create a unique and important gateway through Padiham.</p>	<p>should be given to facelifting properties adjacent to the rail line.</p>
<p><b>Option 2 – No Changes</b></p> <p>41/PA/401 Sport England Agrees with all of the options.</p>	<p>Sustrans are in the process of acquiring the disused rail line between Burnley and Padiham from Network Rail. Sustrans, as part of their Connect2, project hope to develop an off road route which will connect Blackburn to Barnoldswick. The Padiham section is phase 1 of the project and initial work on designs and clearing of the line has begun Public consultation over the initial design of the linear park will begin in December 2007.</p>	<p>Yes Option 1 should be further explored as the Preferred Option for this route.</p>	<p><b>Other Options?</b></p> <p>23/PA/202 Barbara Porter</p>
<p>Sustrans are in the process of acquiring the disused rail line between Burnley and Padiham from Network Rail.</p>	<p>No</p>		

**Route D –  
Creation of  
a Linear  
Park on  
the Former  
Railway  
Line**

Disagrees with the concept of the linear park, which could be fouled unless continually policed. A light railway will solve the transport problem from Shuttleworth Mead through Padiham to Rosegrove and access to one of the stations. There is no public transport from below Whitegate to any of the stations or hospital at the moment. Taxies are so expensive- this is a low-income area.

Sustrans as part of their Connect2 project hope to develop an off road route which will connect Blackburn to Barnoldswick. The Padiham section is phase 1 of the project and initial work on designs and clearing of the line has begun

46/PA/499

Lorraine Prentice

It should have access points to local amenities. This will encourage businesses along the route and give the park a practical as well as recreational purpose. It would be good to connect it eventually with adjoining towns.

Environmental improvements to the rail line through the development of the linear park will create a unique and important gateway through Padiham. Public consultation over the initial design of the linear park will begin in December 2007.

Yes

Option 1 should be further explored as the Preferred Option for this route. The Preferred Option should also explore opportunities to link the linear park to other improvements within the local area and additional pedestrian links from the linear park to memorial



**Route D –  
Creation of  
a Linear  
Park on  
the Former  
Railway  
Line**

<p>park, the town centre, Gawthorpe Hall and quality open space.</p>	<p><b>48/PA/501</b> The linear park is a great idea which should improve the areas through which it passes making them more attractive and accessible on foot.</p> <p><b>Environmental improvements</b> to the rail line through the development of a linear park would create a unique and important gateway into the town. There is also the potential to create a continuous pedestrian link between the proposed linear park, Memorial Park, town centre and Gawthorpe Hall.</p> <p><b>Yes</b> Option 1 should be further explored as the Preferred Option for this route. The Preferred Option should also explore opportunities to link the linear park to other improvements within the local area and additional pedestrian links from the linear park to memorial park, the town centre, Gawthorpe Hall and quality open space.</p>
<p><b>Priority for investment</b>  Mrs Riding  The rail line should be a priority for investment. It is a rat infested tip.</p>	<p><b>01/PA/05</b>  Mrs Riding  The rail line should be a priority for investment. It is a rat infested tip.</p> <p><b>Environmental improvements</b> to the rail line through the development of a linear park would create a unique and important gateway into the town. There is also the potential to create a continuous pedestrian link</p> <p><b>Yes</b> Option 1 should be further explored as the Preferred Option for this route.</p>

**Route D –  
Creation of  
a Linear  
Park on  
the Former  
Railway  
Line**

between the proposed linear park, Memorial Park, town centre and Gawthorpe Hall.

Route D – Creation of a Linear Park on the Former Railway Line			
	Environmental improvements	Yes	Option 1 should be further explored as the Preferred Option for this route.
13/PA/124 Mrs P. Perry Faceliffing Option1 in Area 5 to include Route D and Linear Park/ Wildlife Corridor. The disused railway line has been an eyesore for many years	to the rail line through the development of the linear park will create a unique and important gateway through Padiham. To compliment the scheme consideration should be given to faceliffing properties adjacent to the rail line.		
27/PA/281 C. Barrett The railway	to the rail line through the development of a linear park would create a unique and important gateway into the town. There is also the potential to create a continuous pedestrian link between the proposed linear park, Memorial Park, town centre and Gawthorpe Hall.		Option 1 should be further explored as the Preferred Option for this route.
35/PA/338	Sustrans are in the process of acquiring the disused rail	No	

<p><b>Route D – Creation of a Linear Park on the Former Railway Line</b></p>	<p>Peter Corvino</p> <p>Places with children not a railway which teenagers will use to drink on</p> <p>line between Burnley and Padiham from Network Rail. Sustrans, as part of their Connect2 project, hope to develop in time an off road route which will connect Blackburn to Barnoldswick. The Padiham section is phase 1 of the project and initial work on designs and clearing of the line has begun</p>
--	--

Table 2.14 5.0 Area Action Planning Context

<p><b>5.0 Area Action Planning Context</b></p>
<p><b>5.1 National</b></p>

**5.0 Area  
Action  
Planning  
Context**

<b>5.2 Regional and Sub - Regional</b>	12/A/427	Lancashire County Council Environment Directorate	Agree	Yes	This should be made clearer in the Preferred Option documentation
<p>Whilst reference to the Joint Lancashire Structure Plan is supported it should be made clear that this is applicable to the whole of Lancashire and not just the sub-region of East Lancashire. The use of the word sub-region within this context is also considered confusing given its use in the Regional Spatial Strategy to refer to County boundaries.</p>					

<b>5.0 Area Action Planning Context</b>		
<b>5.3 Local</b>		
<b>5.4 Vision for Padiham</b>	01/PA/05 Mrs Riding Most of it is good. Someone should go around and look at the areas involved.	Noted
	02/PA/06 J. Durkin Agrees with vision	Noted
	03/PA/18 Marian Digger Agrees with vision	Noted
	04/PA/19 John Greenwood Agrees with vision	Noted

5.0 Area Action Planning Context	
06/PA/21 L. Digger Doesn't know	Noted
07/PA/22 Catherine Geraghty Discourage <u>rats</u> and other vermin	Noted
08/PA/58 Mr L. Tattersall Disagrees with vision. Tree planting is not a good idea for Barley and Levant Street as vandalism will destroy the trees (it is critical you spend money sensibly)	This comment relates to Area 7 and has been dealt with in that section of the consultation table.
09/PA/64 Mrs B. Whitham Agrees with vision	Noted

5.0 Area Action Planning Context				
	<p>10/PA/100</p> <p>Mary Pilling</p> <p>Yes, apart from the more housing clause. Make landlords more responsible for existing derelict mills, shops and warehouses</p>	Noted.		
	<p>11/PA/112</p> <p>Maureen Whitaker</p> <p>Agrees with vision</p>	Noted		
	<p>12/PA/116</p> <p>Steve Richards</p> <p>Agrees with vision</p>	Noted		
	<p>13/PA/124</p> <p>Mrs P. Perry</p> <p>Agrees with vision</p>	Noted		



5.0 Area Action Planning Context	
14/PA/126 Deborah Catlow Agrees with vision	Noted
15/PA/130 Mr D Barker-Brown Agrees with vision	Noted
16/PA/131 Mrs Ann Cooper Doesn't know	Noted
17/PA/132 Denise Parkinson Agrees with vision	Noted
18/PA/ 159 Hazel Rushton	Noted

<b>5.0 Area Action Planning Context</b>			
	Agrees with vision		
	19/PA/175 Mrs Grime Doesn't know	Noted	
	22/PA/197 Ben Posthuma De Boer Agrees with vision	Noted	
	23/PA/202 Barbara Porter Agrees – This vision is very idealistic given the quite low levels of education and income and age of many of the population.	Noted	Visions are aspirational and includes long term objectives.
	24/PA/225 Mrs M. Hitchon	Noted	

5.0 Area Action Planning Context	
Agrees with vision	
27/PA/281 C. Barrett Agrees with vision	Noted
28/PA/282 David Webster Agrees with vision	Noted
29/PA/283 Unknown Doesn't Know	Noted
30/PA/313 Smith Sutcliffe Solicitors C/o Planning Development Network Agrees with vision	Noted

5.0 Area Action Planning Context		
<p>31/PA/324 (On behalf of client) C/o Planning &amp; Development Network Agrees with vision</p>	Noted	
<p>32/PA/325 Winchester Furniture Ltd C/o Planning &amp; Development Network Agrees with vision</p>	Noted	
<p>35/PA/338 Peter Corvino No - Put police on streets not cladding. Bring back neighbourhood pride not rivalry between which street gets done up and which doesn't.</p>	<p>It is accepted that dealing with issues such as crime is as important as physical improvement</p>	<p>Yes The Preferred Options should consider all possible options for improving areas, including those which deal with social issues.</p>

**5.0 Area  
Action  
Planning  
Context**

<p>37/PA/363</p> <p>Ian &amp; Amanda Bennett</p> <p>It needs to have more VISION about Padiham's role as a driver of a mixed economy within East Lancashire and links to both Preston and Manchester City Regions</p> <p>Also, heritage and culture should be prioritised above purely retail/commercial development</p>	<p>Agree</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>The Vision should identify the type of economic role the town should aspire to. It should also empathise the towns built industrial heritage and natural assets as a basis for regeneration.</p>
<p>39/PA/399</p> <p>Maple Grove Developments Ltd</p> <p>C/o Steven Abbott Associates</p> <p>The MGD plan sits well with the vision for Padiham. It would materially help to promote an attractive, prosperous market town etc. It will provide good quality shopping currently lacking.</p>	<p>Agree</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>The Vision should promote Padiham as a prosperous market town.</p>

<p><b>5.0 Area Action Planning Context</b></p>	<p>41/PA/401 Sport England Agrees with vision</p>	<p>Noted.</p>
	<p>42/PA/426 Gawthorpe Hall C/o National Trust The Trust supports the Vision for Padiham as set out here.</p>	<p>Noted.</p>
	<p>43/PA/432 Elevate East Lancashire Agrees with vision</p>	<p>Noted</p>
	<p><b>Other Comments</b></p>	